arXiv:math/0204321v1 [math.AG] 26 Apr 2002

HIGHER ARITHMETIC K-THEORY

YUICHIRO TAKEDA

Introduction

The aim of this paper is to provide a new definition of higher K-theory in Arakelov geometry and to show that it enjoys the same formal properties as the higher algebraic K-theory of schemes.

Let X be a proper arithmetic variety, that is, let X be a regular scheme which is flat, proper and of finite type over $\mathbb{Z}$, the ring of integers. In the research on arithmetic Chern characters of hermitian vector bundles on X, Gillet and Soulé defined the arithmetic K_0-group $\widehat{K}_0(X)$ of X [10]. It can be viewed as an analogue in Arakelov geometry of the K_0-group of vector bundles on a scheme.

After the advent of $\widehat{K}_0(X)$, its higher extension was discussed in some papers, such as [5, 6, 15]. In these papers one common thing was suggested that higher arithmetic K-theory should be obtained as the homotopy group of the homotopy fiber of the Beilinson's regulator map. That is to say, it was predicted that there would exist a group $KM_n(X)$ for each $n \geq 0$ satisfying the long exact sequence

$$\cdots \to K_{n+1}(X) \xrightarrow{\rho} \underset{p}{\oplus} H_{\mathcal{D}}^{2p-n-1}(X, \mathbb{R}(p)) \to KM_n(X) \to K_n(X) \to \cdots ,$$

where $H_{\mathcal{D}}^{n}(X, \mathbb{R}(p))$ is the real Deligne cohomology and ρ is the Beilinson's regulator map.

To get the homotopy fiber, a simplicial description of the regulator map is necessary. And it was given by Burgos and Wang in [5]. For a compact complex manifold M, they defined an exact cube of hermitian vector bundles on M and associated with it a differential form called a *higher Bott-Chern form*. This leads us to a homomorphism of complexes

$$\mathrm{ch} : \mathbb{Z}\widehat{S}_*(M) \to \mathcal{D}^*(M, p)[2p+1]$$

from the complex $\mathbb{Z}\widehat{S}_*(M)$ associated with the S-construction of the category of hermitian vector bundles on M to the complex $\mathcal{D}^*(M, p)$ computing the real Deligne cohomology of M, which is defined in [3]. It is the main theorem of [5] that the following map coincides with the higher Chern character map with values in Deligne cohomology:

$$\rho : K_n(M) \simeq \pi_{n+1}(\widehat{S}(M)) \xrightarrow{Hurewicz} H_{n+1}(\widehat{S}(M)) \xrightarrow{H(\mathrm{ch})} H_{\mathcal{D}}^{2p-n}(M, \mathbb{R}(p)).$$

Applying the theory of higher Bott-Chern forms to an arithmetic variety, we can obtain a simplicial description of the regulator map.

In this paper, we will give another definition of higher arithmetic K-theory of a proper arithmetic variety by means of higher Bott-Chern forms, which differs from the one coming

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 14G40; Secondary 11G35, 19E08.

Key words and phrases. K-theory, Arakelov geometry, higher Bott-Chern form.

from the homotpy fiber of the regulator map. One of the remarkable features of our arithmetic K-theory is that it is given as an extension of the usual K-theory by the cokernel of the regulator map.

Before explaining our method, let us recall the definition of $\widehat{K}_0(X)$. For a proper arithmetic variety X, let $\mathcal{A}^{p,p}(X)$ be the space of real (p,p)-forms ω on $X(\mathbb{C})$ such that $\overline{F}_{\infty}^{*}\omega = (-1)^p\omega$ for the complex conjugation $F_\infty : X(\mathbb{C}) \to X(\mathbb{C})$ and let $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}(X) = \bigoplus_p \mathcal{A}^{p,p}(X)/\operatorname{Im}\partial + \operatorname{Im}\overline{\partial}$. Then $\widehat{K}_0(X)$ is defined as a factor group of the free abelian group generated by pairs $(\overline{E},\omega)$ of a hermitian vector bundle $\overline{E}$ on X and $\omega \in \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}(X)$. The relation on pairs is given by each short exact sequence $\mathcal{E} : 0 \to \overline{E'} \to \overline{E} \to \overline{E''} \to 0$ as follows:

$$(\overline{E'},\omega') + (\overline{E''},\omega'') = (\overline{E},\omega' + \omega'' + \widetilde{\mathrm{ch}}(\mathcal{E})),$$

where $\widetilde{\mathrm{ch}}(\mathcal{E})$ is the Bott-Chern secondary characteristic class of $\mathcal{E}$ and $\omega',\omega'' \in \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}(X)$.

We can interpret the above definition of $\widehat{K}_0(X)$ in terms of loops and homotopies on $|\widehat{S}(X)|$, the topological realization of the S-construction of the category of hermitian vector bundles on X. Let us consider a pair (l,ω), where l is a pointed simplicial loop on $|\widehat{S}(X)|$ and $\omega \in \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}(X)$. Two pairs (l,ω) and (l',ω') are defined to be homotopy equivalent if there is a cellular homotopy $H : S^1 \times I/\{*\} \times I \to |\widehat{S}(X)|$ from l to l' such that the Bott-Chern secondary characteristic class $\widetilde{\mathrm{ch}}(H)$ of H, which is defined in a natural way, is equal to the difference $\omega' - \omega$. Let $\widehat{\pi}_1(|\widehat{S}(X)|,\widetilde{\mathrm{ch}})$ denote the set of all equivalence classes of such pairs. Then it carries the structure of an abelian group and the map

$$\widehat{K}_0(X) \to \widehat{\pi}_1(|\widehat{S}(X)|,\widetilde{\mathrm{ch}})$$

defined by $(\overline{E},\omega) \mapsto (l_{\overline{E}},-\omega)$, where $l_{\overline{E}}$ is the simplicial loop on $|\widehat{S}(X)|$ determined by $\overline{E}$, is shown to be bijective.

In this paper, we will generalize the above construction of $\widehat{\pi}_1$ to higher homotopy groups to define higher arithmetic K-groups. Strictly speaking, we will define the n-th arithmetic K-group $\widehat{K}_n(X)$ as the set of all homotopy equivalence classes of pairs (f,ω), where $f : S^{n+1} \to |\widehat{S}(X)|$ is a pointed cellular map and ω is a real differential form on $X(\mathbb{C})$ modulo exact forms. We will employ the theory of higher Bott-Chern forms in defining a homotopy equivalence relation on these pairs.

Let us describe the content of the paper in more detail.

In §1 we introduce some materials used in the present paper, such as S-construction, cubes and higher Bott-Chern forms. Furthermore, by renormalizing the higher Bott-Chern forms, we obtain a homomorphism of complexes

$$\mathrm{ch}^{GS} : \mathbb{Z}\widehat{S}_*(X) \to \mathcal{A}_*(X)[1],$$

where $\mathcal{A}_*(X)$ is a complex of vector spaces of real differential forms on $X(\mathbb{C})$ satisfying a certain Hodge theoretic condition. In §2 we propose the notion of modified homotopy groups, which is a higher generalization of the above $\widehat{\pi}_1$. In §3 we define higher arithmetic K-groups $\widehat{K}_*(X)$ as the homotopy groups of $|\widehat{S}(X)|$ modified by the homomorphism ch^{GS}. We show the following exact sequence concerning $\widehat{K}_n(X)$:

$$K_{n+1}(X) \to \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_{n+1}(X) \to \widehat{K}_n(X) \to K_n(X) \to 0,$$

where $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_{n+1}(X) = \mathcal{A}_{n+1}(X)/\operatorname{Im} d_{\mathcal{A}}$. When $n = 0$, this exact sequence has already been obtained in [10]. Moreover, we define the Chern form map

$$\mathrm{ch}_n^{GS} : \widehat{K}_n(X) \to \mathcal{A}_n(X)$$

in the same way as $\mathrm{ch} : \widehat{K}_0(X) \to \mathcal{A}(X)$ in [10]. The group $KM_n(X)$, which is characterized as the long exact sequence as mentioned before, is realized as the kernel of ch_n^{GS}. When we fix an F_∞-invariant Kähler metric h_X on $X(\mathbb{C})$, we define Arakelov K-group $K_n(\overline{X})$ of $\overline{X} = (X, h_X)$ as the subgroup of $\widehat{K}_n(X)$ consisting of all elements $x \in \widehat{K}_n(X)$ such that $\mathrm{ch}_n^{GS}(x)$ is harmonic with respect to h_X. The group $K_n(\overline{X})$ fits into the exact sequence

$$K_{n+1}(X) \xrightarrow{\rho} \bigoplus_p H_{\mathcal{D}}^{2p-n-1}(X, \mathbb{R}(p)) \to K_n(\overline{X}) \to K_n(X) \to 0,$$

where ρ is the Beilinson's regulator map.

The next two sections concern product structure on $\widehat{K}_*(X)$. In §4 we prove a product formula for higher Bott-Chern forms. It provides an alternative proof of the fact that the regulator map respects the products. In §5, we define a product in higher arithmetic K-theory. We show that this product is graded commutative up to 2-torsion. A striking property of the product is the lack of the associativity. In other words, for $x, y, z \in \widehat{K}_*(X)$, $(x \times y) \times z$ is not equal to $x \times (y \times z)$ in general. We compute this difference explicitly. Moreover, we show that there is an associative product in the Arakelov K-theory.

In §6, we define a direct image morphism in higher arithmetic K-theory. To do this we employ a higher analytic torsion form of an exact metrized cube defined by Roessler [14]. Moreover we establish the projection formula.

CONTENTS

1. Preliminaries 4
2. Modified homotopy groups 13
3. Definition of arithmetic K-groups 18
4. A product formula for higher Bott-Chern forms 25
5. Product 34
6. Direct images 61

Appendix A. Some identities satisfied by binomial coefficients 74

References 77

1. PRELIMINARIES

1.1. **Conventions on complexes.** Let us first settle some conventions on complexes. For a complex $A^* = (A^n, d_A)$ of an abelian category $\mathfrak{A}$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, the n-th translation $A[n]^*$ is defined as $A[n]^k = A^{n+k}$ and $d_{A[n]} = (-1)^n d_A$. For a morphism of complexes $u : A^* \to B^*$, the *mapping cone* $Cone(u)$ is defined by

$$Cone(u)^k = A^{k+1} \oplus B^k$$

and the differential $d : A^{k+1} \oplus B^k \to A^{k+2} \oplus B^{k+1}$ is defined by

$$d(a, b) = (-d_A(a), u(a) + d_B(b)).$$

A *homological complex* is a family $\{A_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ of objects of $\mathfrak{A}$ with morphisms $\partial_A : A_n \to A_{n-1}$ such that $\partial_A^2 = 0$. For a homological complex (A_n, ∂_A), we can define a complex A^* by $A^n = A_{-n}$ and $d_A = \partial_A$. The n-th translation of a homological complex A_* is defined by $A[n]_k = A_{k-n}$ and $\partial_{A[n]} = (-1)^n \partial_A$.

1.2. S-**construction.** In this subsection we recall S-construction developed by Waldhausen [16]. Let $[n]$ be the finite ordered set $\{0, 1, \cdots, n\}$ and $\mathrm{Ar}[n]$ the category of arrows of $[n]$. For a small exact category $\mathfrak{A}$, let $S_n\mathfrak{A}$ be the set of functors

$$E : \mathrm{Ar}[n] \to \mathfrak{A},\ i \le j \mapsto E_{i,j}$$

satisfying the following conditions:

1. $E_{i,i} = 0$ for any $0 \le i \le n$.
2. For any $i \le j \le k$, $E_{i,j} \to E_{i,k} \to E_{j,k}$ is a short exact sequence of $\mathfrak{A}$.

For example, $S_0\mathfrak{A} = \{0\}$, $S_1\mathfrak{A}$ is the set of objects of $\mathfrak{A}$ and $S_2\mathfrak{A}$ is the set of short exact sequences of $\mathfrak{A}$. The functor

$$S\mathfrak{A} : [n] \mapsto S_n\mathfrak{A}$$

becomes a simplicial set with the base point $0 \in S_0\mathfrak{A}$.

The set $S_n\mathfrak{A}$ can be identified with the set of sequences of injections

$$E_{0,1} \rightarrowtail \cdots \rightarrowtail E_{0,n}$$

with quotients $E_{i,j} \simeq E_{0,j}/E_{0,i}$ for each $i < j$. By using this identification, we can describe the boundary maps and the degeneracy maps of $S\mathfrak{A}$ as follows:

$$\partial_k(E_{0,1} \rightarrowtail \cdots \rightarrowtail E_{0,n}) = \begin{cases} E_{1,2} \rightarrowtail \cdots \rightarrowtail E_{1,n}, & k = 0, \\ E_{0,1} \rightarrowtail \cdots \rightarrowtail E_{0,k-1} \rightarrowtail E_{0,k+1} \rightarrowtail \cdots \rightarrowtail E_{0,n}, & k \ge 1 \end{cases}$$

and

$$s_k(E_{0,1} \rightarrowtail \cdots \rightarrowtail E_{0,n}) = \begin{cases} 0 \rightarrowtail E_{0,1} \rightarrowtail \cdots \rightarrowtail E_{0,n}, & k = 0, \\ E_{0,1} \rightarrowtail \cdots \rightarrowtail E_{0,k} \overset{id}{\rightarrowtail} E_{0,k} \rightarrowtail \cdots \rightarrowtail E_{0,n}, & k \ge 1. \end{cases}$$

Theorem 1.1. [16, §1.9] *There is a homotopy equivalence map between the topological realizations of simplicial sets*

$$|S\mathfrak{A}| \simeq |BQ\mathfrak{A}|,$$

where $BQ\mathfrak{A}$ *is the classifying space of the Quillen's Q-construction of* $\mathfrak{A}$ [13]. *Therefore for* $n \geq 0$, *it follows that*

$$\pi_{n+1}(|S\mathfrak{A}|, 0) \simeq K_n(\mathfrak{A}).$$

1.3. **Exact n-cubes.** Let us recall the notion of an exact n-cube. For more details, see [4, 5]. Let $< -1, 0, 1 >$ be the ordered set consisting of three elements and $< -1, 0, 1 >^n$ its n-th power. For a small exact category $\mathfrak{A}$, a functor $\mathcal{F} :< -1, 0, 1 >^n \to \mathfrak{A}$ is called an *n-cube* of $\mathfrak{A}$. Let $\mathcal{F}_{\alpha_1,\cdots,\alpha_n}$ denote the image of an object $(\alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_n)$ of $< -1, 0, 1 >^n$. For integers i and j satisfying $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $-1 \leq j \leq 1$, an $(n-1)$-cube $\partial_i^j \mathcal{F}$ is defined by $(\partial_i^j \mathcal{F})_{\alpha_1,\cdots,\alpha_{n-1}} = \mathcal{F}_{\alpha_1,\cdots,\alpha_{i-1},j,\alpha_i,\cdots,\alpha_{n-1}}$. It is called a *face* of $\mathcal{F}$. For an object α of $< -1, 0, 1 >^{n-1}$ and an integer i satisfying $1 \leq i \leq n$, a 1-cube $\partial_{ic}^{\alpha} \mathcal{F}$ called an *edge* of $\mathcal{F}$ is defined by

$$\mathcal{F}_{\alpha_1,\cdots,\alpha_{i-1},-1,\alpha_i,\cdots,\alpha_{n-1}} \to \mathcal{F}_{\alpha_1,\cdots,\alpha_{i-1},0,\alpha_i,\cdots,\alpha_{n-1}} \to \mathcal{F}_{\alpha_1,\cdots,\alpha_{i-1},1,\alpha_i,\cdots,\alpha_{n-1}}.$$

An n-cube $\mathcal{F}$ is said to be *exact* if all edges of $\mathcal{F}$ are short exact sequences. Let $C_n\mathfrak{A}$ denote the set of all exact n-cubes of $\mathfrak{A}$. If $\mathcal{F}$ is an exact n-cube, then any face $\partial_i^j \mathcal{F}$ is also exact. Hence ∂_i^j induces a map

$$\partial_i^j : C_n\mathfrak{A} \to C_{n-1}\mathfrak{A}.$$

Let $\mathcal{F}$ be an exact n-cube of $\mathfrak{A}$. For an integer i satisfying $1 \leq i \leq n+1$, let $s_i^1 \mathcal{F}$ be an exact $(n+1)$-cube defined as follows:

$$(s_i^1 \mathcal{F})_{\alpha_1,\cdots,\alpha_{n+1}} = \begin{cases} 0, & \alpha_i = 1, \\ \mathcal{F}_{\alpha_1,\cdots,\alpha_{i-1},\alpha_{i+1},\cdots,\alpha_{n+1}}, & \alpha_i \neq 1 \end{cases}$$

and the morphism $(s_i^1 \mathcal{F})_{\alpha_1,\cdots,\alpha_{i-1},-1,\alpha_{i+1},\cdots,\alpha_{n+1}} \to (s_i^1 \mathcal{F})_{\alpha_1,\cdots,\alpha_{i-1},0,\alpha_{i+1},\cdots,\alpha_{n+1}}$ is the identity of $\mathcal{F}_{\alpha_1,\cdots,\alpha_{i-1},\alpha_{i+1},\cdots,\alpha_{n+1}}$. In addition, let $s_i^{-1} \mathcal{F}$ be an exact $(n+1)$-cube defined as follows:

$$(s_i^{-1} \mathcal{F})_{\alpha_1,\cdots,\alpha_{n+1}} = \begin{cases} 0, & \alpha_i = -1, \\ \mathcal{F}_{\alpha_1,\cdots,\alpha_{i-1},\alpha_{i+1},\cdots,\alpha_{n+1}}, & \alpha_i \neq -1 \end{cases}$$

and the morphism $(s_i^{-1} \mathcal{F})_{\alpha_1,\cdots,\alpha_{i-1},0,\alpha_{i+1},\cdots,\alpha_{n+1}} \to (s_i^{-1} \mathcal{F})_{\alpha_1,\cdots,\alpha_{i-1},1,\alpha_{i+1},\cdots,\alpha_{n+1}}$ is the identity of $\mathcal{F}_{\alpha_1,\cdots,\alpha_{i-1},\alpha_{i+1},\cdots,\alpha_{n+1}}$. Then the map

$$s_i^j : C_n\mathfrak{A} \to C_{n+1}\mathfrak{A}$$

is also defined. An exact cube written as $s_i^j \mathcal{F}$ is said to be *degenerate*.

Let $\mathbb{Z}C_n\mathfrak{A}$ be the free abelian group generated by $C_n\mathfrak{A}$. Let $D_n \subset \mathbb{Z}C_n\mathfrak{A}$ be the subgroup generated by all degenerate exact n-cubes. Let $\widetilde{\mathbb{Z}}C_n\mathfrak{A} = \mathbb{Z}C_n\mathfrak{A}/D_n$ and

$$\partial = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=-1}^{1} (-1)^{i+j+1} \partial_i^j : \widetilde{\mathbb{Z}}C_n\mathfrak{A} \to \widetilde{\mathbb{Z}}C_{n-1}\mathfrak{A}.$$

Then $\widetilde{\mathbb{Z}}C_*\mathfrak{A} = (\widetilde{\mathbb{Z}}C_n\mathfrak{A}, \partial)$ becomes a homological complex.

We can construct an exact $(n-1)$-cube $\mathrm{Cub}(E)$ associated with an element $E \in S_n\mathfrak{A}$ in an inductive way. In the case of $n=1$, let us define $\mathrm{Cub}(E) = E$ for $E \in S_1\mathfrak{A}$. When an exact $(m-1)$-cube is associated with any element of $S_m\mathfrak{A}$ for $m < n$, an $(n-1)$-cube $\mathrm{Cub}(E)$ associated with $E \in S_n\mathfrak{A}$ is defined as

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_1^{-1}\mathrm{Cub}(E) &= s^1_{n-2}\cdots s^1_1(E_{0,1}),\\ \partial_1^{0}\mathrm{Cub}(E) &= \mathrm{Cub}(\partial_1 E),\\ \partial_1^{1}\mathrm{Cub}(E) &= \mathrm{Cub}(\partial_0 E).\end{aligned}$$

Then $\mathrm{Cub} : S_n\mathfrak{A} \to C_{n-1}\mathfrak{A}$ induces a homomorphism of complexes

$$\mathrm{Cub} : \mathbb{Z}S_*\mathfrak{A}[1] \to \widetilde{\mathbb{Z}}C_*\mathfrak{A}.$$

Remark: The differential of $\widetilde{\mathbb{Z}}C_*\mathfrak{A}$ defined above is the minus of the one defined in [5]. But since the differential of $\mathbb{Z}S_*\mathfrak{A}[1]$ is also the minus of the one of $\mathbb{Z}S_*\mathfrak{A}$, the homomorphism Cub is compatible with the differentials of complexes.

1.4. **Deligne cohomology.** Let M be a complex algebraic manifold of dimension n, that is, let M be the analytic space consisting of all $\mathbb{C}$-valued points of a smooth algebraic variety of dimension n over $\mathbb{C}$. Then higher Chern character map from higher algebraic K-theory to a reasonable cohomology theory

$$\mathrm{ch}_i : K_i(M) \to \bigoplus_j H^{2j-i}(M, \Gamma(j))$$

has been developed in [8]. In the case of $i = 0$, it is given by the Chern characters of vector bundles. But when $i > 0$ and M is compact, ch_i with values in the singular cohomology is known to be trivial.

In order to obtain a nontrivial higher Chern character map, we should consider Deligne cohomology. From now on we assume that M is compact. Let Ω^*_M be the complex of analytic sheaves of holomorphic differential forms and let $F^p\Omega^*_M$ be the de Rham filtration of Ω^*_M, that is,

$$F^p\Omega^*_M = (0 \to \Omega^p_M \to \Omega^{p+1}_M \to \cdots \to \Omega^n_M).$$

The *real Deligne complex* $\mathbb{R}(p)_{\mathcal{D}}$ on M is a complex of sheaves defined as follows:

$$\mathit{Cone}(\mathbb{R}(p) \oplus F^p\Omega^*_M \xrightarrow{\varepsilon-\iota} \Omega^*_M)[-1],$$

where ε and ι are natural inclusions. It is obvious that $\mathbb{R}(p)_{\mathcal{D}}$ is quasi-isomorphic to the complex

$$0 \to \mathbb{R}(p) \to \mathcal{O}_M \to \Omega^1_M \to \cdots \to \Omega^{p-1}_M \to 0,$$

where $\mathbb{R}(p)$ is of degree zero. The *real Deligne cohomology* $H^i_{\mathcal{D}}(M, \mathbb{R}(p))$ is defined as the hypercohomology of $\mathbb{R}(p)$, that is,

$$H^i_{\mathcal{D}}(M, \mathbb{R}(p)) = \mathbf{H}^i(M, \mathbb{R}(p)_{\mathcal{D}}).$$

In fact, Deligne cohomology can be defined when M is neither smooth nor compact [7]. Moreover, it can be a target of a nontrivial higher Chern character map, that is, there is a homomorphism

$$\mathrm{ch}_i : K_i(M) \to \bigoplus_p H^{2p-i}_{\mathcal{D}}(M, \mathbb{R}(p)),$$

which is far from trivial.

Let $\mathcal{E}^p_{\mathbb{R}}(M)$ be the space of real smooth differential forms of degree p on M and $\mathcal{E}^p(M) = \mathcal{E}^p_{\mathbb{R}}(M) \underset{\mathbb{R}}{\otimes} \mathbb{C}$. Let $\mathcal{E}^{p,q}(M)$ be the space of complex differential forms of type (p,q) on M. We set

$$\mathcal{D}^n(M,p) = \begin{cases} \mathcal{E}^{n-1}_{\mathbb{R}}(M)(p-1) \cap \bigoplus\limits_{\substack{p'+q'=n-1 \\ p'<p, q'<p}} \mathcal{E}^{p',q'}(M), & n < 2p, \\ \mathcal{E}^{2p}_{\mathbb{R}}(M)(p) \cap \mathcal{E}^{p,p}(M) \cap \operatorname{Ker} d, & n = 2p, \\ 0, & n > 2p \end{cases}$$

and define a differential $d_{\mathcal{D}} : \mathcal{D}^n(M,p) \to \mathcal{D}^{n+1}(M,p)$ by

$$d_{\mathcal{D}}(\omega) = \begin{cases} -\pi(d\omega), & n < 2p-1, \\ -2\partial\overline{\partial}\omega, & n = 2p-1, \\ 0, & n > 2p-1, \end{cases}$$

where $\pi : \mathcal{E}^n(M) \to \mathcal{D}^n(M,p)$ is the canonical projection.

Theorem 1.2. [3, Thm.2.6] *Let M be a compact complex algebraic manifold. Then $(\mathcal{D}^*(M,p), d_{\mathcal{D}})$ becomes a complex of $\mathbb{R}$-vector spaces and there is a canonical isomorphism*

$$H^n(\mathcal{D}^*(M,p), d_{\mathcal{D}}) \simeq H^n_{\mathcal{D}}(M, \mathbb{R}(p))$$

if $n \leq 2p$.

1.5. **Higher Bott-Chern forms.** In this subsection we recall the higher Bott-Chern forms developed by Burgos and Wang. For more details, the reader should consult the original paper [5] or a survey [4]. A *hermitian vector bundle* $\overline{E} = (E,h)$ on a complex algebraic manifold M is an algebraic vector bundle E on M with a smooth hermitian metric h. On a hermitian vector bundle $\overline{E}$, there is a unique connection $\nabla_{\overline{E}}$ that is compatible with both the metric and the complex structure. Let $K_{\overline{E}}$ denote the curvature form of $\nabla_{\overline{E}}$. The Chern form of $\overline{E}$ is defined as

$$\mathrm{ch}_0(\overline{E}) = \mathrm{Tr}(\exp(-K_{\overline{E}})) \in \bigoplus_p \mathcal{D}^{2p}(M,p).$$

Hereafter we assume that M is compact. An *exact metrized n-cube* on M is an exact n-cube made of hermitian vector bundles on M. Let $\mathcal{F} = \{\overline{E}_\alpha\}$ be an exact metrized n-cube on M. For an n-tuple $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_n)$ with $-1 \leq \alpha_k \leq 1$ and an integer i satisfying $\alpha_i = 1$, there is a surjection $\overline{E}_{\alpha_1,\cdots,\alpha_{i-1},0,\alpha_{i+1},\cdots,\alpha_n} \to \overline{E}_\alpha$. $\mathcal{F}$ is called an *emi-n-cube* if the metric on any $\overline{E}_\alpha$ with $\alpha_i = 1$ coincides with the metric induced from $\overline{E}_{\alpha_1,\cdots,\alpha_{i-1},0,\alpha_{i+1},\cdots,\alpha_n}$ by the above surjection.

Let $(x:y)$ be the homogeneous coordinate of $\mathbb{P}^1$. Let $\overline{\mathcal{O}(1)}$ be the tautological line bundle on $\mathbb{P}^1$ with the Fubini-Study metric. For a hermitian vector bundle $\overline{E}$ on M, let $\overline{E}(1)$ be the hermitian vector bundle on $M \times \mathbb{P}^1$ given by $\pi_1^*\overline{E} \otimes \pi_2^*\overline{\mathcal{O}(1)}$. Let $\sigma_x, \sigma_y \in H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}(1))$ be global sections of $\mathcal{O}(1)$ determined by x and y respectively.

For an emi-1-cube $\mathcal{E} : \overline{E}_{-1} \to \overline{E}_0 \to \overline{E}_1$, a map $\psi : \overline{E}_{-1} \to \overline{E}_0(1) \oplus \overline{E}_{-1}(1)$ on $M \times \mathbb{P}^1$ is defined by $e \mapsto (\iota(e) \otimes \sigma_x, e \otimes \sigma_y)$, where ι is the injection $\overline{E}_{-1} \to \overline{E}_0$. The 1-*transgression bundle* $\mathrm{tr}_1(\mathcal{E})$ of $\mathcal{E}$ is a hermitian vector bundle on $M \times \mathbb{P}^1$ given by the cokernel of ψ with the induced metric. It follows from the definition that $\mathrm{tr}_1(\mathcal{E})|_{M\times\{x=0\}} \simeq \overline{E}_0$ and $\mathrm{tr}_1(\mathcal{E})|_{M\times\{y=0\}} \simeq \overline{E}_{-1} \oplus \overline{E}_1$. Hence $\mathrm{tr}_1(\mathcal{E})$ can be viewed as a family of hermitian vector bundles on M parametrized by $\mathbb{P}^1$ connecting $\overline{E}_0$ with $\overline{E}_{-1} \oplus \overline{E}_1$.

The n-transgression bundle of an emi-n-cube is defined by iterating the above process. The 1-transgression $\mathrm{tr}_1(\mathcal{F})$ of an emi-n-cube $\mathcal{F}$ is an emi-$(n-1)$-cube on $M \times \mathbb{P}^1$ defined as

$$\mathrm{tr}_1(\mathcal{F})_\alpha = \mathrm{tr}_1(\partial^\alpha_{n^c}(\mathcal{F}))$$

for $\alpha \in < -1, 0, 1 >^{n-1}$. The *$n$-transgression bundle* of $\mathcal{F}$ is defined as

$$\mathrm{tr}_n(\mathcal{F}) = \overbrace{\mathrm{tr}_1\,\mathrm{tr}_1 \cdots \mathrm{tr}_1}^{n \text{ times}}(\mathcal{F}),$$

which is a hermitian vector bundle on $M \times (\mathbb{P}^1)^n$.

Let $z = x/y$ be the Euclidean coordinate of $\mathbb{P}^1$ and z_i the i-th Euclidean coordinate of $(\mathbb{P}^1)^n$. For an integer i satisfying $1 \le i \le n$, a differential form with logarithmic poles S^i_n on $(\mathbb{P}^1)^n$ is defined as

$$S^i_n = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} (-1)^\sigma \log|z_{\sigma(1)}|^2 \frac{dz_{\sigma(2)}}{z_{\sigma(2)}} \wedge \cdots \wedge \frac{dz_{\sigma(i)}}{z_{\sigma(i)}} \wedge \frac{d\bar{z}_{\sigma(i+1)}}{\bar{z}_{\sigma(i+1)}} \wedge \cdots \wedge \frac{d\bar{z}_{\sigma(n)}}{\bar{z}_{\sigma(n)}}.$$

Let us define the Bott-Chern form of an emi-n-cube $\mathcal{F}$ as

$$\mathrm{ch}_n(\mathcal{F}) = \frac{1}{(2\pi\sqrt{-1})^n} \int_{(\mathbb{P}^1)^n} \mathrm{ch}_0(\mathrm{tr}_n(\mathcal{F})) \wedge T_n \in \bigoplus_p \mathcal{D}^{2p-n}(M, p),$$

where

$$T_n = \frac{(-1)^n}{2n!} \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^i S^i_n.$$

Proposition 1.3. *Let $\mathcal{F}$ be an emi-n-cube on M. Then*

$$\mathrm{ch}_{n+1}(s^j_i \mathcal{F}) = 0$$

for $1 \le i \le n+1$ and $j = \pm 1$.

Proof. Let us first consider the case of $n = 0$. For a hermitian vector bundle $\overline{E}$ on M, it follows that $s^{-1}_1 \overline{E} = \left(0 \to \overline{E} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id}} \overline{E}\right)$. Hence the 1-transgression bundle $\mathrm{tr}(s^{-1}_1 \overline{E})$ is isometric to $\overline{E}(1)$. If $r : \mathbb{P}^1 \to \mathbb{P}^1$ is an involution given by $r^*(z) = z^{-1}$, then $r^*(\overline{E}(1)) = \overline{E}(1)$. Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{ch}_1(s_1^{-1}\overline{E}) &= \frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}}\int_{\mathbb{P}^1}\mathrm{ch}_0(\overline{E}(1))\log|z|^2\\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}}\int_{\mathbb{P}^1} r^*\left(\mathrm{ch}_0(\overline{E}(1))\log|z|^2\right)\\ &= \frac{-1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}}\int_{\mathbb{P}^1}\mathrm{ch}_0(\overline{E}(1))\log|z|^2\\ &= -\,\mathrm{ch}_1(s_1^{-1}\overline{E}),\end{aligned}$$

therefore $\mathrm{ch}_1(s_1^{-1}\overline{E}) = 0$. In the same way we can show $\mathrm{ch}_1(s_1^{1}\overline{E}) = 0$.

Let us move on to the general case. For $1 \le i \le n+1$, let $r_i : (\mathbb{P}^1)^{n+1} \to (\mathbb{P}^1)^{n+1}$ denote an involution given by

$$r_i^* z_j = \begin{cases} z_j, & i \neq j,\\ z_j^{-1}, & i = j.\end{cases}$$

Then we have $r_i^*(\mathrm{tr}_{n+1}(s_i^j\mathcal{F})) = \mathrm{tr}_{n+1}(s_i^j\mathcal{F})$ and $r_i^* T_{n+1} = -T_{n+1}$. Therefore we can show $\mathrm{ch}_{n+1}(s_i^j\mathcal{F}) = 0$ in the same way as above. □

Let us extend the definition of the Bott-Chern form to an arbitrary exact metrized n-cube. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be an exact metrized n-cube, not necessarily emi. For an integer i satisfying $1 \le i \le n$, $\lambda_i^1\mathcal{F}$ is defined as

$$(\lambda_i^1\mathcal{F})_\alpha = \begin{cases} \overline{E}_\alpha, & \alpha_i = -1 \text{ or } 0,\\ \overline{E'}_\alpha, & \alpha_i = 1,\end{cases}$$

where $\overline{E'}_\alpha$ is the same vector bundle as $\overline{E}_\alpha$ with the metric induced from $\overline{E}_{\alpha_1,\cdots,\alpha_{i-1},0,\alpha_{i+1},\cdots,\alpha_n}$. Let $\lambda_i^2\mathcal{F}$ be an exact metrized n-cube defined as

$$(\lambda_i^2\mathcal{F})_\alpha = \begin{cases} \overline{E}_{\alpha_1,\cdots,\alpha_{i-1},1,\alpha_{i+1},\cdots,\alpha_n}, & \alpha_i = -1,\\ \overline{E'}_{\alpha_1,\cdots,\alpha_{i-1},1,\alpha_{i+1},\cdots,\alpha_n}, & \alpha_i = 0,\\ 0, & \alpha_i = 1\end{cases}$$

and the morphism $\overline{E}_{\alpha_1,\cdots,\alpha_{i-1},1,\alpha_{i+1},\cdots,\alpha_n} \to \overline{E'}_{\alpha_1,\cdots,\alpha_{i-1},1,\alpha_{i+1},\cdots,\alpha_n}$ is the identity. We set $\lambda_i\mathcal{F} = \lambda_i^1\mathcal{F} \oplus \lambda_i^2\mathcal{F}$. An emi-$n$-cube $\lambda\mathcal{F}$ is defined as

$$\lambda\mathcal{F} = \begin{cases} \lambda_n\lambda_{n-1}\cdots\lambda_1\mathcal{F}, & n \ge 1,\\ \mathcal{F}, & n = 0.\end{cases}$$

Definition 1.4. *The Bott-Chern form of an exact metrized n-cube $\mathcal{F}$ is an element of $\bigoplus_p \mathcal{D}^{2p-n}(M,p)$ defined as*

$$\mathrm{ch}_n(\mathcal{F}) = \frac{1}{(2\pi\sqrt{-1})^n}\int_{(\mathbb{P}^1)^n}\mathrm{ch}_0(\mathrm{tr}_n(\lambda\mathcal{F})) \wedge T_n.$$

Remark: For an emi-n-cube $\mathcal{F}$, the Bott-Chern form $\mathrm{ch}_n(\mathcal{F})$ defined in Def.1.4 is the same form as the one we have defined before, because there is a decomposition

$$\lambda\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F} \oplus (\text{a degenerate emi-}n\text{-cube}).$$

For a compact complex algebraic manifold M, let $\widehat{\mathcal{P}}(M)$ be the category of hermitian vector bundles on M and $\widehat{S}(M)$ the S-construction of $\widehat{\mathcal{P}}(M)$. We note that $\widehat{S}(M)$ is homotopy equivalent to the S-construction of vector bundles on M by the map forgetting metrics. In particular, it follows that

$$\pi_{n+1}(|\widehat{S}(M)|) \simeq K_n(M).$$

Let $\widetilde{\mathbb{Z}}\widehat{C}_*(M) = \widetilde{\mathbb{Z}}C_*\widehat{\mathcal{P}}(M)$ and $\widetilde{\mathbb{Z}}\widehat{C}^{emi}_*(M)$ the subcomplex of $\widetilde{\mathbb{Z}}\widehat{C}_*(M)$ generated by emi-cubes on M. Then $\mathcal{F} \mapsto \lambda\mathcal{F}$ induces a homomorphism of complexes

$$\lambda : \widetilde{\mathbb{Z}}\widehat{C}_*(M) \to \widetilde{\mathbb{Z}}\widehat{C}^{emi}_*(M).$$

Theorem 1.5. [5] *If $\mathcal{F}$ is an exact metrized n-cube on M, then we have*

$$d_{\mathcal{D}}\,\mathrm{ch}_n(\mathcal{F}) = \mathrm{ch}_{n-1}(\partial F).$$

Hence the higher Bott-Chern forms induce a homomorphism of complexes

$$\mathrm{ch} : \widetilde{\mathbb{Z}}\widehat{C}_*(M) \to \bigoplus_p \mathcal{D}^*(M,p)[2p].$$

Moreover, the following map

$$K_n(M) = \pi_{n+1}(\widehat{S}(M)) \xrightarrow{Hurewicz} H_{n+1}(\widehat{S}(M)) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Cub}} H_n(\widetilde{\mathbb{Z}}\widehat{C}_*(M)) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{ch}} \bigoplus_p H^{2p-n}_{\mathcal{D}}(M,p)$$

agrees with the higher Chern character with values in the Deligne cohomology.

This is the main theorem of [5]. Here let us prove $d_{\mathcal{D}}\,\mathrm{ch}_n(\mathcal{F}) = \mathrm{ch}_{n-1}(\partial\mathcal{F})$ in a different way from [5]. To do this we introduce another description of the logarithmic forms S^i_n.

For integers $\alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_k$ with $1 \le \alpha_i \le n$, a k-form with logarithmic poles $(\alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_k)$ on $(\mathbb{P}^1)^n$ is given as

$$(\alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_k) = d\log|z_{\alpha_1}|^2 \wedge \cdots \wedge d\log|z_{\alpha_k}|^2.$$

Let $(\alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_k)^{(i,k-i)}$ denote the $(i,k-i)$-part of $(\alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_k)$. Then we have

$$S^i_n = (i-1)!(n-i)!\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n}(-1)^{\alpha+1}\log|z_\alpha|^2(1, \cdots, \widehat{\alpha}, \cdots, n)^{(i-1,n-i)}.$$

Lemma 1.6. *It follows that*

$$\partial S^i_n = i!(n-i)!(1\cdots n)^{(i,n-i)} + (n-i)\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n}(-1)^{\alpha}\partial\overline{\partial}\log|z_\alpha|^2 S^i_{n-1,\widehat{\alpha}},$$

$$\overline{\partial} S^i_n = (i-1)!(n-i+1)!(1\cdots n)^{(i-1,n-i+1)} - (i-1)\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n}(-1)^{\alpha}\partial\overline{\partial}\log|z_\alpha|^2 S^{i-1}_{n-1,\widehat{\alpha}},$$

where $S^i_{n-1,\widehat{\alpha}}$ *is the logarithmic form on* $(\mathbb{P}^1)^n$ *with the same expression as* S^i_{n-1} *for the coordinate* $(z_1,\cdots,z_{\alpha-1},z_{\alpha+1},\cdots,z_n)$.

We will prove more general identities in Lem.4.3. Let us return to the proof of the identity $d_{\mathfrak{D}}\,\mathrm{ch}_n(\mathcal{F})=\mathrm{ch}_{n-1}(\partial\mathcal{F})$. Since $\lambda:\widetilde{\mathbb{Z}}\widehat{C}_*(M)\to\widetilde{\mathbb{Z}}\widehat{C}^{emi}_*(M)$ is a homomorphism of complexes, we may assume that $\mathcal{F}$ is emi. Lem.1.6 implies

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{i=1}^n(-1)^i dS^i_n&=\sum_{i=0}^n(-1)^i(\partial S^i_n-\overline{\partial}S^{i+1}_n)\\&=n\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}(-1)^i\sum_{\alpha=1}^n(-1)^\alpha\partial\overline{\partial}\log|z_\alpha|^2S^i_{n-1,\widehat{\alpha}}.\end{aligned}$$

If $\delta_{\{z_\alpha=0\}}$ (resp. $\delta_{\{z_\alpha=\infty\}}$) is the current on $(\mathbb{P}^1)^n$ given by the integration on the subvariety $\{z_\alpha=0\}$ (resp. $\{z_\alpha=\infty\}$), then $\partial\overline{\partial}\log|z_\alpha|^2=-(2\pi\sqrt{-1})(\delta_{\{z_\alpha=0\}}-\delta_{\{z_\alpha=\infty\}})$. Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned}d_{\mathfrak{D}}\,\mathrm{ch}_n(\mathcal{F})&=\frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{2(2\pi\sqrt{-1})^n n!}\int_{(\mathbb{P}^1)^n}\mathrm{ch}_0(\mathrm{tr}_n\,\lambda\mathcal{F})\sum_{i=1}^n(-1)^i dS^i_n\\&=\frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{2(2\pi\sqrt{-1})^n (n-1)!}\int_{(\mathbb{P}^1)^n}\mathrm{ch}_0(\mathrm{tr}_n\,\lambda\mathcal{F})\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}(-1)^i\sum_{\alpha=1}^n(-1)^\alpha\partial\overline{\partial}\log|z_\alpha|^2S^i_{n-1,\widehat{\alpha}}\\&=\frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{2(2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{n-1} (n-1)!}\int_{(\mathbb{P}^1)^{n-1}}\mathrm{ch}_0(\mathrm{tr}_{n-1}(\lambda\partial\mathcal{F}))\sum_{i=1}^n(-1)^i dS^i_{n-1}\\&=\mathrm{ch}_{n-1}(\partial\mathcal{F}).\end{aligned}$$

Remark: Burgos and Wang defined the Bott-Chern forms of metrized cubes on complex algebraic manifolds that are not necessarily compact in [5]. They indeed developed the theory of higher Bott-Chern forms in a different way from the above and obtained an integral expression of them in the compact case. In this paper, we adopted their integral expression as the definition of Bott-Chern forms. But we should notice that our definition is the minus of their expression.

1.6. **Renormalization.** The Bott-Chern secondary classes used in Arakelov geometry is not equal to $\mathrm{ch}_1(\mathcal{E})$. This disagreement stems from the definition of Chern forms. Gillet and Soulé have defined the Chern form of a hermitian vector bundle $\overline{E}$ as

$$\mathrm{ch}^{GS}_0(\overline{E})=\mathrm{Tr}\left(\exp\left(-\frac{K_{\overline{E}}}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}}\right)\right)\in\bigoplus_p\mathcal{E}^{2p}_{\mathbb{R}}(M)\cap\mathcal{E}^{p,p}(M)\cap\mathrm{Ker}\,d.$$

Then it follows that $\mathrm{ch}^{GS}_0(\overline{E})^{(p,p)}=\frac{1}{(2\pi\sqrt{-1})^p}\mathrm{ch}_0(\overline{E})^{(p,p)}$. Therefore to make it possible to apply the theory of higher Bott-Chern forms to Arakelov geometry, we have to redefine them as to be real forms.

We set

$$\mathcal{A}^n(M,p)=\begin{cases}\mathcal{E}^{n-1}_{\mathbb{R}}(M)\cap \underset{\substack{p'+q'=n-1\\ p'<p,q'<p}}{\oplus}\mathcal{E}^{p',q'}(M), & n<2p,\\ \mathcal{E}^{2p}_{\mathbb{R}}(M)\cap\mathcal{E}^{p,p}(M)\cap\operatorname{Ker}d, & n=2p,\\ 0, & n>2p\end{cases}$$

and define a differential $d_{\mathcal{A}}:\mathcal{A}^n(M,p)\to\mathcal{A}^{n+1}(M,p)$ as

$$d_{\mathcal{A}}(\omega)=\begin{cases}-\pi(d\omega), & n<2p-1,\\ dd^c x=\frac{-1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}}\partial\overline{\partial}\omega, & n=2p-1,\\ 0, & n>2p-1.\end{cases}$$

Then $(\mathcal{A}^*(M,p),d_{\mathcal{A}})$ becomes a complex. The renormalization operator $\Theta_{p,n}:\mathcal{D}^n(M,p)\to\mathcal{A}^n(M,p)$ is defined as

$$\Theta_{p,n}(\omega)=\begin{cases}\frac{1}{(2\pi\sqrt{-1})^p}\omega, & n=2p,\\ \frac{2}{(2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{p-1}}\omega, & n<2p.\end{cases}$$

Then $\Theta=\Theta_{p,*}:\mathcal{D}^*(M,p)\to\mathcal{A}^*(M,p)$ becomes an isomorphism of complexes.

The renormalized Bott-Chern form of an exact metrized n-cube $\mathcal{F}$ is defined as

$$\operatorname{ch}^{GS}_n(\mathcal{F})=\Theta(\operatorname{ch}_n(\mathcal{F}))\in\underset{p}{\oplus}\mathcal{A}^{2p-n}(M,p).$$

Then we have a homomorphism of complexes

$$\operatorname{ch}^{GS}:\widetilde{\mathbb{Z}}\widehat{C}_*(M)\to\underset{p}{\oplus}\mathcal{A}^*(M,p)[2p].$$

Proposition 1.7. *The Bott-Chern secondary characteristic class of $\mathcal{E}$ defined in* [10] *is equal to* $-\operatorname{ch}^{GS}_1(\mathcal{E})$ *modulo* $\operatorname{Im}d_{\mathcal{A}}$.

Proof: For an exact metrized 1-cube $\mathcal{E}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\operatorname{ch}^{GS}_1(\mathcal{E})^{(p-1,p-1)}&=\frac{2}{(2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{p-1}}\operatorname{ch}_1(\mathcal{E})^{(p-1,p-1)}\\&=\frac{2}{(2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{p}}\int_{\mathbb{P}^1}\operatorname{ch}_0(\operatorname{tr}_1\lambda\mathcal{E})^{(p,p)}\times\frac{1}{2}\log|z|^2\\&=\int_{\mathbb{P}^1}\operatorname{ch}^{GS}_0(\operatorname{tr}_1\lambda\mathcal{E})^{(p,p)}\log|z|^2,\end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof. □

1.7. **The case of arithmetic varieties.** Let X be a proper arithmetic variety, that is, we assume that X is proper over $\mathbb{Z}$. Let $X(\mathbb{C})$ denote the compact complex manifold consisting of $\mathbb{C}$-valued points on X and F_∞ the complex conjugation on $X(\mathbb{C})$. The real Deligne cohomology of X is defined as

$$H^n_{\mathcal{D}}(X,\mathbb{R}(p))=H^n_{\mathcal{D}}(X(\mathbb{C}),\mathbb{R}(p))^{\overline{F}^*_\infty=\mathrm{id}}.$$

Hence if we set

$$\mathcal{D}^n(X,p)=\mathcal{D}^n(X(\mathbb{C}),p)^{\overline{F}_\infty^*=\mathrm{id}},$$

then we have an isomorphism

$$H^n(\mathcal{D}^*(X,p),d_{\mathcal{D}})\simeq H^n_{\mathcal{D}}(X,\mathbb{R}(p)).$$

A *hermitian vector bundle* on X is a pair $\overline{E}=(E,h)$ of a vector bundle E on X and an F_∞-invariant hermitian metric h on the holomorphic vector bundle $E(\mathbb{C})$ on $X(\mathbb{C})$. An *exact metrized n-cube* on X is an exact n-cube made of hermitian vector bundles on X. Since the Chern form $\mathrm{ch}_0(\overline{E})$ is in $\underset{p}{\oplus}\mathcal{D}^{2p}(X,p)$, the Bott-Chern form of an exact metrized n-cube is in $\underset{p}{\oplus}\mathcal{D}^{2p-n}(X,p)$.

Let $\widehat{\mathcal{P}}(X)$ be the category of hermitian vector bundles on X and $\widehat{S}(X)$ the S-construction of $\widehat{\mathcal{P}}(X)$. Then we have a canonical isomorphism $\pi_{n+1}(|\widehat{S}(X)|)\simeq K_n(X)$. Let $\widetilde{\mathbb{Z}}\widehat{C}_*(X)=\widetilde{\mathbb{Z}}C_*\widehat{\mathcal{P}}(X)$. Then we can define a homomorphism of complexes

$$\mathrm{ch}:\mathbb{Z}\widehat{S}_*(X)\xrightarrow{\mathrm{Cub}}\widetilde{\mathbb{Z}}\widehat{C}_*(X)[1]\xrightarrow{\mathrm{ch}[1]}\underset{p}{\oplus}\mathcal{D}^*(X,p)[2p+1].$$

If we set

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{A}^n(X,p)&=\Theta_{p,n}(\mathcal{D}^n(X,p))\\&=\begin{cases}\mathcal{A}^n(X(\mathbb{C}),p)^{F_\infty^*=(-1)^{p-1}}, & n<2p,\\ \mathcal{A}^{2p}(X(\mathbb{C}),p)^{F_\infty^*=(-1)^p}, & n=2p,\\ 0, & n>2p,\end{cases}\end{aligned}$$

then we can also obtain a homomorphism of complexes

$$\mathrm{ch}^{GS}:\mathbb{Z}\widehat{S}_*(X)\xrightarrow{\mathrm{Cub}}\widetilde{\mathbb{Z}}\widehat{C}_*(X)[1]\xrightarrow{\mathrm{ch}^{GS}[1]}\underset{p}{\oplus}\mathcal{A}^*(X,p)[2p+1].$$

2. Modified homotopy groups

2.1. **Definition of modified homotopy groups.** In this section we develop a general framework used later in this paper. Let I be the closed interval $[0,1]$ equipped with the usual CW-complex structure. Throughout this paper we identify the sphere S^n with $I^n/\partial I^n$. Therefore S^n consists of two cells as a CW-complex and any point of S^n except the base point is expressed by n-tuple of real numbers $(t_1,\cdots,t_n)$ with $0<t_i<1$.

Let T be a pointed CW-complex with the base point $*\in T$. The n-th homotopy group of T is defined as the set of homotopy equivalence classes of pointed continuous maps $S^n\to T$. However the cellular approximation theorem implies that continuous maps and homotopies can be replaced with cellular ones in the definition of homotopy groups.

Let $\mathrm{sk}_n(T)$ be the n-th skeleton of T when $n\geq 0$ and $\mathrm{sk}_{-1}(T)=\{*\}$. For $n\geq 0$, we set $C_n(T)=H_n(\mathrm{sk}_n(T),\mathrm{sk}_{n-1}(T))$, the n-th relative homology group of the pair $(\mathrm{sk}_n(T),\mathrm{sk}_{n-1}(T))$. Let $\partial:C_n(T)\to C_{n-1}(T)$ be the connecting homomorphism for the triple $(\mathrm{sk}_n(T), \mathrm{sk}_{n-1}(T), \mathrm{sk}_{n-2}(T))$. Then $(C_*(T), \partial)$ is a homological complex whose homology groups are isomorphic to the reduced homology groups of T.

Suppose that we have a homological complex of abelian groups (W_*, ∂) and a homomorphism of complexes $\rho : C_*(T) \to W_*$. Let $\widetilde{W_n} = W_n / \operatorname{Im} \partial$. Let us consider a pair (f, ω), where $f : S^n \to T$ is a pointed cellular map and $\omega \in \widetilde{W}_{n+1}$. A *cellular homotopy* from one pair (f, ω) to another pair (f', ω') is a pointed cellular map $H : S^n \times I/\{*\} \times I \to T$ satisfying the following:

(1) $H(x, 0) = f(x)$ and $H(x, 1) = f'(x)$.

(2) Let $[S^n \times I] \in C_{n+1}(S^n \times I)$ denote the fundamental chain of $S^n \times I$, where the orientation on $S^n \times I$ is inherited from the canonical orientation of the interval I. Then we have

$$\omega' - \omega = (-1)^{n+1} \rho H_*([S^n \times I]).$$

It is shown that the cellular homotopy gives an equivalence relation on the set of pairs. Such two pairs are said to be *homotopy equivalent*. We denote by $\widehat{\pi}_n(T, \rho)$ the set of all homotopy equivalence classes of pairs.

Let us define a multiplication on the set $\widehat{\pi}_n(T, \rho)$. Let $T \vee T = \{(x, y) \in T \times T; x = * \text{ or } y = *\}$ for a pointed CW-complex T. Then there is a natural map $T \vee T \to T$ by $(x, *) \mapsto x$ and $(*, y) \mapsto y$. A comultiplication map $\mu : S^n \to S^n \vee S^n$ is defined as

$$\mu(t_1, \cdots, t_n) = \begin{cases} ((t_1, t_2, \cdots, 2t_n), *), & 0 < t_n \leq \frac{1}{2}, \\ (*, (t_1, t_2, \cdots, 2t_n - 1)), & \frac{1}{2} \leq t_n < 1 \end{cases}$$

and a homotopy inverse map $\nu : S^n \to S^n$ by $\nu(t_1, \cdots, t_{n-1}, t_n) = (t_1, \cdots, t_{n-1}, 1 - t_n)$. For two pointed cellular maps $f, g : S^n \to T$, a multiplication $f * g$ is defined as

$$f * g : S^n \xrightarrow{\mu} S^n \vee S^n \xrightarrow{f \vee g} T \vee T \to T$$

and an inverse f^{-1} as

$$f^{-1} : S^n \xrightarrow{\nu} S^n \xrightarrow{f} T.$$

For two pairs (f, ω) and (g, τ) where $f, g : S^n \to T$ are pointed cellular maps and $\omega, \tau \in \widetilde{W}_{n+1}$, a multiplication $(f, \omega) * (g, \tau)$ is defined as

$$(f, \omega) * (g, \tau) = (f * g, \omega + \tau).$$

It is easy to show that the multiplication $*$ is compatible with the homotopy equivalence relation of pairs. Hence it gives rise to a multiplication on $\widehat{\pi}_n(T, \rho)$, which is also denoted by $*$.

We next verify the associativity of the multiplication $*$. For three pointed cellular maps $f, g, h : S^n \to T$, a cellular homotopy $H_1 : S^n \times I/\{*\} \times I \to T$ from $(f * g) * h$ to $f * (g * h)$ is given as follows:

$$H_1(t_1, \cdots, t_{n-1}, t_n, u) = \begin{cases} f(t_1, \cdots, t_{n-1}, \frac{4t_n}{u+1}), & 0 < t_n \leq \frac{u+1}{4}, \\ g(t_1, \cdots, t_{n-1}, 4t_n - u - 1), & \frac{u+1}{4} \leq t_n \leq \frac{u+2}{4}, \\ h(t_1, \cdots, t_{n-1}, \frac{4t_n - 2 - u}{2 - u}), & \frac{u+2}{4} \leq t_n < 1. \end{cases}$$

Since the image of H_1 is contained in $sk_n(T)$, we have $(H_1)_*([S^n \times I]) = 0$ in $C_{n+1}(T)$. Hence H_1 becomes a cellular homotopy from $((f,\omega) * (g,\tau)) * (h,\eta)$ to $(f,\omega) * ((g,\tau) * (h,\eta))$ for any $\omega, \tau, \eta \in \widetilde{W}_{n+1}$.

Finally we show the existence of unit and inverse in $\widehat{\pi}_n(t,\rho)$ with respect to the multiplication $*$. Let $0 : S^n \to T$ be the map defined by $0(S^n) = *$. For a pointed cellular map $f : S^n \to T$, a homotopy H_2 from $f * 0$ to f is given as

$$H_2(t_1, \cdots, t_{n-1}, t_n, u) = \begin{cases} f(t_1, \cdots, t_{n-1}, \frac{2t_n}{u+1}), & 0 < t_n \leq \frac{u+1}{2}, \\ *, & \frac{u+1}{2} \leq t_n < 1. \end{cases}$$

A homotopy H_3 from $0 * f$ to f can be given similarly. Moreover, a homotopy H_4 from $f * f^{-1}$ to 0 is given as

$$H_4(t_1, \cdots, t_{n-1}, t_n, u) = \begin{cases} f(t_1, \cdots, t_{n-1}, \frac{2t_n}{1-u}), & 0 < t_n \leq \frac{1-u}{2}, \\ *, & \frac{1-u}{2} \leq t_n \leq \frac{1+u}{2}, \\ f(t_1, \cdots, t_{n-1}, \frac{-2t_n+2}{1-u}), & \frac{u+1}{2} \leq t_n < 1, \end{cases}$$

and a homotopy H_5 from $f^{-1} * f$ to 0 can be given similarly. These homotopies are all cellular and their images are contained in $sk_n(T)$. Hence $(f,\omega) * (0,0)$ and $(0,0) * (f,\omega)$ are homotopy equivalent to (f,ω) and $(f,\omega) * (f^{-1}, -\omega)$ and $(f^{-1}, -\omega) * (f,\omega)$ are homotopy equivalent to $(0,0)$.

Theorem 2.1. *If $n \geq 1$, $\widehat{\pi}_n(T,\rho)$ is a group by the multiplication $*$ and when $n \geq 2$, it becomes an abelian group.*

Proof: We have already shown that the multiplication

$$[(f,\omega)] * [(g,\tau)] = [(f * g, \omega + \tau)]$$

provides $\widehat{\pi}_n(T,\rho)$ with the structure of a group. For two pointed cellular maps $f, g : S^n \to T$, $f * g$ and $g * f$ are homotopy equivalent if $n \geq 2$ and a homotopy between them is given in every textbook of homotopy theory. Although it is too complicated to write it down, it is easy to see that the image of this homotopy is contained in $sk_n(T)$. Hence $(f * g, 0)$ is homotopy equivalent to $(g * f, 0)$, therefore $\widehat{\pi}_n(T,\rho)$ is an abelian group if $n \geq 2$. □

Definition 2.2. *The group $\widehat{\pi}_n(T,\rho)$ is called the n-th homotopy group of T modified by the homomorphism ρ.*

Let $\zeta : \widehat{\pi}_n(T,\rho) \twoheadrightarrow \pi_n(T)$ denote the surjection obtained by forgetting elements of $\widetilde{W}_{n+1}$. Then we have the following:

Theorem 2.3. *There is an exact sequence*

$$\pi_{n+1}(T) \xrightarrow{\rho} \widetilde{W}_{n+1} \xrightarrow{a} \widehat{\pi}_n(T,\rho) \xrightarrow{\zeta} \pi_n(T) \to 0,$$

where the map ρ is defined as

$$\rho : \pi_{n+1}(T) \xrightarrow{Hurewicz} H_{n+1}(T) \xrightarrow{H_{n+1}(\rho)} H_{n+1}(W_*) \subset \widetilde{W}_{n+1}$$

and the map a *as*

$$a(\omega) = [(0, \omega)] \in \widehat{\pi}_n(T, \rho).$$

Proof: The cellular approximation theorem implies $\operatorname{Im} a = \operatorname{Ker} \zeta$. Hence we have only to prove $\operatorname{Ker} a = \operatorname{Im} \rho$. For an element $\omega \in \widetilde{W}_{n+1}$, the pair $(0, \omega)$ is homotopy equivalent to $(0, 0)$ if and only if there is a cellular homotopy $H : S^n \times I/\{*\} \times I \to T$ from 0 to 0 such that $(-1)^{n+1}\rho H_*([S^n \times I]) = \omega$. Since $H(S^n \times \partial I) = *$, H induces a pointed cellular map $H' : S^{n+1} \to T$. Then ω is equal to the image of $(-1)^{n+1}[H'] \in \pi_{n+1}(T)$ by ρ, therefore we have $\operatorname{Ker} a \subset \operatorname{Im} \rho$. The opposite inclusion $\operatorname{Im} \rho \subset \operatorname{Ker} a$ can be obtained by viewing a pointed cellular map $S^{n+1} \to T$ as a cellular homotopy between the collapsing map 0. □

2.2. **A homomorphism from a modified homotopy group.** For a pair (f, ω) as in the last subsection, $\rho(f, \omega) \in W_n$ is defined by

$$\rho(f, \omega) = \rho f_*([S^n]) + \partial\omega.$$

Proposition 2.4. *The above* $\rho(f, \omega)$ *gives rise to the homomorphism*

$$\rho : \widehat{\pi}_n(T, \rho) \to W_n$$

and $\operatorname{Im} \rho$ *is contained in* $\operatorname{Ker}(\partial : W_n \to W_{n-1})$.

Proof: Let us show that $\rho(f, \omega)$ is compatible with the homotopy equivalence relation. If $H : S^n \times I/\{*\} \times I \to T$ is a cellular homotopy from (f, ω) to (f', ω'), then we have

$$\partial H_*([S^n \times I]) = (-1)^n (f'_*([S^n]) - f_*([S^n]))$$

in $C_n(T)$ and $\rho H_*([S^n \times I]) = (-1)^{n+1}(\omega' - \omega)$. Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(f, \omega) &= \rho f_*([S^n]) + \partial\omega \\ &= \rho f'_*([S^n]) + (-1)^{n+1}\partial\rho H_*([S^n \times I])) + \partial\omega \\ &= \rho f'_*([S^n]) + \partial(\omega' - \omega) + \partial\omega \\ &= \rho(f', \omega'). \end{aligned}$$

The inclusion $\operatorname{Im} \rho \subset \operatorname{Ker}(\partial : W_n \to W_{n-1})$ and the claim that ρ is a homomorphism of groups are obvious. □

The exact sequence in Thm.2.3 implies the following corollaries:

Corollary 2.5. *There is an exact sequence*

$$\pi_{n+1}(T) \xrightarrow{\rho} H_{n+1}(W_*, \partial) \xrightarrow{a} \widehat{\pi}_n(T, \rho) \xrightarrow{\zeta \oplus \rho} \pi_n(T) \oplus \operatorname{Ker} \partial \xrightarrow{cl} H_n(W_*, \partial) \to 0,$$

where $\operatorname{Ker} \partial = \operatorname{Ker}(\partial : W_n \to W_{n-1})$ *and* cl *is defined as* $cl(x, \omega) = \rho(x) - [\omega]$.

Corollary 2.6. *For* $n \geq 1$, *let*

$$\widehat{\pi}_n(T, \rho)_0 = \operatorname{Ker}(\rho : \widehat{\pi}_n(T, \rho) \to W_n).$$

Then there is a long exact sequence

$$\cdots \xrightarrow{\zeta} \pi_{n+1}(T) \xrightarrow{\rho} H_{n+1}(W_*, \partial) \xrightarrow{a} \widehat{\pi}_n(T, \rho)_0 \xrightarrow{\zeta} \pi_n(T) \xrightarrow{\rho} \cdots .$$

Proof: The exactness at $\widehat{\pi}_n(T, \rho)_0$ and $H_{n+1}(W_*, \partial)$ has already been verified in Thm.2.3. For $[(f, \omega)] \in \widehat{\pi}_n(T, \rho)_0$, we have

$$\rho\zeta([(f, \omega)]) = \rho f_*([S^n]) = -[\partial\omega] = 0.$$

Conversely, if a pointed cellular map $f : S^n \to T$ satisfies $\rho f_*([S^n]) = \partial\omega$ for some $\omega \in W_{n+1}$, then $[(f, -\omega)] \in \widehat{\pi}_n(T, \rho)_0$ and $\zeta([(f, -\omega)]) = [f] \in \pi_n(T)$. Hence the exactness at $\pi_n(T)$ follows. □

2.3. **A Functorial property of modified homotopy groups.** Let T and T' be pointed CW-complexes. Let $\rho : C_*(T) \to W_*$ and $\rho' : C_*(T') \to W'_*$ be homomorphisms of complexes. Given a pointed cellular map $\alpha : T \to T'$ and a homomorphism of complexes $\beta : W_* \to W'_*$ that make the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd} C_*(T) \arrow[r, "\alpha_*"] \arrow[d, "\rho"] & C_*(T') \arrow[d, "\rho'"] \\ W_* \arrow[r, "\beta"] & W'_* \end{tikzcd}$$

commutative, a homomorphism of modified homotopy groups

$$(\alpha, \beta)_* : \widehat{\pi}_n(T, \rho) \to \widehat{\pi}_n(T', \rho')$$

is defined by $[(f, \omega)] \mapsto [(\alpha f, \beta(\omega))]$.

We can however define a homomorphism of modified homotopy groups under a weaker assumption than the strict commutativity of the diagram. We assume that the above diagram is commutative up to homotopy. In other words, we assume the existence of a homomorphism

$$\Phi : C_*(T) \to W'_{*+1}$$

satisfying $\rho'\alpha_* - \beta\rho = \partial\Phi + \Phi\partial$.

Proposition 2.7. *Under the above notations, we can define a homomorphism*

$$(\alpha, \beta, \Phi)_* : \widehat{\pi}_n(T, \rho) \to \widehat{\pi}_n(T', \rho')$$

by $[(f, \omega)] \mapsto [(\alpha f, \beta(\omega) - \Phi f_*([S^n]))]$. *This homomorphism enjoys the following functorial property: Let* $\alpha : T \to T'$ *and* $\alpha' : T' \to T''$ *be pointed cellular maps and let* $\beta : W_* \to W'_*$ *and* $\beta' : W'_* \to W''_*$ *be homomorphisms of complexes. We assume that the squares*

$$\begin{tikzcd} C_*(T) \arrow[r, "\alpha_*"] \arrow[d, "\rho"] & C_*(T') \arrow[r, "\alpha'_*"] \arrow[d, "\rho'"] & C_*(T'') \arrow[d, "\rho''"] \\ W_* \arrow[r, "\beta"] & W'_* \arrow[r, "\beta'"] & W''_* \end{tikzcd}$$

are commutative up to homotopy and let Φ *and* Φ' *be homotopies of these squares. Then we have*

$$(\alpha', \beta', \Phi')_*(\alpha, \beta, \Phi)_* = (\alpha'\alpha, \beta'\beta, \beta'\Phi + \Phi'\alpha_*)_* : \widehat{\pi}_n(T, \rho) \to \widehat{\pi}_n(T'', \rho'').$$

Proof: Let $f, f' : S^n \to T$ be pointed cellular maps and $\omega, \omega' \in \widetilde{W}_{n+1}$. If $H : S^n \times I/\{*\} \times I \to T$ is a cellular homotopy from (f, ω) to (f', ω'), then we have

$$\begin{aligned}(-1)^{n+1}\rho'\alpha_* H_*([S^n \times I]) &= (-1)^{n+1}\beta\rho H_*([S^n \times I]) + (-1)^{n+1}\partial\Phi H_*([S^n \times I]) \\ &\quad + (-1)^{n+1}\Phi\partial H_*([S^n \times I]) \\ &\equiv (\beta(\omega') - \Phi f'_*([S^n])) - (\beta(\omega) - \Phi f_*([S^n]))\end{aligned}$$

modulo $\operatorname{Im}\partial$. This tells that the map $\alpha H : S^n \times I/\{*\} \times I \to T'$ is a cellular homotopy from $(\alpha f, \beta(\omega) - \Phi f_*([S^n]))$ to $(\alpha f', \beta(\omega') - \Phi f'_*([S^n]))$. Hence $(\alpha, \beta, \Phi)_*$ is well-defined. The last identity can be verified by an easy calculation. □

Proposition 2.8. *Under the above notations, we have a commutative diagram*

$$\begin{tikzcd}\widehat{\pi}_n(T, \rho) \arrow[r, "\rho"] \arrow[d, "(\alpha,\beta,\Phi)_*"] & W_n \arrow[d, "\beta"] \\ \widehat{\pi}_n(T', \rho') \arrow[r, "\rho'"] & W'_n.\end{tikzcd}$$

Proof: For a pointed cellular map $f : S^n \to T$, we have

$$\rho'\alpha_* f_*([S^n]) - \beta\rho f_*([S^n]) = \partial\Phi f_*([S^n]).$$

Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned}\rho'(\alpha, \beta, \Phi)_*([(f, \omega)]) &= \rho'([(\alpha f, \beta(\omega) - \Phi f_*([S^n]))]) \\ &= \rho'\alpha_* f_*([S^n]) + \partial(\beta(\omega) - \Phi f_*([S^n])) \\ &= \beta(\rho f_*([S^n]) + \partial\omega) \\ &= \beta\rho([(f, \omega)]),\end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof. □

3. DEFINITION OF ARITHMETIC K-GROUPS

3.1. **Triviality of the Bott-Chern form of a degenerate n-cell.** Let $\mathfrak{A}$ be a small exact category and $\mathfrak{S}_n$ the n-th symmetric group. For $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ and an exact n-cube $\mathcal{F}$ of $\mathfrak{A}$, an exact n-cube $\sigma\mathcal{F}$ is defined as

$$(\sigma\mathcal{F})_{\alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_n} = \mathcal{F}_{\alpha_{\sigma(1)}, \alpha_{\sigma(2)}, \cdots, \alpha_{\sigma(n)}}.$$

Lemma 3.1. *For any $E \in S_n\mathfrak{A}$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned}\operatorname{Cub}(s_0 E) &= s_1^{-1}\operatorname{Cub}(E), \\ \operatorname{Cub}(s_n E) &= s_n^1 \operatorname{Cub}(E)\end{aligned}$$

and if $1 \le i \le n-1$, then we have

$$\operatorname{Cub}(s_i E) = \tau_i \operatorname{Cub}(s_i E),$$

where $\tau_i \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ is the transposition of i and $i+1$.

Proof: To prove the lemma, we use the following identities, which is proved in Prop.4.5 in [5]: For $E \in S_n\mathfrak{A}$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_i^{-1}\operatorname{Cub}(E) &= s_{n-2}^1 \cdots s_i^1 \operatorname{Cub}(\partial_{i+1}\cdots\partial_n E),\\
\partial_i^{0}\operatorname{Cub}(E) &= \operatorname{Cub}(\partial_i E),\\
\partial_i^{1}\operatorname{Cub}(E) &= s_{i-1}^{-1} \cdots s_1^{-1} \operatorname{Cub}(\partial_0\cdots\partial_{i-1} E)
\end{aligned}$$

for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$. The first identity of the lemma follows from

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_1^{-1}\operatorname{Cub}(s_0E) &= s_{n-1}^1 \cdots s_1^1 \operatorname{Cub}(\partial_2\cdots\partial_{n+1} s_0 E)\\
&= s_{n-1}^1 \cdots s_1^1 \operatorname{Cub}(s_0\partial_1\cdots\partial_n E)\\
&= 0,\\
\partial_1^{0}\operatorname{Cub}(s_0E) &= \operatorname{Cub}(\partial_1 s_0 E) = \operatorname{Cub}(E)
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\partial_1^{1}\operatorname{Cub}(s_0E) = \operatorname{Cub}(\partial_0 s_0 E) = \operatorname{Cub}(E).$$

The second one follows from

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_n^{-1}\operatorname{Cub}(s_nE) &= \operatorname{Cub}(\partial_{n+1} s_n E) = \operatorname{Cub}(E),\\
\partial_n^{0}\operatorname{Cub}(s_nE) &= \operatorname{Cub}(\partial_n s_n E) = \operatorname{Cub}(E)
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_n^{1}\operatorname{Cub}(s_nE) &= s_{n-1}^{-1} \cdots s_1^{-1} \operatorname{Cub}(\partial_0\cdots\partial_{n-1} s_n E)\\
&= s_{n-1}^{-1} \cdots s_1^{-1} \operatorname{Cub}(s_0\partial_0\cdots\partial_{n-1} E)\\
&= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

We turn to the last one. If $1 \leq i \leq n-1$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_i^{-1}\operatorname{Cub}(s_iE) &= s_{n-1}^1 \cdots s_i^1 \operatorname{Cub}(\partial_{i+1}\cdots\partial_{n+1} s_i E)\\
&= s_{n-1}^1 \cdots s_i^1 \operatorname{Cub}(\partial_{i+1}\cdots\partial_n E)\\
&= s_{n-1}^1 \cdots s_{i+1}^1 \operatorname{Cub}(s_i\partial_{i+1}\cdots\partial_n E)\\
&= s_{n-1}^1 \cdots s_{i+1}^1 \operatorname{Cub}(\partial_{i+2}\cdots\partial_{n+1} s_i E)\\
&= \partial_{i+1}^{-1}\operatorname{Cub}(s_iE),\\
\partial_i^{0}\operatorname{Cub}(s_iE) &= \operatorname{Cub}(E) = \partial_{i+1}^{0}\operatorname{Cub}(s_iE)
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_i^{1}\operatorname{Cub}(s_iE) &= s_{i-1}^{-1} \cdots s_1^{-1} \operatorname{Cub}(\partial_0\cdots\partial_{i-1} s_i E)\\
&= s_{i-1}^{-1} \cdots s_1^{-1} \operatorname{Cub}(s_0\partial_0\cdots\partial_{i-1} E)\\
&= s_{i-1}^{-1} \cdots s_1^{-1} s_1^{-1} \operatorname{Cub}(\partial_0\cdots\partial_{i-1} E)\\
&= s_i^{-1} \cdots s_1^{-1} \operatorname{Cub}(\partial_0\cdots\partial_{i-1}\partial_i s_i E)
\end{aligned}$$

$$= \partial^1_{i+1} \operatorname{Cub}(s_i E).$$

The last identity follows from them. □

Let $S_n \subset \mathbb{Z}C_n\mathfrak{A}$ be the subgroup generated by exact n-cubes $\mathcal{F}$ such that $\tau_i \mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}$ for some integer i satisfying $1 \leq i \leq n-1$. We set

$$\operatorname{Cub}_n(\mathfrak{A}) = \mathbb{Z}C_n\mathfrak{A}/(D_n + S_n).$$

Lemma 3.2. *We have $\partial S_n \subset S_{n-1}$. Hence $\operatorname{Cub}_*(\mathfrak{A}) = (\operatorname{Cub}_n(\mathfrak{A}), \partial)$ becomes a homological complex.*

Proof: Let $\mathcal{F}$ be an exact n-cube satisfying $\tau_i \mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}$. If $k < i$, then $\partial^j_k \mathcal{F} = \partial^j_k \tau_i \mathcal{F} = \tau_{i-1} \partial^j_k \mathcal{F}$ and if $k > i+1$, then $\partial^j_k \mathcal{F} = \partial^j_k \tau_i \mathcal{F} = \tau_i \partial^j_k \mathcal{F}$. Furthermore, $\tau_i \mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}$ implies $\partial^j_i \mathcal{F} = \partial^j_{i+1} \mathcal{F}$. Hence we have

$$\partial \mathcal{F} = \sum_{k \neq i, i+1} \sum_{j=-1}^{1} (-1)^{k+j+1} \partial^j_k \mathcal{F} \in S_{n-1},$$

which completes the proof. □

Lemma 3.3. *Let $\mathcal{F}$ be an exact metrized n-cube on a complex algebraic manifold M. For any $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$, there is a canonical isometry of exact metrized n-cubes*

$$\sigma(\lambda \mathcal{F}) \simeq \lambda(\sigma \mathcal{F}).$$

Proof: It follows from the definition of λ_i that $\sigma(\lambda_i \mathcal{F}) = \lambda_{\sigma(i)}(\sigma \mathcal{F})$. Therefore we have

$$\sigma(\lambda \mathcal{F}) = \lambda_{\sigma(n)} \cdots \lambda_{\sigma(1)}(\sigma \mathcal{F}).$$

Hence it is sufficient to show the existence of a canonical isometry $\lambda_i \lambda_j \simeq \lambda_j \lambda_i$. For simplicity, we prove it only in the case of $n = 2$.

For an exact metrized 2-cube $\mathcal{F} = \{\overline{E_{i,j}}\}$, $\lambda_2 \lambda_1 \mathcal{F}$ is given as follows:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\overline{E_{-1,-1}} \oplus \overline{E_{1,-1}} \oplus \overline{E_{-1,1}} \oplus \overline{E_{1,1}} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \overline{E_{-1,0}} \oplus \overline{E_{1,0}} \oplus \overline{E'_{-1,1}} \oplus \overline{E'_{1,1}} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \overline{E'_{-1,1}} \oplus \overline{E'_{1,1}} \arrow[d] \\
\overline{E_{0,-1}} \oplus \overline{E'_{1,-1}} \oplus \overline{E_{0,1}} \oplus \overline{E'_{1,1}} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \overline{E_{0,0}} \oplus \overline{E'_{1,0}} \oplus \overline{E'_{0,1}} \oplus \overline{E'_{1,1}} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \overline{E'_{0,1}} \oplus \overline{E'_{1,1}} \arrow[d] \\
\overline{E'_{1,-1}} \oplus \overline{E'_{1,1}} \arrow[r] & \overline{E'_{1,0}} \oplus \overline{E'_{1,1}} \arrow[r] & \overline{E'_{1,1}},
\end{tikzcd}$$

where $\overline{E'_{i,j}}$ is the same vector bundle as $\overline{E_{i,j}}$ equipped with the metric induced from $\overline{E_{0,0}}$. On the other hand, $\lambda_1\lambda_2\mathcal{F}$ is given as follows:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\overline{E_{-1,-1}} \oplus \overline{E_{-1,1}} \oplus \overline{E_{1,-1}} \oplus \overline{E_{1,1}} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \overline{E_{-1,0}} \oplus \overline{E'_{-1,1}} \oplus \overline{E_{1,0}} \oplus \overline{E'_{1,1}} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \overline{E'_{-1,1}} \oplus \overline{E'_{1,1}} \arrow[d] \\
\overline{E_{0,-1}} \oplus \overline{E_{0,1}} \oplus \overline{E'_{1,-1}} \oplus \overline{E'_{1,1}} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \overline{E_{0,0}} \oplus \overline{E'_{0,1}} \oplus \overline{E'_{1,0}} \oplus \overline{E'_{1,1}} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \overline{E'_{0,1}} \oplus \overline{E'_{1,1}} \arrow[d] \\
\overline{E'_{1,-1}} \oplus \overline{E'_{1,1}} \arrow[r] & \overline{E'_{1,0}} \oplus \overline{E'_{1,1}} \arrow[r] & \overline{E'_{1,1}}.
\end{tikzcd}$$

Hence an isometry $\lambda_2\lambda_1\mathcal{F} \simeq \lambda_1\lambda_2\mathcal{F}$ is given by appropriate permutations of direct summands. □

Theorem 3.4. *The Bott-Chern form of a degenerate element of $\widehat{S}_n(M)$ is zero.*

Proof: For an integer i satisfying $1 \leq i \leq n-1$, let $t_i : (\mathbb{P}^1)^n \to (\mathbb{P}^1)^n$ denote the involution interchanging the i-th and the $(i+1)$-th component. Then by Prop.2.1 in [14] and Lem.3.3, there is an isometry

$$t_i^* \operatorname{tr}_n(\lambda\mathcal{F}) = \operatorname{tr}_n(\lambda\tau_i\mathcal{F}).$$

Furthermore, it follows from the definition of T_n that $t_i^* T_n = -T_n$. Hence if $\tau_i\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{ch}_n(\mathcal{F}) &= \int_{(\mathbb{P}^1)^n} \operatorname{ch}_0(\operatorname{tr}_n(\lambda\mathcal{F})) \wedge T_n \\
&= \int_{(\mathbb{P}^1)^n} t_i^*(\operatorname{ch}_0(\operatorname{tr}_n(\lambda\mathcal{F})) \wedge T_n) \\
&= -\int_{(\mathbb{P}^1)^n} \operatorname{ch}_0(\operatorname{tr}_n(\lambda\mathcal{F})) \wedge T_n \\
&= -\operatorname{ch}_n(\mathcal{F}),
\end{aligned}$$

therefore $\operatorname{ch}_n(\mathcal{F}) = 0$.

By Lem.3.1, the cube $\operatorname{Cub}(E)$ associated with a degenerate element $E \in \widehat{S}_n(X)$ is either a degenerate cube or a cube satisfying $\tau_i\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}$ for some $1 \leq i \leq n-2$. Hence it follows from the above calculation and Prop.1.3 that $\operatorname{ch}_{n-1}(E) = 0$. □

For a compact complex algebraic manifold M, let $\widehat{\operatorname{Cub}}_*(M) = \operatorname{Cub}_*(\widehat{\mathcal{P}}(M))$. We set

$$\mathcal{D}_n(M) = \bigoplus_p \mathcal{D}^{2p-n}(M,p).$$

Then $(\mathcal{D}_*(M), d_{\mathcal{D}})$ becomes a homological complex. It follows from Thm.3.4 that the higher Bott-Chern forms induce a homomorphism

$$\operatorname{ch} : \widehat{\operatorname{Cub}}_*(M) \xrightarrow{\operatorname{ch}} \mathcal{D}_*(M).$$

3.2. **Definition of higher arithmetic K-theory.** In this subsection we give the definition of higher arithmetic K-theory. Let X be a proper arithmetic variety and $\mathcal{A}_n(X) = \bigoplus_p \mathcal{A}^{2p-n}(X,p)$. Then $(\mathcal{A}_*(X), d_{\mathcal{A}})$ is a homological complex and Thm.3.4 yields the homomorphism

$$\mathrm{ch}^{GS} : C_*(|\widehat{S}(X)|) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Cub}} \widehat{\mathrm{Cub}}_*(X)[1] \xrightarrow{\mathrm{ch}^{GS}} \mathcal{A}_*(X)[1],$$

where $\widehat{\mathrm{Cub}}_*(X) = \mathrm{Cub}_*(\widehat{\mathcal{P}}(X))$.

Definition 3.5. *The n-th arithmetic K-group $\widehat{K}_n(X)$ of a proper arithmetic variety X is defined as the $(n+1)$-th homotopy group of $|\widehat{S}(X)|$ modified by the renormalized higher Bott-Chern forms, that is,*

$$\widehat{K}_n(X) = \widehat{\pi}_{n+1}(|\widehat{S}(X)|, \mathrm{ch}^{GS}).$$

By Thm.2.3, we have the following:

Theorem 3.6. *There is an exact sequence*

$$K_{n+1}(X) \xrightarrow{\rho} \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_{n+1}(X) \to \widehat{K}_n(X) \to K_n(X) \to 0,$$

where $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_{n+1}(X) = \mathcal{A}_{n+1}(X)/\operatorname{Im} d_{\mathcal{A}}$ and ρ is the Beilinson's regulator map up to a constant multiple.

The 0-th arithmetic K-group has already been given by Gillet and Soulé in a different way [10]. They indeed defined it as the factor group of the free abelian group generated by $(\overline{E}, \omega)$, where $\overline{E}$ is a hermitian vector bundle on X and $\omega \in \widetilde{A}_1(X)$, by the subgroup generated by

$$(\overline{E'}, \omega) + (\overline{E''}, \omega') - (\overline{E}, \omega + \omega' - \mathrm{ch}_1^{GS}(\mathcal{E}))$$

for all short exact sequences $\mathcal{E} : 0 \to \overline{E'} \to \overline{E} \to \overline{E''} \to 0$. In this paper, we denote this factor group by $\widehat{\mathcal{K}}_0(X)$. We denote by $[(\overline{E}, \omega)]$ the element of $\widehat{\mathcal{K}}_0(X)$ determined by a pair $(\overline{E}, \omega)$.

Theorem 3.7. *There is a canonical isomorphism*

$$\widehat{\alpha} : \widehat{\mathcal{K}}_0(X) \simeq \widehat{\pi}_1(|\widehat{S}(X)|, \mathrm{ch}^{GS}) = \widehat{K}_0(X).$$

Proof: This is an analogue of the fact that the Grothendieck group of a small exact category is isomorphic to the fundamental group of its S-construction. Since $\widehat{S}_1(X)$ is the set of all hermitian vector bundles on X and $\widehat{S}_0(X) = \{*\}$, a pointed simplicial loop $l_{\overline{E}} : S^1 \to |\widehat{S}(X)|$ can be associated with a hermitian vector bundle $\overline{E}$ on X. Moreover, any short exact sequence $\mathcal{E} : 0 \to \overline{E'} \to \overline{E} \to \overline{E''} \to 0$ gives a 2-simplex $\Delta_{\mathcal{E}}$ in $\widehat{S}(X)$ whose faces are $\partial_0 \Delta_{\mathcal{E}} = \overline{E''}$, $\partial_1 \Delta_{\mathcal{E}} = \overline{E}$ and $\partial_2 \Delta_{\mathcal{E}} = \overline{E'}$. If we regard $\Delta_{\mathcal{E}}$ as a cellular homotopy from $l_{\overline{E'}} * l_{\overline{E''}}$ to $l_{\overline{E}}$, then the Bott-Chern form of this homotopy is $\mathrm{ch}_1^{GS}(\mathcal{E})$. Hence we have

$$[(l_{\overline{E'}} * l_{\overline{E''}}, 0)] = [(l_{\overline{E}}, \mathrm{ch}_1^{GS}(\mathcal{E}))]$$

in $\widehat{\pi}_1(|\widehat{S}(X)|, \mathrm{ch}^{GS})$. This tells that $(\overline{E}, \omega) \mapsto (l_{\overline{E}}, -\omega)$ gives rise to the homomorphism of groups

$$\widehat{\alpha} : \widehat{\mathcal{K}}_0(X) \to \widehat{\pi}_1(|\widehat{S}(X)|, \mathrm{ch}^{GS}) = \widehat{K}_0(X).$$

Consider the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
K_1(X) \arrow[r, "\rho"] \arrow[d, "-\mathrm{id}"] & \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_1(X) \arrow[r, "a"] \arrow[d, "-\mathrm{id}"] & \widehat{\mathcal{K}}_0(X) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\widehat{\alpha}"] & K_0(X) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\mathrm{id}"] & 0 \\
K_1(X) \arrow[r, "\rho"] & \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_1(X) \arrow[r, "a"] & \widehat{K}_0(X) \arrow[r] & K_0(X) \arrow[r] & 0.
\end{tikzcd}$$

The upper sequence is exact by Thm.6.2 in [10] and the lower one is exact by Thm.3.6. Hence $\widehat{\alpha}$ is bijective by the five lemma. □

The Bott-Chern form of a pointed cellular map $f : S^{n+1} \to |\widehat{S}(X)|$ is defined as

$$\mathrm{ch}_n^{GS}(f) = \mathrm{ch}^{GS}(f_*([S^{n+1}])) \in \mathcal{A}_n(X),$$

where $[S^{n+1}] \in C_{n+1}(S^{n+1})$ is the fundamental chain of S^{n+1}. The Bott-Chern form $\mathrm{ch}_{n+1}^{GS}(H)$ of a cellular homotopy $H : S^{n+1} \times I/\{*\} \times I \to |\widehat{S}(X)|$ can be defined in the same way.

Let

$$\mathrm{ch}_n^{GS} : \widehat{K}_n(X) \to \mathcal{A}_n(X)$$

be the map defined in §2.2. That is to say, ch_n^{GS} is defined as

$$\mathrm{ch}_n^{GS}([(f, \omega)]) = \mathrm{ch}_n^{GS}(f) - d_{\mathcal{A}}\omega.$$

Let us call it the *Chern form map*. Applying Cor.2.5 and Cor.2.6 to the present situation, we have the following corollaries:

Corollary 3.8. *There is an exact sequence*

$$K_{n+1}(X) \xrightarrow{\rho} H_{n+1}(\mathcal{A}_*(X), d_{\mathcal{A}}) \to \widehat{K}_n(X) \xrightarrow{(\zeta, \mathrm{ch}_n^{GS})} K_n(X) \oplus \mathrm{Ker}\, d_{\mathcal{A}} \xrightarrow{cl} H_n(\mathcal{A}_*(X), d_{\mathcal{A}}) \to 0,$$

where cl is defined as $cl(x, \omega) = \rho(x) - [\omega]$.

Corollary 3.9. *We define a subgroup $KM_n(X) \subset \widehat{K}_n(X)$ by the kernel of the Chern form map, that is,*

$$KM_n(X) = \mathrm{Ker}\left(\mathrm{ch}_n^{GS} : \widehat{K}_n(X) \to \mathcal{A}_n(X)\right).$$

Then there is a long exact sequence

$$\cdots \to K_{n+1}(X) \xrightarrow{\rho} \bigoplus_p H^{2p-n-1}(\mathcal{A}^*(X, p), d_{\mathcal{A}}) \to KM_n(X) \to K_n(X) \to \cdots.$$

Cor.3.9 tells that the group $KM_*(X)$, which is supposed to be the homotopy groups of the homotopy fiber of the regulator map, can be defined in terms of modified homotopy groups developed in the last section.

We finish this subsection by defining a pull back morphism. Let $\varphi : X \to Y$ be a morphism of proper arithmetic varieties. Then there is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
C_*(|\widehat{S}(Y)|) \arrow[r, "\mathrm{ch}^{GS}"] \arrow[d, "\varphi^*"] & \mathcal{A}_*(Y)[1] \arrow[d, "\varphi^*"] \\
C_*(|\widehat{S}(X)|) \arrow[r, "\mathrm{ch}^{GS}"] & \mathcal{A}_*(X)[1].
\end{tikzcd}$$

Hence a homomorphism

$$\widehat{\varphi}^* : \widehat{K}_n(Y) \to \widehat{K}_n(X)$$

is defined by $\widehat{\varphi}^*([(f, \omega)]) = [(\varphi^* f, \varphi^* \omega)]$. In the case of $n = 0$, the isomorphism $\widehat{\alpha}$ in Thm.3.7 identifies the above $\widehat{\varphi}^*$ with the pull back morphism on $\widehat{\mathcal{K}}_0(X)$ defined in [10]. It is obvious that the pull back morphism $\widehat{\varphi}^*$ commutes with the Chern form map ch_n^{GS}.

3.3. **Arakelov K-theory.** Let M be a compact algebraic Kähler manifold and h_M a Kähler metric on M. Let $\mathcal{H}^n_{\mathbb{R}}(M)$ be the space of real harmonic forms on M with respect to h_M and $\mathcal{H}^{p,q}(M)$ the space of harmonic forms of type (p, q). We set

$$\mathcal{H}^n_{\mathcal{D}}(M, p) = \begin{cases} \mathcal{H}^{n-1}_{\mathbb{R}}(M)(p-1) \cap \bigoplus\limits_{\substack{p'+q'=n-1 \\ p'<p, q'<p}} \mathcal{H}^{p',q'}(M), & n < 2p, \\ \mathcal{H}^{2p}_{\mathbb{R}}(M)(p) \cap \mathcal{H}^{p,p}(M), & n = 2p. \end{cases}$$

The short exact sequence

$$0 \to F^p H^{n-1}(M, \mathbb{C}) \to H^{n-1}(M, \mathbb{R}(p-1)) \to H^n_{\mathcal{D}}(M, \mathbb{R}(p)) \to 0$$

for $n < 2p$ and the short exact sequence

$$0 \to H^{2p}_{\mathcal{D}}(M, \mathbb{R}(p)) \to F^p H^{2p}(M, \mathbb{C}) \to H^{2p}(M, \mathbb{R}(p-1)) \to 0$$

yield the isomorphism

$$H^n_{\mathcal{D}}(M, \mathbb{R}(p)) \simeq \mathcal{H}^n_{\mathcal{D}}(M, p)$$

for $n \leq 2p$. Let $\mathcal{H}^n_{\mathcal{A}}(M, p)$ denote the image of $\mathcal{H}^n_{\mathcal{D}}(M, p)$ by the renormalization operator Θ. In other words, $\mathcal{H}^n_{\mathcal{D}}(M, p)$ (resp. $\mathcal{H}^n_{\mathcal{A}}(M, p)$) is the space of harmonic forms in $\mathcal{D}^n(M, p)$ (resp. $\mathcal{A}^n(M, p)$).

Let us return to the arithmetic situation. An *Arakelov variety* is a pair $\overline{X} = (X, h_X)$ of an arithmetic variety X and an F_∞-invariant Kähler metric h_X on $X(\mathbb{C})$. We now assume that X is proper over $\mathbb{Z}$. Let $\mathcal{H}_n(X)$ denote the space of harmonic forms with respect to h_X in $\mathcal{A}_n(X)$, that is,

$$\mathcal{H}_n(X) = \begin{cases} \bigoplus\limits_p \mathcal{H}^{2p-n}_{\mathcal{A}}(X(\mathbb{C}), p)^{F^*_\infty = (-1)^{p-1}}, & n \geq 1, \\ \bigoplus\limits_p \mathcal{H}^{2p}_{\mathcal{A}}(X(\mathbb{C}), p)^{F^*_\infty = (-1)^p}, & n = 0. \end{cases}$$

Then there is an isomorphism $H_n(\mathcal{A}_*(X), d_{\mathcal{A}}) \simeq \mathcal{H}_n(X)$ and this yields the following:

Proposition 3.10. *there is an orthogonal decomposition*

$$\operatorname{Ker} d_{\mathcal{A}} = \operatorname{Im} d_{\mathcal{A}} \oplus \mathcal{H}_n(X)$$

in $\mathcal{A}_n(X)$.

Definition 3.11. *The subgroup* $(\mathrm{ch}_n^{GS})^{-1}(\mathcal{H}_n(X))$ *of* $\widehat{K}_n(X)$ *is denoted by* $K_n(\overline{X})$ *and called the* n*-th Arakelov* K*-group of* $\overline{X} = (X, h_X)$.

Theorem 3.12. *There is an exact sequence*

$$K_{n+1}(X) \to \bigoplus_p H^{2p-n-1}(\mathcal{A}^*(X,p), d_{\mathcal{A}}) \to K_n(\overline{X}) \to K_n(X) \to 0.$$

Proof: This exact sequence can be deduced from the fact that $[(0,\omega)] \in K_n(\overline{X})$ if and only if $d_{\mathcal{A}}\omega = 0$, which follows from Prop.3.10. $\square$

Künnemann has constructed a section of the inclusion from the Arakelov Chow group to the arithmetic Chow group in [12]. We now adapt his method to the inclusion $K_n(\overline{X}) \hookrightarrow \widehat{K}_n(X)$ to get a section of it. Let $\mathcal{H} : \mathcal{A}_n(X) \to \mathcal{H}_n(X)$ be the orthogonal projection with respect to the L_2-inner product. Let (f, ω) be a pair of a pointed cellular map $f : S^{n+1} \to |\widehat{S}(X)|$ and $\omega \in \widetilde{A}_{n+1}(X)$. Then we can take $\omega_\sharp \in \widetilde{A}_{n+1}(X)$ such that $\mathrm{ch}_n^{GS}(f) - d_{\mathcal{A}}\omega_\sharp$ is harmonic and $\mathcal{H}(\omega_\sharp) = \mathcal{H}(\omega)$. Existence and uniqueness of $\omega_\sharp$ follow from Prop.3.10.

If (f, ω) is homotopy equivalent to (f', ω'), then we have

$$\mathrm{ch}_n^{GS}(f') - d_{\mathcal{A}}(\omega' - \omega_\sharp - \omega) = \mathrm{ch}_n^{GS}(f) - d_{\mathcal{A}}\omega_\sharp$$

and $\mathcal{H}(\omega' - \omega_\sharp - \omega) = \mathcal{H}(\omega')$. Hence $\omega'_\sharp = \omega' - \omega_\sharp - \omega$, therefore $(f, \omega_\sharp)$ is homotopy equivalent to $(f', \omega'_\sharp)$. Hence we can define a section of the inclusion

$$\sigma : \widehat{K}_n(X) \to K_n(\overline{X})$$

by $\sigma([(f,\omega)]) = [(f, \omega_\sharp)]$. The map σ is called the *harmonic projection* of $\widehat{K}_n(X)$.

4. A PRODUCT FORMULA FOR HIGHER BOTT-CHERN FORMS

4.1. **A product formula.** We begin this section by recalling the multiplicative structure on $\mathcal{D}^n(X,p)$ [3]. Let M be a compact complex algebraic manifold. A homomorphism

$$\bullet : \mathcal{D}^n(M,p) \otimes \mathcal{D}^m(M,q) \to \mathcal{D}^{m+n}(M, p+q)$$

is defined as

$$x \bullet y = (-1)^n(\partial x^{(p-1,n-p)} - \overline{\partial} x^{(n-p,p-1)}) \wedge y + x \wedge (\partial y^{(q-1,m-q)} - \overline{\partial} y^{(m-q,q-1)})$$

if $n < 2p$ and $m < 2q$ and $x \bullet y = x \wedge y$ if $n = 2p$ or $m = 2q$. Here $x^{(\alpha,\beta)}$ is the (α,β)-component of the form x. Then it satisfies $d_{\mathcal{D}}(x \bullet y) = d_{\mathcal{D}}x \bullet y + (-1)^n x \bullet d_{\mathcal{D}}y$ and $x \bullet y =$

$(-1)^{nm} y \bullet x$. Moreover, it induces the product in the real Deligne cohomology, which is defined in [7].

The higher Bott-Chern forms are not compatible with products, that is, $\mathrm{ch}_{n+m}(\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{G})$ is not equal to $\mathrm{ch}_n(\mathcal{F}) \bullet \mathrm{ch}_m(\mathcal{G})$ for an exact metrized n-cube $\mathcal{F}$ and an exact metrized m-cube $\mathcal{G}$. But since the higher Chern character $K_n(M) \to H_{\mathcal{D}}^{2p-n}(M, p)$ respects the products, it is quite natural to expect that the difference $\mathrm{ch}_{n+m}(\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{G}) - \mathrm{ch}_n(\mathcal{F}) \bullet \mathrm{ch}_m(\mathcal{G})$ is expressed in terms of exact forms.

Let us define another operation on $\mathcal{D}^n(M, p)$. For integers i and j satisfying $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $1 \leq j \leq m$, we set

$$a_{i,j}^{n,m} = 1 - 2\binom{n+m}{n}^{-1} \sum_{\alpha=0}^{i-1} \binom{n+m-i-j+1}{n-\alpha}\binom{i+j-1}{\alpha},$$

where $\binom{a}{b} = \frac{(a+b)!}{a!b!}$. When $b < 0$ or $a < b$, $\binom{a}{b}$ is assumed to be zero.

Lemma 4.1. *We have* $a_{i,j}^{n,m} = -a_{n-i+1,m-j+1}^{n,m}$ *and* $a_{i,j}^{n,m} = -a_{j,i}^{m,n}$.

Proof: Let us recall the following formula on binomial coefficients:

$$\sum_{\alpha=0}^{a} \binom{b}{a-\alpha}\binom{c}{\alpha} = \binom{b+c}{a}.$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} a_{i,j}^{n,m} + a_{n-i+1,m-j+1}^{n,m} &= 2 - 2\binom{n+m}{n}^{-1} \sum_{\alpha=0}^{i-1} \binom{n+m-i-j+1}{n-\alpha}\binom{i+j-1}{\alpha} \\ &\quad - 2\binom{n+m}{n}^{-1} \sum_{\alpha=0}^{n-i} \binom{i+j-1}{n-\alpha}\binom{n+m-i-j+1}{\alpha} \\ &= 2 - 2\binom{n+m}{n}^{-1} \sum_{\alpha=0}^{n} \binom{n+m-i-j+1}{n-\alpha}\binom{i+j-1}{\alpha} \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

As for the second equality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} a_{j,i}^{m,n} &= 1 - 2\binom{n+m}{m}^{-1} \sum_{\alpha=0}^{j-1} \binom{m+n-j-i+1}{m-\alpha}\binom{j+i-1}{\alpha} \\ &= -1 + 2\binom{n+m}{m}^{-1} \sum_{\alpha=j}^{m} \binom{m+n-j-i+1}{m-\alpha}\binom{j+i-1}{\alpha} \\ &= -1 + 2\binom{n+m}{m}^{-1} \sum_{\alpha=j}^{m} \binom{m+n-j-i+1}{n-j-i+1+\alpha}\binom{j+i-1}{j+i-1-\alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

If we put $\beta = i+j-1-\alpha$, then

$$\begin{aligned} a^{m,n}_{j,i} &= -1 + 2\tbinom{n+m}{n}^{-1}\sum_{\beta=0}^{i-1}\tbinom{m+n-i-j+1}{n-\beta}\tbinom{i+j-1}{\beta} \\ &= -a^{n,m}_{i,j}, \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof. $\square$

For $x \in \mathcal{D}^{2p-n}(M,p)$ and $y \in \mathcal{D}^{2q-m}(M,q)$ with $n, m \geq 1$, $x \vartriangle y$ is defined as

$$x \vartriangle y = \sum_{\substack{1\leq i\leq n \\ 1\leq j\leq m}} a^{n,m}_{i,j} x^{(p-n+i-1,p-i)} \wedge y^{(q-m+j-1,q-j)}.$$

If $n = 0$ or $m = 0$, then $x \vartriangle y$ is defined to be zero. The first claim of Lem.4.1 implies that $x \vartriangle y$ is in $\mathcal{D}^{2(p+q)-n-m-1}(M, p+q)$ and the second claim implies $x \vartriangle y = (-1)^{nm+n+m} y \vartriangle x$.

Theorem 4.2. *If $\mathcal{F}$ is an exact metrized n-cube on M and $\mathcal{G}$ is an exact metrized m-cube on M, then we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{ch}_{n+m}(\mathcal{F}\otimes\mathcal{G}) - \mathrm{ch}_n(\mathcal{F}) \bullet \mathrm{ch}_m(\mathcal{G}) &= (-1)^{n+1} d_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathrm{ch}_n(\mathcal{F}) \vartriangle \mathrm{ch}_m(\mathcal{G})) \\ &\quad + (-1)^n \mathrm{ch}_{n-1}(\partial\mathcal{F}) \vartriangle \mathrm{ch}_m(\mathcal{G}) - \mathrm{ch}_n(\mathcal{F}) \vartriangle \mathrm{ch}_{m-1}(\partial\mathcal{G}). \end{aligned}$$

4.2. **Proof of Thm.4.2.** Let us first prepare some notations. For differential forms $u_1, \cdots, u_n$ on M, let $(u_1, \cdots, u_n)^{(\alpha,\beta)}$ be the (α,β)-component of $du_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge du_n$. When u_i is a (p_i, p_i)-form, let

$$(u_1, \cdots, u_n)^{(i)} = \sum_p (u_1, \cdots, u_n)^{(p+i, p+n-i)}$$

and

$$S^i_n(u_1, \cdots, u_n) = (i-1)!(n-i)! \sum_{\alpha=1}^n (-1)^{\alpha+1} u_\alpha (u_1, \cdots, \widehat{u_\alpha}, \cdots, u_n)^{(i-1)}.$$

Then $S^i_n(u_1, \cdots, u_n) \in \mathcal{D}_n(M)$ if $u_i \in \mathcal{D}_1(M)$. We note that $S^i_n(\log|z_1|^2, \cdots, \log|z_n|^2)$ is the same form as S^i_n defined in §1.5.

Lemma 4.3. *If u_i is a (p_i, p_i)-form on M, then we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \partial S^i_n(u_1, \cdots, u_n) &= i!(n-i)!(u_1, \cdots, u_n)^{(i)} \\ &\quad + (n-i)\sum_{\alpha=1}^n (-1)^\alpha \partial\overline{\partial} u_\alpha S^i_{n-1}(u_1, \cdots, \widehat{u_\alpha}, \cdots, u_n) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\partial} S^i_n(u_1, \cdots, u_n) &= (i-1)!(n-i+1)!(u_1, \cdots, u_n)^{(i-1)} \\ &\quad - (i-1)\sum_{\alpha=1}^n (-1)^\alpha \partial\overline{\partial} u_\alpha S^{i-1}_{n-1}(u_1, \cdots, \widehat{u_\alpha}, \cdots, u_n). \end{aligned}$$

Proof: We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial S_n^i(u_1,\cdots,u_n) &= (i-1)!(n-i)!\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n}(-1)^{\alpha+1}\partial\left(u_\alpha(u_1,\cdots,\widehat{u_\alpha},\cdots u_n)^{(i-1)}\right)\\
&= (i-1)!(n-i)!\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n}(-1)^{\alpha+1}\partial u_\alpha(\cdots\widehat{u_\alpha}\cdots)^{(i-1)}\\
&\quad + (i-1)!(n-i)!\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n}(-1)^{\alpha+1}u_\alpha\left(\sum_{\beta<\alpha}(-1)^{\beta-1}\partial\overline{\partial}u_\beta(\cdots\widehat{u_\beta}\cdots\widehat{u_\alpha}\cdots)^{(i-1)}\right.\\
&\qquad\qquad \left.+\sum_{\alpha<\beta}(-1)^{\beta-1}\partial\overline{\partial}u_\beta(\cdots\widehat{u_\alpha}\cdots\widehat{u_\beta}\cdots)^{(i-1)}\right)\\
&= i!(n-i)!(u_1,\cdots,u_n)^{(i)} + (i-1)!(n-i)!\sum_{\beta=1}^{n}(-1)^{\beta}\partial\overline{\partial}u_\beta\left(\sum_{\alpha<\beta}(-1)^{\alpha+1}u_\alpha(\cdots\widehat{u_\alpha}\cdots\widehat{u_\beta}\cdots)^{(i-1)}\right.\\
&\qquad\qquad \left.+\sum_{\beta<\alpha}(-1)^{\alpha}u_\alpha(\cdots\widehat{u_\beta}\cdots\widehat{u_\alpha}\cdots)^{(i-1)}\right)\\
&= i!(n-i)!(u_1,\cdots,u_n)^{(i)} + (n-i)\sum_{\beta=1}^{n}(-1)^{\beta}\partial\overline{\partial}u_\beta S_{n-1}^i(u_1,\cdots\widehat{u_\beta}\cdots,u_n).
\end{aligned}$$

The second identity can be proved in a similar way. □

Lemma 4.4. *For (p_i,p_i)-forms u_i and (q_j,q_j)-forms v_j, we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{n+m}^k(u_1,\cdots,u_n,v_1,\cdots,v_m) &= \sum_{i=1}^{k}\frac{(k-1)!(n+m-k)!}{(n-i)!(i-1)!}S_n^i(u_1,\cdots,u_n)\wedge(v_1,\cdots,v_m)^{(k-i)}\\
&\quad + (-1)^n\sum_{j=1}^{k}\frac{(k-1)!(n+m-k)!}{(m-j)!(j-1)!}(u_1,\cdots,u_n)^{(k-j)}\wedge S_m^j(v_1,\cdots,v_m).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{k=1}^{n+m}(-1)^k S_{n+m}^k(u_1,\cdots,u_n,v_1,\cdots,v_m)\\
&\qquad = \sum_{\substack{1\le i\le n\\ 0\le 1\le m}}(-1)^{i+j}\frac{(n+m-i-j)!(i+j-1)!}{(n-i)!(i-1)!}S_n^i(u_1,\cdots,u_n)\wedge(v_1,\cdots,v_m)^{(j)}\\
&\qquad\quad + \sum_{\substack{0\le i\le n\\ 1\le j\le m}}(-1)^{n+i+j}\frac{(n+m-i-j)!(i+j-1)!}{(m-j)!(j-1)!}(v_1,\cdots v_n)^{(i)}\wedge S_m^j(v_1,\cdots,v_m).
\end{aligned}$$

Proof: We have

$$S_{n+m}^k(u_1,\cdots,u_n,v_1,\cdots,v_m)$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= (k-1)!(n+m-k)!\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n}(-1)^{\alpha+1}u_\alpha(u_1,\cdots\widehat{u_\alpha}\cdots u_n,v_1,\cdots,v_m)^{(k-1)}\\
&\quad + (-1)^n(k-1)!(n+m-k)!\sum_{\beta=1}^{n}(-1)^{\beta+1}v_\beta(u_1,\cdots,u_n,v_1,\cdots\widehat{v_\beta}\cdots,v_m)^{(k-1)}\\
&= (k-1)!(n+m-k)!\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n}(-1)^{\alpha+1}u_\alpha\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k}(u_1,\cdots\widehat{u_\alpha}\cdots u_n)^{(i-1)}\wedge(v_1,\cdots,v_m)^{(k-i)}\right)\\
&\quad + (-1)^n(k-1)!(n+m-k)!\sum_{\beta=1}^{n}(-1)^{\beta+1}v_\beta\left(\sum_{j=1}^{k}(u_1,\cdots,u_n)^{(k-j)}\wedge(v_1,\cdots\widehat{v_\beta}\cdots,v_m)^{(j-1)}\right)\\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{k}\tfrac{(k-1)!(n+m-k)!}{(i-1)!(n-i)!}S_n^i(u_1,\cdots,u_n)\wedge(v_1,\cdots,v_m)^{(k-i)}\\
&\quad + (-1)^n\sum_{j=1}^{k}\tfrac{(k-1)!(n+m-k)!}{(j-1)!(m-j)!}(u_1,\cdots,u_n)^{(k-j)}\wedge S_m^j(v_1,\cdots,v_m),
\end{aligned}
$$

which completes the proof. □

If we assume that u_i and v_j are in $\mathcal{D}_1(M)$, then by Lem.4.3 we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&d_{\mathcal{D}}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}(-1)^i S_n^i(u_1,\cdots,u_n)\vartriangle\sum_{j=1}^{m}(-1)^j S_m^j(v_1,\cdots v_m)\right)\\
&\quad = -\sum_{\substack{1\le i\le n\\1\le j\le m}}(-1)^{i+j}a_{i,j}^{n,m}dS_n^i(u_1,\cdots,u_n)\wedge S_m^j(v_1,\cdots v_m)\\
&\qquad + (-1)^n\sum_{\substack{1\le i\le n\\1\le j\le m}}(-1)^{i+j}a_{i,j}^{n,m}S_n^i(u_1,\cdots,u_n)\wedge dS_m^j(v_1,\cdots v_m)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= -\sum_{\substack{0\le i\le n\\ 1\le j\le m}} (-1)^{i+j} i!(n-i)!(a^{n,m}_{i,j} - a^{n,m}_{i+1,j})(u_1,\cdots,u_n)^{(i)} \wedge S^j_m(v_1,\cdots v_m)\\
&\quad - \sum_{\substack{1\le i\le n-1\\ 1\le j\le m}} (-1)^{i+j}((n-i)a^{n,m}_{i,j} + i a^{n,m}_{i+1,j})\\
&\qquad\qquad \times \left(\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n} (-1)^{\alpha} \partial\overline{\partial} u_\alpha S^i_{n-1}(u_1,\cdots,\widehat{u_\alpha},\cdots,u_n) \wedge S^j_m(v_1,\cdots,v_m)\right)\\
&\quad + (-1)^n \sum_{\substack{1\le i\le n\\ 0\le j\le m}} (-1)^{i+j} j!(m-j)!(a^{n,m}_{i,j} - a^{n,m}_{i,j+1}) S^i_n(u_1,\cdots,u_n) \wedge (v_1,\cdots v_m)^{(j)}\\
&\quad + (-1)^n \sum_{\substack{1\le i\le n\\ 1\le j\le m-1}} (-1)^{i+j}((m-j)a^{n,m}_{i,j} + j a^{n,m}_{i,j+1})\\
&\qquad\qquad \times \left(S^i_n(u_1,\cdots,u_n) \wedge \sum_{\beta=1}^{m} (-1)^{\beta} \partial\overline{\partial} v_\beta S^j_{m-1}(v_1,\cdots,\widehat{v_\beta},\cdots,v_m)\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Let us compute the coefficients of the above expression. Since $\binom{n+m-i-j+1}{n-\alpha} = \binom{n+m-i-j}{n-\alpha} + \binom{n+m-i-j}{n-1-\alpha}$ and $\binom{i+j}{\alpha} = \binom{i+j-1}{\alpha} + \binom{i+j-1}{\alpha-1}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
a^{n,m}_{i,j} - a^{n,m}_{i+1,j} &= 1 - 2\binom{n+m}{n}^{-1} \sum_{\alpha=0}^{i-1} \binom{n+m-i-j+1}{n-\alpha}\binom{i+j-1}{\alpha}\\
&\quad - 1 + 2\binom{n+m}{n}^{-1} \sum_{\alpha=0}^{i} \binom{n+m-i-j}{n-\alpha}\binom{i+j}{\alpha}\\
&= 2\binom{n+m}{n}^{-1}\binom{n+m-i-j}{n-i}\binom{i+j-1}{i}
\end{aligned}$$

for $1 \le i \le n-1$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
a^{n,m}_{i,j} - a^{n,m}_{i,j+1} &= 1 - 2\binom{n+m}{n}^{-1} \sum_{\alpha=0}^{i-1} \binom{n+m-i-j+1}{n-\alpha}\binom{i+j-1}{\alpha}\\
&\quad - 1 + 2\binom{n+m}{n}^{-1} \sum_{\alpha=0}^{i-1} \binom{n+m-i-j}{n-\alpha}\binom{i+j}{\alpha}\\
&= -2\binom{n+m}{n}^{-1}\binom{n+m-i-j}{n-i}\binom{i+j-1}{i-1}\\
&= -2\binom{n+m}{n}^{-1}\binom{n+m-i-j}{m-j}\binom{i+j-1}{j}
\end{aligned}$$

for $1 \le j \le m-1$. It follows from the definition of $a^{n,m}_{i,j}$ and Lem.4.1 that

$$\begin{aligned}
a^{n,m}_{1,j} &= 1 - 2\binom{n+m}{n}^{-1}\binom{n+m-j}{n},\\
a^{n,m}_{n,j} &= -1 + 2\binom{n+m}{n}^{-1}\binom{n+j-1}{n},
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} a^{n,m}_{i,1} &= -1 + 2\tbinom{n+m}{m}^{-1}\tbinom{n+m-i}{m}, \\ a^{n,m}_{i,m} &= 1 - 2\tbinom{n+m}{m}^{-1}\tbinom{m+i-1}{m}. \end{aligned}$$

By Lem.A.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &(n-i)a^{n,m}_{i,j} + i a^{n,m}_{i+1,j} \\ &\quad = n - 2\tbinom{n+m}{n}^{-1}\left((n-i)\sum_{\alpha=0}^{i-1}\tbinom{n+m-i-j+1}{n-\alpha}\tbinom{i+j-1}{\alpha} + i\sum_{\alpha=0}^{i}\tbinom{n+m-i-j}{n-\alpha}\tbinom{i+j}{\alpha} \right) \\ &\quad = n - 2n\tbinom{n+m-1}{n-1}^{-1}\sum_{\alpha=0}^{i-1}\tbinom{n+m-i-j}{n-1-\alpha}\tbinom{i+j-1}{\alpha} \\ &\quad = n a^{n-1,m}_{i,j} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &(m-j)a^{n,m}_{i,j} + j a^{n,m}_{i,j+1} \\ &\quad = m - 2\tbinom{n+m}{n}^{-1}\left((m-j)\sum_{\alpha=0}^{i-1}\tbinom{n+m-i-j+1}{n-\alpha}\tbinom{i+j-1}{\alpha} + j\sum_{\alpha=0}^{i-1}\tbinom{n+m-i-j}{n-\alpha}\tbinom{i+j}{\alpha} \right) \\ &\quad = m - 2m\tbinom{n+m-1}{n}^{-1}\sum_{\alpha=0}^{i-1}\tbinom{n+m-i-j}{n-\alpha}\tbinom{i+j-1}{\alpha} \\ &\quad = m a^{n,m-1}_{i,j}. \end{aligned}$$

These computations imply that

$$\begin{aligned} &d_{\mathcal{D}}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}(-1)^i S^i_n(u_1,\cdots,u_n) \vartriangle \sum_{j=1}^{n}(-1)^j S^j_m(v_1,\cdots,v_m) \right) \\ &\quad = -2\tbinom{n+m}{n}^{-1}\sum_{\substack{0\le i\le n\\ 1\le j\le m}}(-1)^{i+j} i!(n-i)!\tbinom{n+m-i-j}{n-i}\tbinom{i+j-1}{i}(u_1,\cdots,u_n)^{(i)}\wedge S^j_m(v_1,\cdots,v_m) \\ &\qquad - n\sum_{\substack{1\le i\le n-1\\ 1\le j\le m}}(-1)^{i+j}a^{n-1,m}_{i,j}\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n}(-1)^{\alpha}\partial\overline{\partial}u_\alpha S^i_{n-1}(u_1,\cdots,\widehat{u_\alpha},\cdots,u_n)\wedge S^j_m(v_1,\cdots,v_m) \\ &\qquad - 2(-1)^n\tbinom{n+m}{n}^{-1}\sum_{\substack{1\le i\le n\\ 0\le j\le m}}(-1)^{i+j} j!(m-j)!\tbinom{n+m-i-j}{m-j}\tbinom{i+j-1}{j}S^i_n(u_1,\cdots,u_n)\wedge(v_1,\cdots,v_m)^{(j)} \\ &\qquad + (-1)^n m\sum_{\substack{1\le i\le n\\ 1\le j\le m-1}}(-1)^{i+j}a^{n,m-1}_{i,j}S^i_n(u_1,\cdots,u_n)\wedge\sum_{\beta=1}^{m}(-1)^{\beta}\partial\overline{\partial}v_\beta S^j_{m-1}(v_1,\cdots,\widehat{v_\beta},\cdots,v_m) \end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&+\sum_{1\leq j\leq m}(-1)^{j}n!(u_1,\cdots,u_n)^{(0)}\wedge S_m^j(v_1,\cdots,v_m)\\
&+\sum_{1\leq j\leq m}(-1)^{n+j}n!(u_1,\cdots,u_n)^{(n)}\wedge S_m^j(v_1,\cdots,v_m)\\
&+\sum_{1\leq i\leq n}(-1)^{n+i}m!S_n^i(u_1,\cdots,u_n)\wedge(v_1,\cdots,v_m)^{(0)}\\
&+\sum_{1\leq i\leq n}(-1)^{n+m+i}m!S_n^i(u_1,\cdots,u_n)\wedge(v_1,\cdots,v_m)^{(m)}\\
=&-2\tbinom{n+m}{n}^{-1}\sum_{\substack{0\leq i\leq n\\1\leq j\leq m}}(-1)^{i+j}\tfrac{(n+m-i-j)!(i+j-1)!}{(m-j)!(j-1)!}(u_1,\cdots,u_n)^{(i)}\wedge S_m^j(v_1,\cdots,v_m)\\
&-n\sum_{\substack{1\leq i\leq n-1\\1\leq j\leq m}}(-1)^{i+j}a_{i,j}^{n-1,m}\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n}(-1)^{\alpha}\partial\overline{\partial}u_\alpha S_{n-1}^i(u_1,\cdots,\widehat{u_\alpha},\cdots,u_n)\wedge S_m^j(v_1,\cdots,v_m)\\
&-2(-1)^n\tbinom{n+m}{n}^{-1}\sum_{\substack{1\leq i\leq n\\0\leq j\leq m}}(-1)^{i+j}\tfrac{(n+m-i-j)!(i+j-1)!}{(n-i)!(i-1)!}S_n^i(u_1,\cdots,u_n)\wedge(v_1,\cdots,v_m)^{(j)}\\
&+(-1)^n m\sum_{\substack{1\leq i\leq n\\1\leq j\leq m-1}}(-1)^{i+j}a_{i,j}^{n,m-1}S_n^i(u_1,\cdots,u_n)\wedge\sum_{\beta=1}^{m}(-1)^{\beta}\partial\overline{\partial}v_\beta S_{m-1}^j(v_1,\cdots,\widehat{v_\beta},\cdots,v_m)\\
&+\sum_{1\leq j\leq m}(-1)^{j}\left(\overline{\partial}S_n^1(u_1,\cdots,u_n)+(-1)^n\partial S_n^n(u_1,\cdots,u_n)\right)\wedge S_m^j(v_1,\cdots,v_m)\\
&+\sum_{1\leq i\leq n}(-1)^{n+i}S_n^i(u_1,\cdots,u_n)\wedge\left(\overline{\partial}S_m^1(v_1,\cdots,v_m)+(-1)^m\partial S_m^m(v_1,\cdots,v_m)\right).
\end{aligned}
$$

Applying Lem.4.4 to the above, we have the following.

Proposition 4.5. *For $u_i\in\mathcal{D}_1(M)$ and $v_j\in\mathcal{D}_1(M)$, we have*

$$
\begin{aligned}
&d_{\mathcal{D}}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}(-1)^{i}S_n^i(u_1,\cdots,u_n)\vartriangle\sum_{j=1}^{m}(-1)^{j}S_m^j(v_1,\cdots,v_m)\right)\\
&=2(-1)^{n+1}\tbinom{n+m}{n}^{-1}\sum_{k=1}^{n+m}(-1)^{k}S_{n+m}^k(u_1,\cdots,u_n,v_1,\cdots,v_m)\\
&\quad-n\sum_{\substack{1\leq i\leq n-1\\1\leq j\leq m}}(-1)^{i+j}a_{i,j}^{n-1,m}\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n}(-1)^{\alpha}\partial\overline{\partial}u_\alpha S_{n-1}^i(u_1,\cdots,\widehat{u_\alpha},\cdots,u_n)\wedge S_m^j(v_1,\cdots,v_m)\\
&\quad+(-1)^n m\sum_{\substack{1\leq i\leq n\\1\leq j\leq m-1}}(-1)^{i+j}a_{i,j}^{n,m-1}S_n^i(u_1,\cdots,u_n)\wedge\sum_{\beta=1}^{m}(-1)^{\beta}\partial\overline{\partial}v_\beta S_{m-1}^j(v_1,\cdots,\widehat{v_\beta},\cdots,v_m)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$+ (-1)^n \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} (-1)^i S_n^i(u_1, \cdots, u_n) \right) \bullet \left(\sum_{j=1}^{m} (-1)^j S_m^j(v_1, \cdots, v_m) \right).$$

Let us return to the proof of Thm.4.2. We may assume that $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{G}$ are emi-cubes. For $s < t$, let $\pi_1 : (\mathbb{P}^1)^t \to (\mathbb{P}^1)^s$ denote the projection defined by $(x_1, \cdots, x_t) \mapsto (x_1, \cdots, x_s)$ and let $\pi_2 : (\mathbb{P}^1)^t \to (\mathbb{P}^1)^s$ denote the projection defined by $(x_1, \cdots, x_t) \mapsto (x_{t-s+1}, \cdots, x_t)$. Then by Prop.4.5 we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&d_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathrm{ch}_n(\mathcal{F}) \vartriangle \mathrm{ch}_m(\mathcal{G})) \\
&= \tfrac{(-1)^{n+m}}{4n!m!(2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{n+m}} \int_{(\mathbb{P}^1)^{n+m}} \pi_1^* \mathrm{ch}_0(\mathrm{tr}\,\mathcal{F}) \wedge \pi_2^* \mathrm{ch}_0(\mathrm{tr}\,\mathcal{G}) \wedge d_{\mathcal{D}} \left((\sum_{i=1}^{n} (-1)^i \pi_1^* S_n^i) \vartriangle (\sum_{j=1}^{m} (-1)^j \pi_2^* S_m^j) \right) \\
&= \tfrac{(-1)^{m+1}}{2(n+m)!(2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{n+m}} \int_{(\mathbb{P}^1)^{n+m}} \mathrm{ch}_0(\mathrm{tr}(\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{G})) \wedge \sum_{k=1}^{n+m} (-1)^k S_{n+m}^k \\
&\quad + \tfrac{(-1)^{n+m+1}}{4(n-1)!m!(2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{n+m-1}} \int_{(\mathbb{P}^1)^{n+m-1}} \mathrm{ch}_0(\mathrm{tr}(\partial\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{G})) \wedge \sum_{\substack{1 \le i \le n-1 \\ 1 \le j \le m}} (-1)^{i+j} a_{i,j}^{n-1,m} \pi_1^* S_{n-1}^i \wedge \pi_2^* S_m^j \\
&\quad + \tfrac{(-1)^{m}}{4n!(m-1)!(2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{n+m-1}} \int_{(\mathbb{P}^1)^{n+m-1}} \mathrm{ch}_0(\mathrm{tr}(\mathcal{F} \otimes \partial\mathcal{G})) \wedge \sum_{\substack{1 \le i \le n \\ 1 \le j \le m-1}} (-1)^{i+j} a_{i,j}^{n,m-1} \pi_1^* S_n^i \wedge \pi_2^* S_{m-1}^j \\
&\quad + \tfrac{(-1)^{m}}{4n!m!(2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{n+m}} \int_{(\mathbb{P}^1)^{n+m}} \mathrm{ch}_0(\mathrm{tr}(\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{G})) \wedge \left((\sum_{i=1}^{n} (-1)^i \pi_1^* S_n^i) \bullet (\sum_{j=1}^{m} (-1)^j \pi_2^* S_m^j) \right) \\
&= (-1)^{n+1} \mathrm{ch}_{n+m}(\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{G}) + \mathrm{ch}_{n-1}(\partial\mathcal{F}) \vartriangle \mathrm{ch}_m(\mathcal{G}) \\
&\quad + (-1)^{n+1} \mathrm{ch}_n(\mathcal{F}) \vartriangle \mathrm{ch}_m(\partial\mathcal{G}) + (-1)^n \mathrm{ch}_n(\mathcal{F}) \bullet \mathrm{ch}_m(\mathcal{G}),
\end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof. □

4.3. **Renormalization.** In this subsection we renormalize the identity in Thm.4.2. Let us define

$$\bullet : \mathcal{A}^n(M, p) \times \mathcal{A}^m(M, q) \to \mathcal{A}^{n+m}(M, p+q)$$

as

$$x \bullet y = \frac{(-1)^n}{4\pi\sqrt{-1}} (\partial x^{(p-1,n-p)} - \overline{\partial} x^{(n-p,p-1)}) \wedge y + \frac{1}{4\pi\sqrt{-1}} x \wedge (\partial y^{(q-1,m-q)} - \overline{\partial} y^{(m-q,q-1)})$$

if $n < 2p, m < 2q$ and $x \bullet y = x \wedge y$ if $n = 2p$ or $m = 2q$. Then it follows that

$$\Theta(x \bullet y) = \Theta(x) \bullet \Theta(y)$$

for $x \in \mathcal{D}^n(M, p)$ and $y \in \mathcal{D}^m(M, q)$, where $\Theta : \mathcal{D}^*(M, *) \to \mathcal{A}^*(M, *)$ is the renormalization operator defined in §1.6.

For $n, m \ge 1$ let us define

$$\vartriangle : \mathcal{A}^{2p-n}(M, p) \times \mathcal{A}^{2q-m}(M, q) \to \mathcal{A}^{2p+2q-n-m-1}(M, p+q)$$

by

$$x \vartriangle y = \frac{1}{4\pi\sqrt{-1}} \sum_{\substack{1\le i\le n\\ 1\le j\le m}} a_{i,j}^{n,m} x^{(p-n+i-1,p-i)} \wedge y^{(q-m+j-1,q-j)}.$$

If $n=0$ or $m=0$, then $x \vartriangle y$ is defined to be zero. Then it follows that

$$\Theta(x \vartriangle y) = \Theta(x) \vartriangle \Theta(y)$$

for $x \in \mathcal{D}^{2p-n}(M,p)$ and $y \in \mathcal{D}^{2q-m}(M,q)$. Then we can rewrite the product formula as follows:

Proposition 4.6. *If $\mathcal{F}$ is an exact metrized n-cube on M and $\mathcal{G}$ is an exact metrized m-cube on M, then we have*

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{ch}_{n+m}^{GS}(\mathcal{F}\otimes\mathcal{G}) - \mathrm{ch}_{n}^{GS}(\mathcal{F}) \bullet \mathrm{ch}_{m}^{GS}(\mathcal{G}) &= (-1)^{n+1} d_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathrm{ch}_{n}^{GS}(\mathcal{F}) \vartriangle \mathrm{ch}_{m}^{GS}(\mathcal{G}))\\ &\quad + (-1)^{n}\,\mathrm{ch}_{n-1}^{GS}(\partial\mathcal{F}) \vartriangle \mathrm{ch}_{m}^{GS}(\mathcal{G}) - \mathrm{ch}_{n}^{GS}(\mathcal{F}) \vartriangle \mathrm{ch}_{m-1}^{GS}(\partial\mathcal{G}).\end{aligned}$$

5. PRODUCT

5.1. **Notations on bisimplicial sets.** A *bisimplicial set* is a contravariant functor from the category of pairs of finite ordered sets to the category of sets. The product $S \times T$ and the reduced product $S \wedge T$ of two simplicial sets S, T are examples of bisimplicial sets. For a bisimplicial set S, let $S_{n,m} = S([n],[m])$. The topological realization of a bisimplicial set S is defined as

$$|S| = \coprod_{n,m} S_{n,m} \times \Delta^n \times \Delta^m / \sim,$$

where the relation $\sim$ is given in a similar way to the case of a simplicial set. Then $|S|$ is a CW-complex such that the set of k-cells of $|S|$ can be identified with the set of nondegenerate elements of $\coprod_{n+m=k} S_{n,m}$.

For a bisimplicial set S, $[n] \mapsto S_{n,n}$ becomes a simplicial set. It is denoted by $\Delta(S)$ and called the *diagonal simplicial set* of S. The topological realization $|\Delta(S)|$ is homeomorphic to $|S|$ and $|\Delta(S)|$ becomes a subdivision of $|S|$ as CW-complexes. Hence the identity map $|S| \to |\Delta(S)|$ is cellular, although the inverse is not.

5.2. **Product in higher K-theory.** In this subsection we review the product in higher algebraic K-theory by means of S-construction [16]. For a small exact category $\mathfrak{A}$, let $S_nS_m\mathfrak{A}$ be the set of functors

$$E : \mathrm{Ar}[n] \times \mathrm{Ar}[m] \to \mathfrak{A},\ (i \le j, \alpha \le \beta) \mapsto E_{(i,j)\times(\alpha,\beta)}$$

satisfying the following conditions:

(1) $E_{(i,i)\times(\alpha,\beta)} = 0$ and $E_{(i,j)\times(\alpha,\alpha)} = 0$.
(2) For any $i \le j \le k$ and $\alpha \le \beta$, $E_{(i,j)\times(\alpha,\beta)} \to E_{(i,k)\times(\alpha,\beta)} \to E_{(j,k)\times(\alpha,\beta)}$ is a short exact sequence of $\mathfrak{A}$.

(3) For any $i \leq j$ and $\alpha \leq \beta \leq \gamma$, $E_{(i,j)\times(\alpha,\beta)} \to E_{(i,j)\times(\alpha,\gamma)} \to E_{(i,j)\times(\beta,\gamma)}$ is a short exact sequence of $\mathfrak{A}$.

Then $([n],[m]) \mapsto S_n S_m \mathfrak{A}$ is a bisimplicial set, which is denoted by $S^{(2)}\mathfrak{A}$. The natural identification $S_1 S_m \mathfrak{A} = S_m \mathfrak{A}$ yields a morphism of bisimplicial sets

$$S^1 \wedge S\mathfrak{A} \to S^{(2)}\mathfrak{A},$$

and its adjoint map $|S\mathfrak{A}| \to \Omega|S^{(2)}\mathfrak{A}|$ turns out to be a homotopy equivalence.

When $\mathfrak{A}$ is equipped with a tensor product, a morphism of bisimplicial sets

$$m : S\mathfrak{A} \wedge S\mathfrak{A} \to S^{(2)}\mathfrak{A}$$

is defined by $m(E,F)_{(i,j)\times(\alpha,\beta)} = E_{i,j} \otimes F_{\alpha,\beta}$. This induces a pairing

$$m_* : \pi_{n+1}(|S\mathfrak{A}|) \times \pi_{m+1}(|S\mathfrak{A}|) \to \pi_{n+m+2}(|S^{(2)}\mathfrak{A}|).$$

Combining this pairing with the isomorphisms $K_n(\mathfrak{A}) \simeq \pi_{n+1}(|S\mathfrak{A}|) \simeq \pi_{n+2}(|S^{(2)}\mathfrak{A}|)$ yields the product in higher algebraic K-theory $K_*(\mathfrak{A})$.

5.3. ***G*-construction.** In [9], Gillet and Grayson constructed a simplicial set $G\mathfrak{A}$ associated with a small exact category $\mathfrak{A}$ that is homotopy equivalent to the loop space of the S-construction $S\mathfrak{A}$. In this subsection we recall their construction.

Let $G_n\mathfrak{A}$ be the set of pairs (E^+, E^-) of $E^+, E^- \in S_{n+1}\mathfrak{A}$ with $\partial_0 E^+ = \partial_0 E^-$. Let us define the boundary maps $\partial_k : G_n\mathfrak{A} \to G_{n-1}\mathfrak{A}$ by $(E^+, E^-) \mapsto (\partial_{k+1}E^+, \partial_{k+1}E^-)$ and the degeneracy maps $s_k : G_n\mathfrak{A} \to G_{n+1}\mathfrak{A}$ by $(E^+, E^-) \mapsto (s_{k+1}E^+, s_{k+1}E^-)$. Then $(G_*\mathfrak{A}, \partial_k, s_k)$ becomes a simplicial set. We fix $0 = (0,0) \in G_0\mathfrak{A}$ as the base point of $G\mathfrak{A}$.

Let ι_k denote the element of $\Delta[1]_n = \mathrm{Hom}([n],[1])$ defined as

$$\iota_k(i) = \begin{cases} 0, & i < k, \\ 1, & i \geq k. \end{cases}$$

Then $\Delta[1]_n = \{\iota_0, \iota_1, \cdots, \iota_{n+1}\}$. Let

$$\chi_n^{\pm} : \Delta[1]_n \times G_n\mathfrak{A} \to S_n\mathfrak{A}$$

be maps defined as

$$\chi_n^{\pm}(\iota_k, (E^+, E^-)) = \begin{cases} \partial_0 E^{\pm}, & k = 0, \\ (s_0)^{k-1}(\partial_1)^k E^{\pm}, & k \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

Then $\chi^{\pm} = \{\chi_n^{\pm}\} : \Delta(\Delta[1] \times G\mathfrak{A}) \to S\mathfrak{A}$ are morphisms of simplicial sets such that $\chi^{\pm}(\{0\} \times G\mathfrak{A}) = *$ and $\chi^+|_{\{1\}\times G\mathfrak{A}} = \chi^-|_{\{1\}\times G\mathfrak{A}}$.

Let T^1 be a simplicial set given by the following cocartesian square:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
& \Delta[1] \arrow[dr] & \\
\{0\} \cup \{1\} \arrow[ur] \arrow[dr] & & T^1. \\
& \Delta[1] \arrow[ur] &
\end{tikzcd}$$

We fix the image of $\{0\} \in \Delta[1]$ as the base point of T^1. Since the topological realization of $\Delta[1]$ is the unit interval $I = [0,1]$, the topological realization of T^1 is the barycentric subdivision of the circle $S^1 = I/\partial I$.

Theorem 5.1. [9] *By gluing the morphisms* $\chi^{\pm}$, *we obtain a morphism of simplicial sets*

$$\chi : \Delta(T^1 \wedge G\mathfrak{A}) \to S\mathfrak{A}.$$

The adjoint map $|G\mathfrak{A}| \to \Omega|S\mathfrak{A}|$ *to* $|\chi|$ *is homotopy equivalent. Therefore we have an isomorphism*

$$\pi_i(|G\mathfrak{A}|, 0) \simeq K_i(\mathfrak{A}).$$

We next introduce a description of the product in K-theory by means of G-construction, which is also given in [9]. Let

$$G_nG_m\mathfrak{A} = \{(E^{++}, E^{+-}, E^{-+}, E^{--}); E^{\pm\pm} \in S_{n+1}S_{m+1}\mathfrak{A}, \partial_0 E^{+\pm} = \partial_0 E^{-\pm}, \partial'_0 E^{\pm+} = \partial'_0 E^{\pm-}\},$$

where ∂_0 is the boundary map on the first factor of the bisimplicial set $S^{(2)}\mathfrak{A}$ and ∂'_0 is the boundary map on the second factor of $S^{(2)}\mathfrak{A}$. Then $([n], [m]) \mapsto G_nG_m\mathfrak{A}$ becomes a bisimplicial set, which is denoted by $G^{(2)}\mathfrak{A}$. Let $R : G_n\mathfrak{A} \to G_0G_n\mathfrak{A}$ be the morphism defined by $R(E^+, E^-) = (E^+, E^-, 0, 0)$. Then it is shown in [9] that R induces a homotopy equivalent morphism $R : G\mathfrak{A} \to G^{(2)}\mathfrak{A}$. Therefore we have an isomorphism

$$\pi_n(|G^{(2)}\mathfrak{A}|) \simeq K_n(\mathfrak{A}).$$

A morphism of bisimplicial sets

$$m^G : G\mathfrak{A} \wedge G\mathfrak{A} \to G^{(2)}\mathfrak{A}$$

is defined by $m^G(E, F)^{\pm\pm} = E^{\pm} \otimes F^{\pm}$ for $E = (E^+, E^-) \in G_n\mathfrak{A}$ and $F = (F^+, F^-) \in G_m\mathfrak{A}$. Then the pairing

$$m^G_* : \pi_n(|G\mathfrak{A}|) \times \pi_m(|G\mathfrak{A}|) \to \pi_{n+m}(|G^{(2)}\mathfrak{A}|)$$

induces a product in $K_*(\mathfrak{A})$, which agrees with the one defined by using the S-construction.

Finally, let us define a cube associated with an element of $G\mathfrak{A}$ or $G^{(2)}\mathfrak{A}$. The morphism $\chi : \Delta(T^1 \wedge G\mathfrak{A}) \to S\mathfrak{A}$ in Thm.5.1 yields a morphism of complexes

$$\mathrm{Cub} : C_*(|G\mathfrak{A}|) \xrightarrow{\chi_*} C_*(|S\mathfrak{A}|)[-1] \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Cub}} \mathrm{Cub}_*(\mathfrak{A}).$$

The cube $\mathrm{Cub}(E)$ associated with $E = (E^+, E^-) \in G_n\mathfrak{A}$ is defined as the image by Cub of the element of $C_*(|G\mathfrak{A}|)$ represented by E. Since $\chi_*([E]) = [E^+] - [E^-]$ in $C_{n+1}(|S\mathfrak{A}|)$, we have

$$\mathrm{Cub}(E) = \mathrm{Cub}(E^+) - \mathrm{Cub}(E^-).$$

Let us define the cube associated with $E = (E^{\pm\pm}) \in G_nG_m\mathfrak{A}$ as

$$\mathrm{Cub}(E) = \mathrm{Cub}(E^{++}) - \mathrm{Cub}(E^{+-}) - \mathrm{Cub}(E^{-+}) + \mathrm{Cub}(E^{--}),$$

where $\mathrm{Cub}(E^{\pm\pm})$ is the image of the element of $E^{\pm\pm} \in S_{n+1}S_{m+1}\mathfrak{A}$ by the homomorphism

$$S_{n+1}S_{m+1}\mathfrak{A} \to \mathrm{Cub}_n(S_{m+1}\mathfrak{A}) \to \mathrm{Cub}_n(\mathrm{Cub}_m(\mathfrak{A})) = \mathrm{Cub}_{n+m}(\mathfrak{A}).$$

If $E = (E^{\pm\pm})$ is degenerate, then we can show in the same way as the proof of Lem.3.1 that the cube $\mathrm{Cub}(E^{\pm\pm})$ associated with E is zero in $\mathrm{Cub}_{n+m}(\mathfrak{A})$. Hence $E = (E^{\pm\pm}) \mapsto \mathrm{Cub}(E)$ induces a homomorphism of complexes

$$\mathrm{Cub} : C_*(|G^{(2)}\mathfrak{A}|) \to \mathrm{Cub}_*(\mathfrak{A}).$$

Proposition 5.2. *We have the following commutative diagram:*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
C_*(|G\mathfrak{A}|) \otimes C_*(|G\mathfrak{A}|) \arrow[r, "\mathrm{Cub} \otimes \mathrm{Cub}"] \arrow[d, "m_*^G"] & \mathrm{Cub}_*(\mathfrak{A}) \otimes \mathrm{Cub}_*(\mathfrak{A}) \arrow[d, "\otimes"] \\
C_*(|G^{(2)}\mathfrak{A}|) \arrow[r, "\mathrm{Cub}"] & \mathrm{Cub}_*(\mathfrak{A}) \\
C_*(|G\mathfrak{A}|) \arrow[r, "\mathrm{Cub}"] \arrow[u, "R_*"] & \mathrm{Cub}_*(\mathfrak{A}). \arrow[u, "\mathrm{id}"]
\end{tikzcd}$$

5.4. **The pairing $\widehat{\mathcal{K}}_0 \times \widehat{K}_n \to \widehat{K}_n$.** For a proper arithmetic variety X, let $\widehat{\mathcal{P}}(X)$ be the category of hermitian vector bundles on X and $\widehat{G}(X) = G(\widehat{\mathcal{P}}(X))$ its G-construction. Then there is a homomorphism of complexes

$$\mathrm{ch}^{GS} : C_*(|\widehat{G}(X)|) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Cub}} \widehat{\mathrm{Cub}}_*(X) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{ch}^{GS}} \mathcal{A}_*(X).$$

Proposition 5.3. *The morphism of simplicial sets $\chi : \Delta(T^1 \wedge \widehat{G}(X)) \to \widehat{S}(X)$ yields an isomorphism*

$$\widehat{\chi}_* : \widehat{\pi}_n(|\widehat{G}(X)|, \mathrm{ch}^{GS}) \simeq \widehat{\pi}_{n+1}(|\widehat{S}(X)|, \mathrm{ch}^{GS})$$

by $[(f,\omega)] \mapsto [(\chi(1 \wedge f), -\omega)]$. Hence there is a canonical isomorphism

$$\widehat{K}_n(X) \simeq \widehat{\pi}_n(|\widehat{G}(X)|, \mathrm{ch}^{GS})$$

if $n \geq 1$.

Proof: It is obvious that the map $(f,\omega) \mapsto (\chi(1 \wedge f), -\omega)$ gives rise to a homomorphism of the modified homotopy groups. Consider the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\pi_{n+1}(|\widehat{G}(X)|) \arrow[r, "\rho"] \arrow[d, "-\chi_*"] & \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_{n+1}(X) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "-\mathrm{id}"] & \widehat{\pi}_n(|\widehat{G}(X)|, \mathrm{ch}^{GS}) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\widehat{\chi}_*"] & \pi_n(|\widehat{G}(X)|) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\chi_*"] & 0 \\
\pi_{n+2}(|\widehat{S}(X)|) \arrow[r, "\rho"] & \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_{n+1}(X) \arrow[r] & \widehat{\pi}_{n+1}(|\widehat{S}(X)|, \mathrm{ch}^{GS}) \arrow[r] & \pi_{n+1}(|\widehat{S}(X)|) \arrow[r] & 0,
\end{tikzcd}$$

where the upper and lower sequences are exact by Thm.2.3 and χ_* is an isomorphism by Thm.5.1. Hence the proposition follows from the five lemma. □

If we set $\widehat{G}^{(2)}(X) = G^{(2)}(\widehat{\mathcal{P}}(X))$, then there is a homomorphism of complexes

$$\mathrm{ch}^{GS} : C_*(|\widehat{G}^{(2)}(X)|) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Cub}} \widehat{\mathrm{Cub}}_*(X) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{ch}^{GS}} \mathcal{A}_*(X)$$

and the following square is commutative by Prop.5.2:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
C_*(|\widehat{G}(X)|) \arrow[r, "\mathrm{ch}^{GS}"] \arrow[d, "R_*"] & \mathcal{A}_*(X) \arrow[d, "\mathrm{id}"] \\
C_*(|\widehat{G}^{(2)}(X)|) \arrow[r, "\mathrm{ch}^{GS}"] & \mathcal{A}_*(X).
\end{tikzcd}$$

Hence R induces an isomorphism

$$\widehat{R}_*:\widehat{\pi}_n(|\widehat{G}(X)|,\mathrm{ch}^{GS})\simeq\widehat{\pi}_n(|\widehat{G}^{(2)}(X)|,\mathrm{ch}^{GS})$$

by Thm.2.3.

Before discussing product in $\widehat{K}_*(X)$, let us recall the product in $\widehat{\mathcal{K}}_0(X)$ defined by Gillet and Soulé in [10]. The pairing

$$\widehat{\mathcal{K}}_0(X)\times\widehat{\mathcal{K}}_0(X)\to\widehat{\mathcal{K}}_0(X)$$

is given by

$$[(\overline{E},\omega)]\times[(\overline{F},\tau)]=[(\overline{E}\otimes\overline{F},\mathrm{ch}_0^{GS}(\overline{E})\bullet\tau+\omega\bullet\mathrm{ch}_0^{GS}(\overline{F})+\omega\bullet d_{\mathcal{A}}\tau)].$$

Then $\widehat{\mathcal{K}}_0(X)$ becomes a commutative associative algebra.

To construct a product in higher arithmetic K-theory, we will use the G-construction. However, we have not had any expression of $\widehat{K}_0(X)$ by means of the G-construction. Hence we have to distinguish the cases including the K_0-group from the general case.

Let $(\overline{E},\eta)$ be a pair of a hermitian vector bundle on X and $\eta\in\widetilde{A}_1(X)$ and let (f,ω) be a pair of a pointed cellular map $f:S^n\to|\widehat{G}(X)|$ and $\omega\in\widetilde{A}_{n+1}(X)$. Let us define the product of these pairs as

$$(\overline{E},\eta)\times(f,\omega)=(\overline{E}\otimes f,\mathrm{ch}_0^{GS}(\overline{E})\bullet\omega+\eta\bullet\mathrm{ch}_n^{GS}(f)+\eta\bullet d_{\mathcal{A}}\omega),$$

where $\overline{E}\otimes f:S^n\xrightarrow{f}|\widehat{G}(X)|\xrightarrow{\overline{E}\otimes}|\widehat{G}(X)|$.

Theorem 5.4. *The above product gives rise to a pairing*

$$\times:\widehat{\mathcal{K}}_0(X)\times\widehat{K}_n(X)\to\widehat{K}_n(X).$$

Proof: To prove the theorem, we have to show that $(\overline{E},\eta)\times(f,\omega)$ is compatible with the equivalence relations of $\widehat{\mathcal{K}}_0(X)$ and $\widehat{K}_n(X)$. Let us first show the compatibility with the relation of $\widehat{K}_n(X)$.

Let $H:S^n\times I/\{*\}\times I\to|\widehat{G}(X)|$ be a cellular homotopy from (f,ω) to (f',ω'). Then $\omega'-\omega=(-1)^{n+1}\,\mathrm{ch}_{n+1}^{GS}(H)$ and the map

$$\overline{E}\otimes H:S^n\times I/\{*\}\times I\xrightarrow{H}|\widehat{G}(X)|\xrightarrow{\overline{E}\otimes}|\widehat{G}(X)|$$

is a cellular homotopy from $\overline{E}\otimes f$ to $\overline{E}\otimes f'$. Furthermore, by Prop.4.6 we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{ch}_{n+1}^{GS}(\overline{E}\otimes H)&=\mathrm{ch}_0^{GS}(\overline{E})\bullet\mathrm{ch}_{n+1}^{GS}(H)\\&=(-1)^{n+1}\,\mathrm{ch}_0^{GS}(\overline{E})\bullet(\omega'-\omega).\end{aligned}$$

This tells that $\overline{E}\otimes H$ is a cellular homotopy from $(\overline{E},\eta)\times(f,\omega)$ to $(\overline{E},\eta)\times(f',\omega')$.

Next we show the compatibility with the relation of $\widehat{\mathcal{K}}_0(X)$. Let

$$\mathcal{E}:0\to\overline{E}\to\overline{F}\to\overline{G}\to 0$$

be a short exact sequence of hermitian vector bundles on X. Consider the following 1-dimensional subcomplex of $|\widehat{G}(X)|$:

$$\begin{tikzcd}(\overline{E}\oplus\overline{G},0) \arrow[r, "e_1"] & (\overline{F}\oplus\overline{G},\overline{G}) & (\overline{F},0) \arrow[l, "e_2"']\end{tikzcd},$$

where

$$e_1 = \left(\begin{tikzcd}\overline{E}\oplus\overline{G} \arrow[r] & \overline{F}\oplus\overline{G} \arrow[d] & 0 \arrow[r] & \overline{G} \arrow[d] \\ & \overline{G} & & \overline{G}\end{tikzcd}\right), e_2 = \left(\begin{tikzcd}\overline{F} \arrow[r] & \overline{F}\oplus\overline{G} \arrow[d] & 0 \arrow[r] & \overline{G} \arrow[d] \\ & \overline{G} & & \overline{G}\end{tikzcd}\right).$$

We denote by $\iota_{\mathcal{E}} : I \to |\widehat{G}(X)|$ the cellular map such that $\iota_{\mathcal{E}}(I) = e_1 e_2^{-1}$.

For a pointed cellular map $f : S^n \to |\widehat{G}(X)|$, let

$$H : S^n \times I/\{*\} \times I \xrightarrow{T} I \times S^n/I \times \{*\} \xrightarrow{\iota_{\mathcal{E}}\wedge f} |\widehat{G}(X)| \wedge |\widehat{G}(X)| \xrightarrow{m^G} |\widehat{G}^{(2)}(X)|,$$

where T is the switching map defined by $T(s,t) = (t,s)$. If $H_0(s) = H(s,0)$, then H_0 is written as

$$S^n \xrightarrow{f} |\widehat{G}(X)| \xrightarrow{\iota_{\overline{E}\oplus\overline{G}}\wedge \mathrm{id}} |\widehat{G}(X)| \wedge |\widehat{G}(X)| \xrightarrow{m^G} |\widehat{G}^{(2)}(X)|,$$

where $\iota_{\overline{E}\oplus\overline{G}} : S^0 \to |\widehat{G}(X)|$ is the pointed map determined by $(\overline{E}\oplus\overline{G}, 0) \in \widehat{G}_0(X)$. Since the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}|\widehat{G}(X)| \arrow[r, "\iota_{\overline{E}\oplus\overline{G}}\wedge \mathrm{id}"] \arrow[d, "(\overline{E}\oplus\overline{G})\otimes"] & |\widehat{G}(X)| \wedge |\widehat{G}(X)| \arrow[d, "m^G"] \\ |\widehat{G}(X)| \arrow[r, "R"] & |\widehat{G}^{(2)}(X)|\end{tikzcd}$$

is commutative, it follows that $H_0 = R((\overline{E}\oplus\overline{G})\otimes f)$. If $H_1(s) = H(s,1)$, then we can show $H_1 = R(\overline{F}\otimes f)$ in the same way. Moreover, Prop.4.6 implies

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{ch}^{GS}_{n+1}(H) &= (-1)^n \,\mathrm{ch}^{GS}_{n+1}(m^G_*(\iota_{\mathcal{E}}\wedge f)_*([I \times S^n])) \\ &\equiv (-1)^n \,\mathrm{ch}^{GS}_1(\iota_{\mathcal{E}}) \bullet \mathrm{ch}^{GS}_n(f) \\ &= (-1)^n \,\mathrm{ch}^{GS}_1(\mathcal{E}) \bullet \mathrm{ch}^{GS}_n(f)\end{aligned}$$

modulo $\operatorname{Im} d_{\mathcal{A}}$. Hence H is a cellular homotopy from $(R((\overline{E}\oplus\overline{G})\otimes f), \mathrm{ch}^{GS}_1(\mathcal{E}) \bullet \mathrm{ch}^{GS}_n(f))$ to $(R(\overline{F}\otimes f), 0)$. Since $\widehat{R}_* : \widehat{\pi}_n(|\widehat{G}(X)|, \mathrm{ch}^{GS}) \to \widehat{\pi}_n(|\widehat{G}^{(2)}(X)|, \mathrm{ch}^{GS})$ is bijective, we have

$$[((\overline{E}\oplus\overline{G})\otimes f, \mathrm{ch}^{GS}_1(\mathcal{E}) \bullet \mathrm{ch}^{GS}_n(f))] = [(\overline{F}\otimes f, 0)]$$

in $\widehat{\pi}_n(|\widehat{G}(X)|, \mathrm{ch}^{GS})$.

The short exact sequence $\mathcal{E}$ gives a relation

$$[(\overline{E},0)] + [(\overline{G},0)] = [(\overline{F}, -\mathrm{ch}^{GS}_1(\mathcal{E}))]$$

in $\widehat{\mathcal{K}}_0(X)$. We have

$$[(\overline{E},0)\times(f,\omega)] * [(\overline{G},0)\times(f,\omega)] = [((\overline{E}\otimes f) * (\overline{G}\otimes f), (\mathrm{ch}^{GS}_0(\overline{E}) + \mathrm{ch}^{GS}_0(\overline{G})) \bullet \omega)]$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}&[(\overline{F}, -\operatorname{ch}_1^{GS}(\mathcal{E})) \times (f, \omega)]\\ &\quad = [(\overline{F} \otimes f, \operatorname{ch}_0^{GS}(\overline{F}) \bullet \omega - \operatorname{ch}_1^{GS}(\mathcal{E}) \bullet \operatorname{ch}_n^{GS}(f) - d_{\mathcal{A}} \operatorname{ch}_1^{GS}(\mathcal{E}) \bullet \omega)]\\ &\quad = [((\overline{E} \oplus \overline{G}) \otimes f, (\operatorname{ch}_0^{GS}(\overline{E}) + \operatorname{ch}_0^{GS}(\overline{G})) \bullet \omega)]\end{aligned}$$

in $\widehat{\pi}_n(|\widehat{G}(X)|, \operatorname{ch}^{GS})$. Hence Thm.5.4 follows from Lem.5.5 and Lem.5.6 below. □

Lemma 5.5. *For a pointed cellular map $f : S^n \to |\widehat{G}(X)|$ and two hermitian vector bundles $\overline{E}, \overline{G}$ on X, we have*

$$[((\overline{E} \otimes f) \oplus (\overline{G} \otimes f), 0)] = [((\overline{E} \otimes f) * (\overline{G} \otimes f), 0)]$$

in $\widehat{\pi}_n(|\widehat{G}(X)|, \operatorname{ch}^{GS})$.

Proof: Let us first describe the map $(\overline{E} \otimes f) \oplus (\overline{G} \otimes f)$ explicitly. Since f is a pointed cellular map, the map

$$S^n \overset{\Delta}{\hookrightarrow} S^n \times S^n \xrightarrow{(\overline{E}\otimes f)\times(\overline{G}\otimes f)} |\Delta(\widehat{G}(X) \times \widehat{G}(X))|$$

is also a pointed cellular map. Moreover, the direct sum of hermitian vector bundles induces a morphism of simplicial sets

$$\oplus : \Delta(\widehat{G}(X) \times \widehat{G}(X)) \to \widehat{G}(X).$$

Then the map $(\overline{E} \otimes f) \oplus (\overline{G} \otimes f)$ is expressed as the composition of these two cellular maps, that is,

$$(\overline{E} \otimes f) \oplus (\overline{G} \otimes f) : S^n \overset{\Delta}{\hookrightarrow} S^n \times S^n \xrightarrow{(\overline{E}\otimes f)\times(\overline{G}\otimes f)} |\Delta(\widehat{G}(X) \times \widehat{G}(X))| \xrightarrow{\oplus} |\widehat{G}(X)|.$$

Consider the homomorphism of complexes

$$\operatorname{ch}^{GS} \oplus \operatorname{ch}^{GS} : C_*(|\Delta(\widehat{G}(X) \times \widehat{G}(X))|) \to \mathcal{A}_*(X) \oplus \mathcal{A}_*(X)$$

defined by $(E, F) \mapsto (\operatorname{ch}_n^{GS}(E), \operatorname{ch}_n^{GS}(F))$ for $E, F \in \widehat{G}_n(X)$ and the inclusion

$$in_1 \text{ (resp. } in_2) : \widehat{G}(X) \hookrightarrow \Delta(\widehat{G}(X) \times \widehat{G}(X))$$

defined by $in_1(t) = (t, *)$ (resp. $in_2(t) = (*, t)$). Then we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd} C_*(|\widehat{G}(X)|) \arrow[r, "\operatorname{ch}^{GS}"] \arrow[d, "in_{1*} \text{ (resp. } in_{2*})"] & \mathcal{A}_*(X) \arrow[d, "in_1 \text{ (resp. } in_2)"] \\ C_*(|\Delta(\widehat{G}(X) \times \widehat{G}(X))|) \arrow[r, "\operatorname{ch}^{GS} \oplus \operatorname{ch}^{GS}"] & \mathcal{A}_*(X) \oplus \mathcal{A}_*(X), \end{tikzcd}$$

where the right vertical arrow is defined by $in_1(\omega) = (\omega, 0)$ (resp. $in_2(\omega) = (0, \omega)$). On the other hand, the projection

$$pr_1 \text{ (resp. } pr_2) : \Delta(\widehat{G}(X) \times \widehat{G}(X)) \to \widehat{G}(X)$$

defined by $pr_1(x,y)=x$ (resp. $pr_2(x,y)=y$) is also a morphism of simplicial sets and it satisfies the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
C_*(|\Delta(\widehat{G}(X)\times\widehat{G}(X))|) \arrow[r, "\mathrm{ch}^{GS}\oplus\mathrm{ch}^{GS}"] \arrow[d, "pr_{1*}\ (\text{resp. } pr_{2*})"] & \mathcal{A}_*(X)\oplus\mathcal{A}_*(X) \arrow[d, "pr_1\ (\text{resp. } pr_2)"] \\
C_*(|\widehat{G}(X)|) \arrow[r, "\mathrm{ch}^{GS}"] & \mathcal{A}_*(X),
\end{tikzcd}$$

where the right vertical arrow is defined by $pr_1(\omega,\tau)=\omega$ (resp. $pr_2(\omega,\tau)=\tau$). Hence we have four homomorphisms

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\widehat{\pi}_n(|\Delta(\widehat{G}(X)\times\widehat{G}(X))|,\mathrm{ch}^{GS}\oplus\mathrm{ch}^{GS}) \arrow[r, shift left, "\widehat{pr_j}_*"] & \widehat{\pi}_n(|\widehat{G}(X)|,\mathrm{ch}^{GS}) \arrow[l, shift left, "\widehat{in_j}_*"]
\end{tikzcd}$$

that form an isomorphism

$$\widehat{\pi}_n(|\widehat{G}(X)|,\mathrm{ch}^{GS})\oplus\widehat{\pi}_n(|\widehat{G}(X)|,\mathrm{ch}^{GS})\simeq\widehat{\pi}_n(|\Delta(\widehat{G}(X)\times\widehat{G}(X))|,\mathrm{ch}^{GS}\oplus\mathrm{ch}^{GS})$$

by $(x,y)\mapsto\widehat{in_1}_*(x)*\widehat{in_2}_*(y)$. The inverse of it is $\widehat{pr_1}_*\oplus\widehat{pr_2}_*$. Since

$$\widehat{pr_1}_*([(((\overline{E}\otimes f)\times(\overline{G}\otimes f))\Delta,0)])=[(\overline{E}\otimes f,0)]$$

and

$$\widehat{pr_2}_*([(((\overline{E}\otimes f)\times(\overline{G}\otimes f))\Delta,0)])=[(\overline{G}\otimes f,0)],$$

we have

$$[(((\overline{E}\otimes f)\times(\overline{G}\otimes f))\Delta,0)]=\widehat{in_1}_*([(\overline{E}\otimes f,0)])*\widehat{in_2}_*([(\overline{G}\otimes f,0)])$$

in $\widehat{\pi}_*(|\Delta(\widehat{G}(X)\times\widehat{G}(X))|,\mathrm{ch}^{GS}\oplus\mathrm{ch}^{GS})$.

The following commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
C_*(|\Delta(\widehat{G}(X)\times\widehat{G}(X))|) \arrow[r, "\mathrm{ch}^{GS}\oplus\mathrm{ch}^{GS}"] \arrow[d, "\oplus_*"] & \mathcal{A}_*(X)\oplus\mathcal{A}_*(X) \arrow[d, "+"] \\
C_*(|\widehat{G}(X)|) \arrow[r, "\mathrm{ch}^{GS}"] & \mathcal{A}_*(X)
\end{tikzcd}$$

yields a homomorphism

$$\widehat{\oplus}_*:\widehat{\pi}_n(|\Delta(\widehat{G}(X)\times\widehat{G}(X))|,\mathrm{ch}^{GS}\oplus\mathrm{ch}^{GS})\to\widehat{\pi}_n(|\widehat{G}(X)|,\mathrm{ch}^{GS}).$$

Since $\widehat{\oplus}_*\widehat{in_j}_*$ is the identity homomorphism, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
[((\overline{E}\otimes f)\oplus(\overline{G}\otimes f),0)] &= \widehat{\oplus}_*\big([(((\overline{E}\otimes f)\times(\overline{G}\otimes f))\Delta,0)]\big)\\
&=[(\overline{E}\otimes f,0)]*[(\overline{G}\otimes f,0)]\\
&=[((\overline{E}\otimes f)*(\overline{G}\otimes f),0)],
\end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof. □

Lemma 5.6. *In the same notations as Lem.5.5, we have*

$$[((\overline{E} \oplus \overline{G}) \otimes f, 0)] = [((\overline{E} \otimes f) \oplus (\overline{G} \otimes f), 0)]$$

in $\widehat{\pi}_n(|\widehat{G}(X)|, \mathrm{ch}^{GS})$.

Proof: Consider the following diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\Delta(\widehat{G}(X) \times \widehat{G}(X)) \arrow[rr, "(\overline{E}\otimes\ \ ) \times (\overline{G}\otimes\ \ )"] & & \Delta(\widehat{G}(X) \times \widehat{G}(X)) \arrow[d, "\oplus"] & \\
\widehat{G}(X) \arrow[u, "\Delta"] \arrow[rr, "(\overline{E}\oplus\overline{G})\otimes"] & & \widehat{G}(X) \arrow[r, "R"] & \widehat{G}^{(2)}(X).
\end{tikzcd}$$

This square is commutative up to homotopy. Let α_0 be the upper map of the diagram and α_1 the lower map. Then for $P = (P^{\pm}) \in \widehat{G}_n(X)$, $\alpha_0(P)$ and $\alpha_1(P)$ are elements of $\widehat{G}_0\widehat{G}_n(X)$ described as

$$\alpha_0(P) = ((\overline{E} \otimes P^+) \oplus (\overline{G} \otimes P^+), (\overline{E} \otimes P^-) \oplus (\overline{G} \otimes P^-), 0, 0)$$

and

$$\alpha_1(P) = ((\overline{E} \oplus \overline{G}) \otimes P^+, (\overline{E} \oplus \overline{G}) \otimes P^-, 0, 0).$$

The canonical isometries $(\overline{E} \otimes P^{\pm}) \oplus (\overline{G} \otimes P^{\pm}) \simeq (\overline{E} \oplus \overline{G}) \otimes P^{\pm}$ give an element of $\widehat{G}_1\widehat{G}_n(X)$ whose Bott-Chern form is zero. Collecting these elements for all $P = (P^{\pm})$ provides a morphism of bisimplicial sets

$$\Psi : \Delta[1] \times \widehat{G}(X) \to \widehat{G}^{(2)}(X)$$

such that $\Psi(0, s) = \alpha_0(s)$ and $\Psi(1, s) = \alpha_1(s)$. Therefore for any pointed celular map $f : S^n \to |\widehat{G}(X)|$,

$$H : S^n \times I/\{*\} \times I \xrightarrow{T} I \times S^n/I \times \{*\} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id} \times f} I \times |\widehat{G}(X)|/I \times \{*\} \xrightarrow{|\Psi|} |\widehat{G}^{(2)}(X)|$$

is a cellular homotopy from $R((\overline{E} \otimes f) \oplus (\overline{G} \otimes f))$ to $R((\overline{E} \oplus \overline{G}) \otimes f)$ such that $\mathrm{ch}^{GS}_{n+1}(H) = 0$. Since $\widehat{R}_* : \widehat{\pi}_n(|\widehat{G}(X)|, \mathrm{ch}^{GS}) \to \widehat{\pi}_n(|\widehat{G}^{(2)}(X)|, \mathrm{ch}^{GS})$ is bijective, we have

$$[((\overline{E} \otimes f) \oplus (\overline{G} \otimes f), 0)] = [((\overline{E} \oplus \overline{G}) \otimes f, 0)]$$

in $\widehat{\pi}_n(|\widehat{G}(X)|, \mathrm{ch}^{GS})$. □

In the same way as above, we can define a pairing $\widehat{K}_n(X) \times \widehat{\mathcal{K}}_0(X) \to \widehat{K}_n(X)$ by

$$[(f, \omega)] \times [(\overline{E}, \eta)] = [(f \otimes \overline{E}, (-1)^n \, \mathrm{ch}^{GS}_n(f) \bullet \eta + \omega \bullet \mathrm{ch}^{GS}_0(\overline{E}) + \omega \bullet d_{\mathcal{A}}\eta)],$$

where $f \otimes \overline{E} : S^n \xrightarrow{f} |\widehat{G}(X)| \xrightarrow{\otimes \overline{E}} |\widehat{G}(X)|$. Combining these pairings with the isomorphism $\widehat{\alpha} : \widehat{\mathcal{K}}_0(X) \simeq \widehat{K}_0(X)$, we can obtain a pairing

$$\times : \widehat{K}_n(X) \times \widehat{K}_m(X) \to \widehat{K}_{n+m}(X)$$

when $n = 0$ or $m = 0$.

5.5. **The pairing of higher arithmetic K-theory.** In this subsection we define a pairing $\widehat{K}_n(X) \times \widehat{K}_m(X) \to \widehat{K}_{n+m}(X)$ in the case of $n, m \geq 1$. For two pointed cellular maps $f : S^n \to |\widehat{G}(X)|$ and $g : S^m \to |\widehat{G}(X)|$, we set

$$f \times g : S^{n+m} = S^n \wedge S^m \xrightarrow{f \wedge g} |\widehat{G}(X)| \wedge |\widehat{G}(X)| \xrightarrow{m^G} |\widehat{G}^{(2)}(X)|.$$

For $\omega \in \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_{n+1}(X)$ and $\tau \in \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_{m+1}(X)$, the product $(f, \omega) \times (g, \tau)$ is defined as

$$\begin{aligned}(f,&\omega) \times (g, \tau) \\ &= (f \times g, (-1)^n \operatorname{ch}_n^{GS}(f) \bullet \tau + \omega \bullet \operatorname{ch}_m^{GS}(g) + \omega \bullet d_{\mathcal{A}}\tau + (-1)^n \operatorname{ch}_n^{GS}(f) \vartriangle \operatorname{ch}_m^{GS}(g)).\end{aligned}$$

Proposition 5.7. *The above product gives rise to a pairing*

$$\widehat{m}_*^G : \widehat{\pi}_n(|\widehat{G}(X)|, \operatorname{ch}^{GS}) \times \widehat{\pi}_m(|\widehat{G}(X)|, \operatorname{ch}^{GS}) \to \widehat{\pi}_{n+m}(|\widehat{G}^{(2)}(X)|, \operatorname{ch}^{GS}).$$

Proof: For a cellular homotopy H from (f, ω) to (f', ω'), let $\widetilde{H}$ be a cellular map given as

$$\widetilde{H} : S^{n+m} \times I/\{*\} \times I \longrightarrow |\widehat{G}(X)| \wedge |\widehat{G}(X)| \xrightarrow{m^G} |\widehat{G}^{(2)}(X)|,$$

where the first map is defined by $(s_1, s_2, t) \mapsto (H(s_1, t), g(s_2))$ for $s_1 \in S^n$, $s_2 \in S^m$ and $t \in I$. Then $\widetilde{H}$ is a homotopy from $f \times g$ to $f' \times g$ and Prop.4.6 and Prop.5.2 imply

$$\begin{aligned}\operatorname{ch}_{n+m+1}^{GS}(\widetilde{H}) &= (-1)^m \operatorname{ch}_{n+m+1}^{GS}(m_*^G(H \times g)_*([S^n \times I \times S^m])) \\ &\equiv (-1)^m \operatorname{ch}_{n+1}^{GS}(H) \bullet \operatorname{ch}_m^{GS}(g) + (-1)^{n+m+1} \operatorname{ch}_n^{GS}(\partial H_*([S^n \times I])) \vartriangle \operatorname{ch}_m^{GS}(g) \\ &= (-1)^{n+m+1}(\omega' - \omega) \bullet \operatorname{ch}_m^{GS}(g) + (-1)^{m+1}(\operatorname{ch}_n^{GS}(f') - \operatorname{ch}_n^{GS}(f)) \vartriangle \operatorname{ch}_m^{GS}(g) \\ &= (-1)^{n+m+1}(\omega' \bullet \operatorname{ch}_m^{GS}(g) + (-1)^n \operatorname{ch}_n^{GS}(f') \vartriangle \operatorname{ch}_m^{GS}(g)) \\ &\quad - (-1)^{n+m+1}(\omega \bullet \operatorname{ch}_m^{GS}(g) + (-1)^n \operatorname{ch}_n^{GS}(f) \vartriangle \operatorname{ch}_m^{GS}(g))\end{aligned}$$

modulo $\operatorname{Im} d_{\mathcal{A}}$. This tells that the map $\widetilde{H}$ is a cellular homotopy from $(f, \omega) \times (g, \tau)$ to $(f', \omega') \times (g, \tau)$.

If H' is a cellular homotopy from (g, τ) to (g', τ'), we can verify in the same way that the map

$$S^{n+m} \times I/\{*\} \times I \xrightarrow{f \wedge H'} |\widehat{G}(X)| \wedge |\widehat{G}(X)| \xrightarrow{m^G} |\widehat{G}^{(2)}(X)|$$

is a cellular homotopy from $(f, \omega) \times (g, \tau)$ to $(f, \omega) \times (g', \tau')$. □

Definition 5.8. *For $n, m \geq 1$, we define a product in higher arithmetic K-theory*

$$\times : \widehat{K}_n(X) \times \widehat{K}_m(X) \to \widehat{K}_{n+m}(X)$$

by the following homomorphism:

$$\widehat{\pi}_n(|\widehat{G}(X)|, \operatorname{ch}^{GS}) \times \widehat{\pi}_m(|\widehat{G}(X)|, \operatorname{ch}^{GS}) \xrightarrow{\widehat{m}_*^G} \widehat{\pi}_{n+m}(|\widehat{G}^{(2)}(X)|, \operatorname{ch}^{GS}) \xrightarrow{\widehat{R}_*^{-1}} \widehat{\pi}_{n+m}(|\widehat{G}(X)|, \operatorname{ch}^{GS}).$$

Proposition 5.9. *The Chern form map respects the products, that is, we have*

$$\mathrm{ch}^{GS}_{n+m}(x \times y) = \mathrm{ch}^{GS}_n(x) \bullet \mathrm{ch}^{GS}_m(y)$$

for $x \in \widehat{K}_n(X)$ *and* $y \in \widehat{K}_m(X)$.

Proof: We assume $n, m \geq 1$. Let us define the Chern form map on $\widehat{\pi}_{n+m}(|\widehat{G}^{(2)}(X)|, \mathrm{ch}^{GS})$ as

$$\mathrm{ch}^{GS}_{n+m}([(f,\omega)]) = \mathrm{ch}^{GS}(f_*([S^{n+m}])) + d_{\mathcal{A}}\omega \in \mathcal{A}_{n+m}(X).$$

Then it follows that $\mathrm{ch}^{GS}_{n+m}(\widehat{R}_*(x)) = \mathrm{ch}^{GS}_{n+m}(x)$ for any $x \in \widehat{\pi}_{n+m}(|\widehat{G}(X)|, \mathrm{ch}^{GS})$. Hence it is sufficient to show that

$$\mathrm{ch}^{GS}_{n+m}(\widehat{m}^G_*(x,y)) = \mathrm{ch}^{GS}_n(x) \bullet \mathrm{ch}^{GS}_m(y).$$

For $x = [(f,\omega)]$ and $y = [(g,\tau)]$, Prop.4.6 implies

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{ch}^{GS}_{n+m}(\widehat{m}^G_*(x,y)) &= \mathrm{ch}^{GS}_{n+m}(f \times g) + d_{\mathcal{A}}\big((-1)^n \mathrm{ch}^{GS}_n(f) \bullet \tau + \omega \bullet \mathrm{ch}^{GS}_m(g) \\
&\qquad\qquad + \omega \bullet d_{\mathcal{A}}\tau + (-1)^n \mathrm{ch}^{GS}_n(f) \vartriangle \mathrm{ch}^{GS}_m(g)\big) \\
&= (\mathrm{ch}^{GS}_n(f) + d_{\mathcal{A}}\omega) \bullet (\mathrm{ch}^{GS}_m(g) + d_{\mathcal{A}}\tau) \\
&= \mathrm{ch}^{GS}_n(x) \bullet \mathrm{ch}^{GS}_m(y).
\end{aligned}$$

The case where $n = 0$ or $m = 0$ is trivial. □

Remark: As far as the author knows, there does not exist a homomorphism

$$\mathrm{Cub} : C_*(|\widehat{S}^{(2)}(X)|)[-2] \to \widehat{\mathrm{Cub}}_*(X)$$

that makes the following diagram commutative:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
C_*(|\widehat{S}(X)|)[-1] \otimes C_*(|\widehat{S}(X)|)[-1] \arrow[r, "\mathrm{Cub}\otimes\mathrm{Cub}"] \arrow[d, "m_*"] & \widehat{\mathrm{Cub}}_*(X) \otimes \widehat{\mathrm{Cub}}_*(X) \arrow[d, "\otimes"] \\
C_*(|\widehat{S}^{(2)}(X)|)[-2] \arrow[r, "\mathrm{Cub}"] & \widehat{\mathrm{Cub}}_*(X).
\end{tikzcd}$$

Hence it seems impossible to define a product in $\widehat{K}_*(X)$ using the S-construction.

5.6. **The commutativity of the product.** In this subsection we discuss the commutativity of the product in $\widehat{K}_*(X)$. When $n = 0$ or $m = 0$, it is easy to prove that the product $\widehat{K}_n(X) \times \widehat{K}_m(X) \to \widehat{K}_{n+m}(X)$ is commutative. So we consider only the case of $n, m \geq 1$.

For a small exact category $\mathfrak{A}$, let $L : G_n\mathfrak{A} \to G_nG_0\mathfrak{A}$ be the morphism defined by $L(E^+, E^-) = (E^+, 0, E^-, 0)$. Then it induces a homotopy equivalent morphism

$$L : G\mathfrak{A} \to G^{(2)}\mathfrak{A}$$

and it is homotopy equivalent to the morphism R.

Let us define a new product in $\widehat{K}_*(X)$ using the morphism L. For a proper arithmetic variety X, the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
C_*(|\widehat{G}(X)|) \arrow[r, "\mathrm{ch}^{GS}"] \arrow[d, "L_*"] & \mathcal{A}_*(X) \arrow[d, "\mathrm{id}"] \\
C_*(|\widehat{G}^{(2)}(X)|) \arrow[r, "\mathrm{ch}^{GS}"] & \mathcal{A}_*(X)
\end{tikzcd}$$

is commutative. Therefore we have an isomorphism

$$\widehat{L}_* : \widehat{\pi}_n(|\widehat{G}(X)|, \mathrm{ch}^{GS}) \simeq \widehat{\pi}_n(|\widehat{G}^{(2)}(X)|, \mathrm{ch}^{GS}).$$

Definition 5.10. *For $n, m \geq 1$, we define a new product*

$$\underset{L}{\times} : \widehat{K}_n(X) \times \widehat{K}_m(X) \to \widehat{K}_{n+m}(X)$$

by the pairing

$$\widehat{\pi}_n(|\widehat{G}(X)|, \mathrm{ch}^{GS}) \times \widehat{\pi}_m(|\widehat{G}(X)|, \mathrm{ch}^{GS}) \overset{\widehat{m}_*^G}{\to} \widehat{\pi}_m(|\widehat{G}^{(2)}(X)|, \mathrm{ch}^{GS}) \overset{\widehat{L}_*^{-1}}{\to} \widehat{\pi}_m(|\widehat{G}(X)|, \mathrm{ch}^{GS}).$$

Let us compare this new product $\underset{L}{\times}$ with the previously defined one. Let $T : \widehat{S}_n\widehat{S}_m(X) \to \widehat{S}_m\widehat{S}_n(X)$ be the switching map defined by $T(E)_{(i,j)\times(\alpha,\beta)} = E_{(\alpha,\beta)\times(i,j)}$. Then the map

$$\coprod_{n,m} \widehat{S}_n\widehat{S}_m(X) \times \Delta^n \times \Delta^m \to \coprod_{n,m} \widehat{S}_m\widehat{S}_n(X) \times \Delta^m \times \Delta^n$$

defined by $(x, t_1, t_2) \mapsto (T(x), t_2, t_1)$ induces an involution of the topological realization

$$\mathcal{T} : |\widehat{S}^{(2)}(X)| \to |\widehat{S}^{(2)}(X)|.$$

Similarly we can define the switching map $T : \widehat{G}_n\widehat{G}_m(X) \to \widehat{G}_m\widehat{G}_n(X)$ and the involution

$$\mathcal{T} : |\widehat{G}^{(2)}(X)| \to |\widehat{G}^{(2)}(X)|.$$

Lemma 5.11. *The diagram*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
C_*(|\widehat{G}^{(2)}(X)|) \arrow[r, "\mathrm{ch}^{GS}"] \arrow[d, "\mathcal{T}_*"] & \mathcal{A}_*(X) \arrow[d, "\mathrm{id}"] \\
C_*(|\widehat{G}^{(2)}(X)|) \arrow[r, "\mathrm{ch}^{GS}"] & \mathcal{A}_*(X)
\end{tikzcd}$$

is commutative. Hence we have an isomorphism

$$\widehat{\mathcal{T}}_* : \widehat{\pi}_n(|\widehat{G}^{(2)}(X)|, \mathrm{ch}^{GS}) \simeq \widehat{\pi}_n(|\widehat{G}^{(2)}(X)|, \mathrm{ch}^{GS})$$

by $[(f, \omega)] \mapsto [(\mathcal{T}f, \omega)]$.

Proof: If we denote by $[E]$ the element of $C_*(|\widehat{G}^{(2)}(X)|)$ determined by $E \in \widehat{G}_n\widehat{G}_m(X)$, then we have $\mathcal{T}_*([E]) = (-1)^{nm}[T(E)]$. Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned}\operatorname{ch}^{GS}_{n+m}(\mathcal{T}_*([E])) &= (-1)^{nm}\operatorname{ch}^{GS}_{n+m}(\operatorname{Cub}(T(E))) \\ &= (-1)^{nm}\operatorname{ch}^{GS}_{n+m}(T_{n,m}(\operatorname{Cub}(E))).\end{aligned}$$

In the above, $T_{n,m}(\mathcal{F})$ for an exact metrized $(n+m)$-cube $\mathcal{F}$ is defined as

$$T_{n,m}(\mathcal{F})_{\alpha_1,\cdots,\alpha_{n+m}} = \mathcal{F}_{\alpha_{n+1},\cdots,\alpha_{n+m},\alpha_1,\cdots,\alpha_n}.$$

Then it is easy to see that $\operatorname{ch}^{GS}_{n+m}(T_{n,m}(\mathcal{F})) = (-1)^{nm}\operatorname{ch}^{GS}_{n+m}(\mathcal{F})$, therefore $\operatorname{ch}^{GS}_{n+m}(\mathcal{T}_*([E])) = \operatorname{ch}^{GS}_{n+m}(E)$. □

Proposition 5.12. *Let $x \in \widehat{K}_n(X)$ and $y \in \widehat{K}_m(X)$ with $n, m \geq 1$. Then we have*

$$x \times y = (-1)^{nm} y \underset{L}{\times} x.$$

Proof: For two pointed spaces S_1 and S_2, let $T : S_1 \wedge S_2 \to S_2 \wedge S_1$ denote the switching map given by $T(s_1, s_2) = (s_2, s_1)$. For two pointed cellular maps $f : S^n \to |\widehat{G}(X)|$ and $g : S^m \to |\widehat{G}(X)|$, we consider the following diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
S^n \wedge S^m \arrow[r, "f\wedge g"] \arrow[d, "T"] & {|\widehat{G}(X)| \wedge |\widehat{G}(X)|} \arrow[r, "m^G"] \arrow[d, "T"] & {|\widehat{G}^{(2)}(X)|} \arrow[d, "\mathcal{T}"] \\
S^m \wedge S^n \arrow[r, "g\wedge f"] & {|\widehat{G}(X)| \wedge |\widehat{G}(X)|} \arrow[r, "m^G"] & {|\widehat{G}^{(2)}(X)|.}
\end{tikzcd}$$

The left square is obviously commutative, but the right one is not. In fact, for $E \in \widehat{G}_n(X)$ and $F \in \widehat{G}_m(X)$ we have

$$\mathcal{T}m^G(E, F)_{(i,j)\times(\alpha,\beta)} = E_{\alpha,\beta} \otimes F_{i,j}$$

and

$$m^G T(E, F)_{(i,j)\times(\alpha,\beta)} = F_{i,j} \otimes E_{\alpha,\beta}.$$

Hence the homotopy from $\mathcal{T}m^G$ to $m^G T$ can be constructed by means of the canonical isometry $\overline{P} \otimes \overline{Q} \simeq \overline{Q} \otimes \overline{P}$ of hermitian vector bundles. More precisely, if $\widehat{G}^{(3)}(X)$ is the trisimplicial set obtained by taking G-construction three times and $R : \widehat{G}^{(2)}(X) \to \widehat{G}^{(3)}(X)$ is the homotopy equivalent map induced from the map $R : \widehat{G}_n\widehat{G}_m(X) \to \widehat{G}_0\widehat{G}_n\widehat{G}_m(X)$ given by $R(E)^{+\pm\pm} = E^{\pm\pm}$ and $R(E)^{-\pm\pm} = 0$, then a homotopy between the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
{|\widehat{G}(X)| \wedge |\widehat{G}(X)|} \arrow[r, "m^G"] \arrow[d, "T"] & {|\widehat{G}^{(2)}(X)|} \arrow[d, "\mathcal{T}"] & \\
{|\widehat{G}(X)| \wedge |\widehat{G}(X)|} \arrow[r, "m^G"] & {|\widehat{G}^{(2)}(X)|} \arrow[r, "R"] & {|\widehat{G}^{(3)}(X)|}
\end{tikzcd}$$

is given by means of $\overline{P} \otimes \overline{Q} \simeq \overline{Q} \otimes \overline{P}$ in the same way as Ψ in the proof of Lem.5.6. Hence we have

$$[(\mathcal{T}(f \times g), 0)] = [((g \times f)T, 0)]$$

in $\widehat{\pi}_{n+m}(|\widehat{G}^{(2)}(X)|, \mathrm{ch}^{GS})$.

If $x = [(f, \omega)]$ and $y = [(g, \tau)]$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\widehat{\mathfrak{T}}_* \widehat{m}^G_*([(f, \omega)], [(g, \tau)]) \\
&\quad = [(\mathfrak{T}(f \times g), (-1)^n \mathrm{ch}^{GS}_n(f) \bullet \tau + \omega \bullet \mathrm{ch}^{GS}_m(g) + \omega \bullet d_{\mathcal{A}}\tau + (-1)^n \mathrm{ch}^{GS}_n(f) \vartriangle \mathrm{ch}^{GS}_m(g))] \\
&\quad = [((g \times f)T, (-1)^{nm}\tau \bullet \mathrm{ch}^{GS}_n(f) + (-1)^{(n+1)m} \mathrm{ch}^{GS}_m(g) \bullet \omega + (-1)^{nm}\tau \bullet d_{\mathcal{A}}\omega \\
&\qquad\qquad + (-1)^{(n+1)m} \mathrm{ch}^{GS}_m(g) \vartriangle \mathrm{ch}^{GS}_n(f))].
\end{aligned}$$

Since $T : S^{n+m} \to S^{n+m}$ is homotopic to $(-1)^{nm} \mathrm{id}_{S^{n+m}}$, we have

$$\widehat{\mathfrak{T}}_* \widehat{m}^G_*([(f, \omega)], [(g, \tau)]) = (-1)^{nm} \widehat{m}^G_*([(g, \tau)], [(f, \omega)])$$

in $\widehat{\pi}_{n+m}(|\widehat{G}^{(2)}(X)|, \mathrm{ch}^{GS})$. The commutativity of the square

$$\begin{tikzcd}
{|\widehat{G}^{(2)}(X)|} \arrow[d, "\mathfrak{T}"] & {|\widehat{G}(X)|} \arrow[l, "R"'] \arrow[d, "\mathrm{id}"] \\
{|\widehat{G}^{(2)}(X)|} & {|\widehat{G}(X)|} \arrow[l, "L"']
\end{tikzcd}$$

implies

$$\begin{aligned}
(-1)^{nm} \widehat{L}_*([(g, \tau)] \underset{L}{\times} [(f, \omega)]) &= (-1)^{nm} \widehat{m}^G_*([(g, \tau)], [(f, \omega)]) \\
&= \widehat{\mathfrak{T}}_* \widehat{m}^G_*([(f, \omega)], [(g, \tau)]) \\
&= \widehat{\mathfrak{T}}_* \widehat{R}_*([(f, \omega)] \times [(g, \tau)]) \\
&= \widehat{L}_*([(f, \omega)] \times [(g, \tau)]).
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\widehat{L}_*$ is bijective, we have completed the proof. ☐

Proposition 5.13. *For $x \in \widehat{\pi}_n(|\widehat{G}(X)|, \mathrm{ch}^{GS})$, the element*

$$\widehat{R}_*(x) - \widehat{L}_*(x) \in \widehat{\pi}_n(|\widehat{G}^{(2)}(X)|, \mathrm{ch}^{GS})$$

is contained in $\mathrm{Im}(\widetilde{A}_{n+1}(X) \to \widehat{\pi}_n(|\widehat{G}^{(2)}(X)|, \mathrm{ch}^{GS}))$ *and* $2(\widehat{R}_*(x) - \widehat{L}_*(x)) = 0$. *In particular, for $x \in \widehat{K}_n(X)$ and $y \in \widehat{K}_m(X)$ with $n, m \geq 1$, the element $x \times y - x \underset{L}{\times} y$ is contained in* $\mathrm{Im}(\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_{n+m+1}(X) \to \widehat{K}_{n+m}(X))$ *and* $2(x \times y - x \underset{L}{\times} y) = 0$.

Proof: Since R and L are homotopy equivalent, it is clear that $\widehat{R}_*(x) - \widehat{L}_*(x)$ is contained in $\mathrm{Im}(\widetilde{A}_{n+1}(X) \to \widehat{\pi}_n(|\widehat{G}^{(2)}(X)|, \mathrm{ch}^{GS}))$. Let $f : S^n \to |\widehat{G}(X)|$ be a pointed cellular map. Then there is a pointed cellular map

$$H : S^n \times I / \{*\} \times I \to |\widehat{G}^{(2)}(X)|$$

such that $H(s, 0) = Rf(s)$ and $H(s, 1) = Lf(s)$. Let

$$H' = \mathfrak{T}H : S^n \times I / \{*\} \times I \to |\widehat{G}^{(2)}(X)|,$$

then we have $H'(s,0) = Lf(s)$ and $H'(s,1) = Rf(s)$. The commutative square in Lem.5.11 implies $\mathrm{ch}^{GS}_{n+1}(H') = \mathrm{ch}^{GS}_{n+1}(H)$. Gluing the maps H and H' on the boundaries, we obtain a cellular map

$$H \cup H' : S^n \times T^1/\{*\} \times T^1 \to |\widehat{G}^{(2)}(X)|,$$

where T^1 is the barycentric subdivision of S^1.

Lemma 5.14. *If $n \geq 1$, there is a surjection*

$$p : S^{n+1} \to S^n \times S^1/\{*\} \times S^1$$

such that $p^{-1}((S^n - \{*\}) \times S^1) \to (S^n - \{*\}) \times S^1$ is a homeomorphism.

Proof: We describe the space S^{n+1} as follows:

$$S^{n+1} = \left\{(z, t_1, \cdots, t_n) \in \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{R}^n; |z|^2 + t_1^2 + \cdots + t_n^2 = 1\right\}.$$

Let $S^{n-1} = \{(0, t_1, \cdots, t_n) \in S^{n+1}\}$. Then a homeomorphism $S^{n+1} - S^{n-1} \to B^n \times S^1$ is defined by

$$(z, t_1, \cdots, t_n) \mapsto \left((t_1, \cdots, t_n), \frac{z}{\sqrt{1 - t_1^2 - \cdots - t_n^2}}\right),$$

where $B^n = \{(t_1, \cdots, t_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n; t_1^2 + \cdots + t_n^2 < 1\}$. Since $S^n \times S^1/\{*\} \times S^1$ is the one-point compactification of $B^n \times S^1$, this homeomorphism can be extended to

$$p : S^{n+1} \to S^n \times S^1/\{*\} \times S^1$$

which satisfies the condition as mentioned above. □

Let us return to the proof of Prop.5.13. Since T^1 is the barycentric subdivision of S^1, we have a pointed cellular map

$$F : S^{n+1} \xrightarrow{p} S^n \times T^1/\{*\} \times T^1 \xrightarrow{H \cup H'} |\widehat{G}^{(2)}(X)|.$$

Then we have

$$\mathrm{ch}^{GS}_{n+1}(F) = \mathrm{ch}^{GS}_{n+1}(H) + \mathrm{ch}^{GS}_{n+1}(H') = 2\,\mathrm{ch}^{GS}_{n+1}(H).$$

In other words, $2\,\mathrm{ch}^{GS}_{n+1}(H)$ is contained in the image of $\pi_{n+1}(|\widehat{G}^{(2)}(X)|) \to \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_{n+1}(X)$. Hence $2[(0, \mathrm{ch}^{GS}_{n+1}(H))] = 0$ in $\widehat{\pi}_n(|\widehat{G}^{(2)}(X)|, \mathrm{ch}^{GS})$ by Thm.2.3. For any $x = [(f, \omega)] \in \widehat{\pi}_n(|\widehat{G}(X)|, \mathrm{ch}^{GS})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{R}_*(x) - \widehat{L}_*(x) &= [(Rf, 0)] - [(Lf, 0)] \\ &= (-1)^{n+1}[(0, \mathrm{ch}^{GS}_{n+1}(H))],\end{aligned}$$

therefore $2(\widehat{R}_*(x) - \widehat{L}_*(x)) = 0$. □

Prop.5.12 and Prop.5.13 yield the following theorem:

Theorem 5.15. *Let $x \in \widehat{K}_n(X)$ and $y \in \widehat{K}_m(X)$. Then $x \times y - (-1)^{nm} y \times x$ is a 2-torsion element contained in $\mathrm{Im}(\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_{n+m+1}(X) \to \widehat{K}_{n+m}(X))$. Hence the product in $\widehat{K}_*(X)$ is graded commutative up to 2-torsion.*

5.7. **The lack of the associativity.** In this subsection we discuss the associativity of the product in $\widehat{K}_*(X)$. Let $\widehat{G}^{(3)}(X)$ be the trisimplicial set given by taking $\widehat{G}$ three times. Let m^G denote the morphisms of trisimplicial sets

$$\widehat{G}^{(2)}(X) \wedge \widehat{G}(X) \to \widehat{G}^{(3)}(X)$$

and

$$\widehat{G}(X) \wedge \widehat{G}^{(2)}(X) \to \widehat{G}^{(3)}(X)$$

given by the tensor product of hermitian vector bundles. A homotopy equivalent map

$$R : \widehat{G}(X) \to \widehat{G}^{(3)}(X)$$

is given by $R(E)^{++\pm} = E^{\pm}$ and $R(E)^{+-\pm} = R(E)^{-+\pm} = R(E)^{--\pm} = 0$ for $E = (E^{\pm}) \in \widehat{G}_n(X)$. Under the above notations, the following diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\widehat{G}(X) \wedge \widehat{G}(X) \wedge \widehat{G}(X) \arrow[r, "m^G \wedge 1"] \arrow[d, "1 \wedge m^G"] & \widehat{G}^{(2)}(X) \wedge \widehat{G}(X) \arrow[d, "m^G"] \\
\widehat{G}(X) \wedge \widehat{G}^{(2)}(X) \arrow[r, "m^G"] & \widehat{G}^{(3)}(X)
\end{tikzcd}$$

is commutative up to the homotopy arising from the natural isometry $(\overline{E} \otimes \overline{F}) \otimes \overline{G} \simeq \overline{E} \otimes (\overline{F} \otimes \overline{G})$ of hermitian vector bundles. This commutative diagram is the source of the associativity of the product in usual algebraic K-theory $K_*(X)$.

For two pointed cellular maps $f : S^n \to |\widehat{G}^{(2)}(X)|$ and $g : S^m \to |\widehat{G}(X)|$, let

$$f \times g : S^{n+m} \xrightarrow{f \wedge g} |\widehat{G}^{(2)}(X)| \wedge |\widehat{G}(X)| \xrightarrow{\widehat{m}^G} |\widehat{G}^{(3)}(X)|.$$

Let us define a pairing

$$\widehat{m}^G_* : \widehat{\pi}_n(|\widehat{G}^{(2)}(X)|, \mathrm{ch}^{GS}) \times \widehat{\pi}_m(|\widehat{G}(X)|, \mathrm{ch}^{GS}) \to \widehat{\pi}_{n+m}(|\widehat{G}^{(3)}(X)|, \mathrm{ch}^{GS})$$

by

$$\begin{aligned}
&([(f,\omega)], [(g,\tau)]) \mapsto \\
&\qquad [(f \times g, (-1)^n \mathrm{ch}^{GS}_n(f) \bullet \tau + \omega \bullet \mathrm{ch}^{GS}_m(g) + \omega \bullet d_{\mathcal{A}}\tau + (-1)^n \mathrm{ch}^{GS}_n(f) \vartriangle \mathrm{ch}^{GS}_m(g))].
\end{aligned}$$

The well-definedness of the pairing can be shown in the same way as the proof of Thm.5.7. We can also define a pairing

$$\widehat{m}^G_* : \widehat{\pi}_n(|\widehat{G}(X)|, \mathrm{ch}^{GS}) \times \widehat{\pi}_m(|\widehat{G}^{(2)}(X)|, \mathrm{ch}^{GS}) \to \widehat{\pi}_{n+m}(|\widehat{G}^{(3)}(X)|, \mathrm{ch}^{GS})$$

by the same expression as above. Then the associativity of the product in $\widehat{K}_*(X)$ is equivalent to the commutativity of the following diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
& \widehat{\pi}_n(|\widehat{G}(X)|,\mathrm{ch}^{GS})\times\widehat{\pi}_m(|\widehat{G}(X)|,\mathrm{ch}^{GS})\times\widehat{\pi}_l(|\widehat{G}(X)|,\mathrm{ch}^{GS}) \arrow[dl, "\widehat{m}^G_*\times\mathrm{id}"] \arrow[dr, "\mathrm{id}\times\widehat{m}^G_*"] & \\
\widehat{\pi}_{n+m}(|\widehat{G}^{(2)}(X)|,\mathrm{ch}^{GS})\times\widehat{\pi}_l(|\widehat{G}(X)|,\mathrm{ch}^{GS}) \arrow[dr, "\widehat{m}^G_*"'] & & \widehat{\pi}_n(|\widehat{G}(X)|,\mathrm{ch}^{GS})\times\widehat{\pi}_{m+l}(|\widehat{G}^{(2)}(X)|,\mathrm{ch}^{GS}) \arrow[dl, "\widehat{m}^G_*"] \\
& \widehat{\pi}_{n+m+l}(|\widehat{G}^{(3)}(X)|,\mathrm{ch}^{GS}) & \\
& \widehat{\pi}_{n+m+l}(|\widehat{G}(X)|,\mathrm{ch}^{GS}). \arrow[u, "\widehat{R}_*"'] &
\end{tikzcd}$$

However, the diagram is not commutative. Let $[(f,\omega)] \in \widehat{\pi}_n(|\widehat{G}(X)|,\mathrm{ch}^{GS})$, $[(g,\tau)] \in \widehat{\pi}_m(|\widehat{G}(X)|,\mathrm{ch}^{GS})$ and $[(h,\eta)] \in \widehat{\pi}_l(|\widehat{G}(X)|,\mathrm{ch}^{GS})$. Then in the same way as the proof of Lem.5.6, the identity

$$[((f\times g)\times h, 0)] = [(f\times(g\times h),0)]$$

in $\widehat{\pi}_{n+m+l}(|\widehat{G}^{(3)}(X)|,\mathrm{ch}^{GS})$ can be shown. The quadrusimplicial set $\widehat{G}^{(4)}(X)$ is necessary to show this identity. Hence an easy calculation leads us to the following:

Proposition 5.16. *We have*

$$\widehat{m}^G_*\left(\widehat{m}^G_*([(f,\omega)],[(g,\tau)]),[(h,\eta)]\right)-\widehat{m}^G_*\left([(f,\omega)],\widehat{m}^G_*([(g,\tau)],[(h,\eta)])\right) = [(0, r(f,g,h,\omega,\tau,\eta))]$$

in $\widehat{\pi}_{n+m+l}(|\widehat{G}^{(3)}(X)|,\mathrm{ch}^{GS})$*, where*

$$\begin{aligned}
&r(f,g,h,\omega,\tau,\eta)\\
&\quad = (-1)^n((\mathrm{ch}^{GS}_n(f)+d_{\mathcal{A}}\omega)\bullet\tau)\bullet(\mathrm{ch}^{GS}_l(h)+d_{\mathcal{A}}\eta)\\
&\qquad\qquad - (-1)^n(\mathrm{ch}^{GS}_n(f)+d_{\mathcal{A}}\omega)\bullet(\tau\bullet(\mathrm{ch}^{GS}_l(h)+d_{\mathcal{A}}\eta))\\
&\qquad + (-1)^{n+m}\,\mathrm{ch}^{GS}_{n+m}(f\times g)\bullet\eta + (-1)^n(\mathrm{ch}^{GS}_n(f)\vartriangle\mathrm{ch}^{GS}_m(g))\bullet d_{\mathcal{A}}\eta\\
&\qquad\qquad - (-1)^{n+m}\,\mathrm{ch}^{GS}_n(f)\bullet(\mathrm{ch}^{GS}_m(g)\bullet\eta)\\
&\qquad + (\omega\bullet\mathrm{ch}^{GS}_m(g))\bullet\mathrm{ch}^{GS}_l(h) - (-1)^{n+m}d_{\mathcal{A}}\omega\bullet(\mathrm{ch}^{GS}_m(g)\vartriangle\mathrm{ch}^{GS}_l(h)) - \omega\bullet\mathrm{ch}^{GS}_{m+l}(g\times h)\\
&\qquad + (\omega\bullet\mathrm{ch}^{GS}_m(g))\bullet d_{\mathcal{A}}\eta - (-1)^{n+m}d_{\mathcal{A}}\omega\bullet(\mathrm{ch}^{GS}_m(g)\bullet\eta)\\
&\qquad + (-1)^n(\mathrm{ch}^{GS}_n(f)\vartriangle\mathrm{ch}^{GS}_m(g))\bullet\mathrm{ch}^{GS}_l(h) + (-1)^{n+m}\,\mathrm{ch}^{GS}_{n+m}(f\times g)\vartriangle\mathrm{ch}^{GS}_l(h)\\
&\qquad - (-1)^{n+m}\,\mathrm{ch}^{GS}_n(f)\bullet(\mathrm{ch}^{GS}_m(g)\vartriangle\mathrm{ch}^{GS}_l(h)) - (-1)^n\,\mathrm{ch}^{GS}_n(f)\vartriangle\mathrm{ch}^{GS}_{m+l}(g\times h).
\end{aligned}$$

For $\omega \in \mathcal{A}_n(X)$ or $\mathcal{D}_n(X)$ and for an integer i satisfying $1 \leq i \leq n$, we set

$$\omega^{(-i,-n+i-1)} = \sum_p \omega^{(p-i,p-n+i-1)},$$

where $\omega^{(p-i,p-n+i-1)}$ is the $(p-i, p-n+i-1)$-component of the differential form ω. Then for $\omega \in \mathcal{A}_n(X)$ and $\tau \in \mathcal{A}_m(X)$, it follows that

$$\omega \vartriangle \tau = \frac{1}{4\pi\sqrt{-1}} \sum a_{i,j}^{n,m} \omega^{(-i,-n+i-1)} \wedge \tau^{(-j,-m+j-1)}.$$

Lemma 5.17. 1) *We assume $n, m, l \geq 1$. For $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_n(X)$, $\beta \in \mathcal{A}_m(X)$ and $\gamma \in \mathcal{A}_l(X)$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned}(\alpha \bullet \beta) \bullet \gamma - \alpha \bullet (\beta \bullet \gamma) \\ = \frac{(-1)^{n+m}}{4(2\pi\sqrt{-1})^2}(\partial\alpha^{(-1,-n)} + \overline{\partial}\alpha^{(-n,-1)}) \wedge (\partial\beta^{(-1,-m)} + \overline{\partial}\beta^{(-m,-1)}) \wedge \gamma \\ - \frac{1}{4(2\pi\sqrt{-1})^2}\alpha \wedge (\partial\beta^{(-1,-m)} + \overline{\partial}\beta^{(-m,-1)}) \wedge (\partial\gamma^{(-1,-l)} + \overline{\partial}\gamma^{(-l,-1)}).\end{aligned}$$

2) *If $d_{\mathcal{A}}\alpha = 0$ and $d_{\mathcal{A}}\beta = 0$, then we have*

$$(\alpha \bullet \beta) \bullet \gamma - \alpha \bullet (\beta \bullet \gamma) \equiv \begin{cases} \frac{-1}{4(2\pi\sqrt{-1})^2}\alpha \wedge d\beta \wedge d_{\mathcal{A}}\gamma, & l \geq 2, \\ 0, & l = 1 \end{cases}$$

modulo $\operatorname{Im} d_{\mathcal{A}}$.
3) *If $d_{\mathcal{A}}\beta = 0$ and $d_{\mathcal{A}}\gamma = 0$, then we have*

$$(\alpha \bullet \beta) \bullet \gamma - \alpha \bullet (\beta \bullet \gamma) \equiv \begin{cases} \frac{(-1)^{n+m}}{4(2\pi\sqrt{-1})^2} d_{\mathcal{A}}\alpha \wedge d\beta \wedge \gamma, & n \geq 2, \\ 0, & n = 1 \end{cases}$$

modulo $\operatorname{Im} d_{\mathcal{A}}$.
4) *If $d_{\mathcal{A}}\alpha = 0$ and $d_{\mathcal{A}}\gamma = 0$, then we have*

$$(\alpha \bullet \beta) \bullet \gamma - \alpha \bullet (\beta \bullet \gamma) \equiv \begin{cases} \frac{(-1)^m}{4(2\pi\sqrt{-1})^2}\alpha \wedge dd_{\mathcal{A}}\beta \wedge \gamma, & m \geq 2, \\ 0, & m = 1 \end{cases}$$

modulo $\operatorname{Im} d_{\mathcal{A}}$.

Proof: The identity in 1) follows from an easy calculation. If $l \geq 2$ and $d_{\mathcal{A}}\alpha = d_{\mathcal{A}}\beta = 0$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned}(\alpha \bullet \beta) \bullet \gamma - \alpha \bullet (\beta \bullet \gamma) \\ = \frac{(-1)^{n+m}}{4(2\pi\sqrt{-1})^2} d\alpha \wedge d\beta \wedge \gamma - \frac{1}{4(2\pi\sqrt{-1})^2}\alpha \wedge d\beta \wedge (d\gamma + d_{\mathcal{A}}\gamma) \\ = \frac{(-1)^{n+m}}{4(2\pi\sqrt{-1})^2} d(\alpha \wedge d\beta \wedge \gamma) - \frac{1}{4(2\pi\sqrt{-1})^2}\alpha \wedge d\beta \wedge d_{\mathcal{A}}\gamma.\end{aligned}$$

The form $\frac{1}{4(2\pi\sqrt{-1})^2}\alpha \wedge d\beta \wedge \gamma$ is contained in $\mathcal{A}^{2(p+q+r)-n-m-l-1}(X, p+q+r)$ and

$$d_{\mathcal{A}}\left(\frac{1}{4(2\pi\sqrt{-1})^2}(\alpha \wedge d\beta \wedge \gamma)\right) = \frac{-1}{4(2\pi\sqrt{-1})^2}d(\alpha \wedge d\beta \wedge \gamma).$$

Hence we have

$$(\alpha \bullet \beta) \bullet \gamma - \alpha \bullet (\beta \bullet \gamma) \equiv \frac{-1}{4(2\pi\sqrt{-1})^2}\alpha \wedge d\beta \wedge d_{\mathcal{A}}\gamma$$

modulo $\operatorname{Im} d_{\mathcal{A}}$. When $l = 1$, we have

$$(\alpha \bullet \beta) \bullet \gamma - \alpha \bullet (\beta \bullet \gamma) = d_{\mathcal{A}}\left(\frac{(-1)^{n+m+1}}{4(2\pi\sqrt{-1})^2}(\alpha \wedge d\beta \wedge \gamma)\right).$$

Hence 2) holds. The identities in 3) and 4) can be proved in the same way. $\square$

We calculate $r(f, g, h, \omega, \tau, \eta)$ by using Lem.5.17. If $n, m, l \geq 1$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&(-1)^n((\operatorname{ch}_n^{GS}(f) + d_{\mathcal{A}}\omega) \bullet \tau) \bullet (\operatorname{ch}_l^{GS}(h) + d_{\mathcal{A}}\eta) \\
&\quad - (-1)^n(\operatorname{ch}_n^{GS}(f) + d_{\mathcal{A}}\omega) \bullet (\tau \bullet (\operatorname{ch}_l^{GS}(h) + d_{\mathcal{A}}\eta)) \\
&\qquad \equiv \frac{(-1)^{n+m+1}}{4(2\pi\sqrt{-1})^2}(\operatorname{ch}_n^{GS}(f) + d_{\mathcal{A}}\omega) \wedge dd_{\mathcal{A}}\tau \wedge (\operatorname{ch}_l^{GS}(h) + d_{\mathcal{A}}\eta)
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\omega \bullet \operatorname{ch}_m^{GS}(g)) \bullet d_{\mathcal{A}}\eta - (-1)^{n+m}d_{\mathcal{A}}\omega \bullet (\operatorname{ch}_m^{GS}(g) \bullet \eta) \\
&\quad \equiv \frac{(-1)^{n+m+1}}{4(2\pi\sqrt{-1})^2}d_{\mathcal{A}}\omega \wedge d\operatorname{ch}_m^{GS}(g) \wedge d_{\mathcal{A}}\eta
\end{aligned}$$

modulo $\operatorname{Im} d_{\mathcal{A}}$. Since

$$\operatorname{ch}_{n+m}^{GS}(f \times g) = \operatorname{ch}_n^{GS}(f) \bullet \operatorname{ch}_m^{GS}(g) + (-1)^{n+1}d_{\mathcal{A}}(\operatorname{ch}_n^{GS}(f) \vartriangle \operatorname{ch}_m^{GS}(g))$$

by Prop.4.6, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&(-1)^{n+m}\operatorname{ch}_{n+m}^{GS}(f \times g) \bullet \eta + (-1)^n(\operatorname{ch}_n^{GS}(f) \vartriangle \operatorname{ch}_m^{GS}(g)) \bullet d_{\mathcal{A}}\eta \\
&\quad - (-1)^{n+m}\operatorname{ch}_n^{GS}(f) \bullet (\operatorname{ch}_m^{GS}(g) \bullet \eta) \\
&\qquad = (-1)^{n+m}(\operatorname{ch}_n^{GS}(f) \bullet \operatorname{ch}_m^{GS}(g)) \bullet \eta - (-1)^{n+m}\operatorname{ch}_n^{GS}(f) \bullet (\operatorname{ch}_m^{GS}(g) \bullet \eta) \\
&\qquad \equiv \frac{(-1)^{n+m+1}}{4(2\pi\sqrt{-1})^2}\operatorname{ch}_n^{GS}(f) \wedge d\operatorname{ch}_m^{GS}(g) \wedge d_{\mathcal{A}}\eta
\end{aligned}$$

modulo $\operatorname{Im} d_{\mathcal{A}}$. In the same way as above we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\omega \bullet \operatorname{ch}_m^{GS}(g)) \bullet \operatorname{ch}_l^{GS}(h) - \omega \bullet \operatorname{ch}_{m+l}^{GS}(g \times h) - (-1)^{n+m}d_{\mathcal{A}}\omega \bullet (\operatorname{ch}_m^{GS}(g) \vartriangle \operatorname{ch}_l^{GS}(h)) \\
&\quad \equiv \frac{(-1)^{n+m+1}}{4(2\pi\sqrt{-1})^2}d_{\mathcal{A}}\omega \wedge d\operatorname{ch}_m^{GS}(g) \wedge \operatorname{ch}_l^{GS}(h)
\end{aligned}$$

modulo $\operatorname{Im} d_{\mathcal{A}}$. As for the last four terms of the identity in Prop.5.16, we have the following:

Proposition 5.18. *If $n, m, l \geq 1$, then we have*

$$\begin{aligned}(-1)^n(\mathrm{ch}_n^{GS}(f) \vartriangle \mathrm{ch}_m^{GS}(g)) \bullet \mathrm{ch}_l^{GS}(h) - (-1)^{n+m} \mathrm{ch}_n^{GS}(f) \bullet (\mathrm{ch}_m^{GS}(g) \vartriangle \mathrm{ch}_l^{GS}(h)) \\ + (-1)^{n+m} \mathrm{ch}_{n+m}^{GS}(f \times g) \vartriangle \mathrm{ch}_l^{GS}(h) - (-1)^n \mathrm{ch}_n^{GS}(f) \vartriangle \mathrm{ch}_{m+l}^{GS}(g \times h) \\ \equiv (-1)^{n+m+1} \frac{1}{4(2\pi\sqrt{-1})^2} \mathrm{ch}_n^{GS}(f) \wedge d\,\mathrm{ch}_m^{GS}(g) \wedge \mathrm{ch}_l^{GS}(h)\end{aligned}$$

modulo $\mathrm{Im}\, d_{\mathcal{A}}$.

We will prove this identity in §5.9. Substituting these identities into that in Prop.5.16 yields

$$\begin{aligned}&r(f, g, h, \omega, \tau, \eta) \\ &\equiv \frac{(-1)^{n+m+1}}{4(2\pi\sqrt{-1})^2}(\mathrm{ch}_n^{GS}(f) + d_{\mathcal{A}}\omega) \wedge d(\mathrm{ch}_m^{GS}(g) + d_{\mathcal{A}}\tau) \wedge (\mathrm{ch}_l^{GS}(h) + d_{\mathcal{A}}\eta)\end{aligned}$$

modulo $\mathrm{Im}\, d_{\mathcal{A}}$.

Theorem 5.19. *The product in higher arithmetic K-theory does not satisfy the associativity. In fact, if $x \in \widehat{K}_n(X), y \in \widehat{K}_m(X)$ and $z \in \widehat{K}_l(X)$ for $n, m, l \geq 1$, we have*

$$(x \times y) \times z - x \times (y \times z) = [(0, \tfrac{(-1)^{n+m+1}}{4(2\pi\sqrt{-1})^2} \mathrm{ch}_n^{GS}(x) \wedge d\,\mathrm{ch}_m^{GS}(y) \wedge \mathrm{ch}_l^{GS}(z))]$$

in $\widehat{K}_{n+m+l}(X)$. Hence $(x \times y) \times z = x \times (y \times z)$ holds when $nml = 0$ or $y \in K_m(\overline{X})$ or $x = y = z$.

Proof. When $n, m, l \geq 1$, we have already proved this identity. The identity $(x \times y) \times z = x \times (y \times z)$ in the case of $nml = 0$ follows from the definition of the product and Lem.5.17. ☐

5.8. **The product in Arakelov K-theory.** For a proper Arakelov variety $\overline{X} = (X, h_X)$, let us define a pairing

$$K_n(\overline{X}) \times K_m(\overline{X}) \to K_{n+m}(\overline{X})$$

by $(x, y) \mapsto \sigma(x \times y)$, where σ is the harmonic projection defined in §3.3.

Theorem 5.20. *The above pairing makes $K_*(\overline{X})$ a graded associative algebra. That is to say, it follows that*

$$\sigma(\sigma(x \times y) \times z) = \sigma(x \times \sigma(y \times z))$$

for $x, y, z \in K_*(\overline{X})$.

Proof. This identity is obvious when $nml = 0$, so we may assume $nml \geq 1$. We first prove the identity

$$\sigma(\sigma(x \times y) \times z) = \sigma((x \times y) \times z)$$

for $x \in K_n(\overline{X}), y \in K_m(\overline{X})$ and $z \in K_l(\overline{X})$. It follows from the definition of σ that

$$\sigma(x \times y) = x \times y + [(0, \alpha)]$$

for $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_{n+m+1}(X)$ with $\mathcal{H}(\alpha)=0$. Then we have

$$\sigma(x\times y)\times z = (x\times y)\times z + [(0,\alpha\bullet \mathrm{ch}_l^{GS}(z))],$$

therefore

$$\sigma(\sigma(x\times y)\times z) = (x\times y)\times z + [(0,\alpha\bullet \mathrm{ch}_l^{GS}(z)+\beta)]$$

for $\beta\in\mathcal{A}_{n+m+l+1}(X)$ with $\mathcal{H}(\beta)=0$. Since $\mathrm{ch}_l^{GS}(z)$ is harmonic, we have

$$\alpha\bullet \mathrm{ch}_l^{GS}(z) = (-1)^{n+m+1}(\partial\alpha^{(-1,-n-m)} - \overline{\partial}\alpha^{(-n-m,-1)})\wedge \mathrm{ch}_l^{GS}(z).$$

Since $\partial\alpha^{(-1,-n-m)}\wedge \mathrm{ch}_l^{GS}(z)$ is ∂-exact and $\overline{\partial}\alpha^{(-n-m,-1)}\wedge\mathrm{ch}_l^{GS}(z)$ is $\overline{\partial}$-exact, we have $\mathcal{H}(\alpha\bullet \mathrm{ch}_l^{GS}(z))=0$, so $\mathcal{H}(\alpha\bullet\mathrm{ch}_l^{GS}(z)+\beta)=0$. Therefore we have $\sigma(\sigma(x\times y)\times z)=\sigma((x\times y)\times z)$.

In the same way, we can show $\sigma(x\times\sigma(y\times z))=\sigma(x\times(y\times z))$. Hence Thm.5.19 implies

$$\sigma(\sigma(x\times y)\times z)=\sigma(x\times\sigma(y\times z)),$$

which completes the proof. □

5.9. **Proof of Prop.5.18.** We will prove the following formula, which is not renormalized:

$$\begin{aligned}(-1)^n(\mathrm{ch}_n(f)\vartriangle\mathrm{ch}_m(g))\bullet\mathrm{ch}_l(h)-(-1)^{n+m}\,\mathrm{ch}_n(f)\bullet(\mathrm{ch}_m(g)\vartriangle\mathrm{ch}_l(h))&\\ +(-1)^{n+m}\,\mathrm{ch}_{n+m}(f\times g)\vartriangle\mathrm{ch}_l(h)-(-1)^n\,\mathrm{ch}_n(f)\vartriangle\mathrm{ch}_{m+l}(g\times h)&\\ \equiv(-1)^{n+m+1}\,\mathrm{ch}_n(f)\wedge d\,\mathrm{ch}_m(g)\wedge\mathrm{ch}_l(h)&\end{aligned}$$

modulo $\operatorname{Im} d_{\mathcal{D}}$. Let Φ denote the left hand side of the above identity and let $\Phi(f)$ (resp. $\Phi(g)$ and $\Phi(h)$) be the part of Φ including derivatives of $\mathrm{ch}_n(f)$ (resp. $\mathrm{ch}_m(g)$ and $\mathrm{ch}_l(h)$). In other words, $\Phi=\Phi(f)+\Phi(g)+\Phi(h)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi(f)&=(-1)^{m+1}(\partial\,\mathrm{ch}_n(f)^{(-1,-n)}-\overline{\partial}\,\mathrm{ch}_n(f)^{(-n,-1)})\wedge(\mathrm{ch}_m(g)\vartriangle\mathrm{ch}_l(h))\\&\quad+(-1)^m((\partial\,\mathrm{ch}_n(f)^{(-1,-n)}-\overline{\partial}\,\mathrm{ch}_n(f)^{(-n,-1)})\wedge\mathrm{ch}_m(g))\vartriangle\mathrm{ch}_l(h)\\&\quad+(-1)^m\left(\sum_{\substack{1\le i\le n\\1\le j\le m}}a_{i,j}^{n,m}d\,\mathrm{ch}_n(f)^{(-n+i-1,-i)}\wedge\mathrm{ch}_m(g)^{(-m+j-1,-j)}\right)\vartriangle\mathrm{ch}_l(h),\\ \Phi(g)&=(-1)^{n+m}(\mathrm{ch}_n(f)\wedge(\partial\,\mathrm{ch}_m(g)^{(-1,-m)}-\overline{\partial}\,\mathrm{ch}_m(g)^{(-m,-1)}))\vartriangle\mathrm{ch}_l(h)\\&\quad+(-1)^{n+m+1}\left(\sum_{\substack{1\le i\le n\\1\le j\le m}}a_{i,j}^{n,m}\,\mathrm{ch}_n(f)^{(-n+i-1,-i)}\wedge d\,\mathrm{ch}_m(g)^{(-m+j-1,-j)}\right)\vartriangle\mathrm{ch}_l(h)\\&\quad+(-1)^{n+m+1}\,\mathrm{ch}_n(f)\vartriangle((\partial\,\mathrm{ch}_m(g)^{(-1,-m)}-\overline{\partial}\,\mathrm{ch}_m(g)^{(-m,-1)})\wedge\mathrm{ch}_l(h))\\&\quad+(-1)^{n+m+1}\,\mathrm{ch}_n(f)\vartriangle\left(\sum_{\substack{1\le j\le m\\1\le k\le l}}a_{j,k}^{m,l}d\,\mathrm{ch}_m(g)^{(-m+j-1,-j)}\wedge\mathrm{ch}_l(h)^{(-l+k-1,-k)}\right)\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi(h) = {} & (-1)^n(\operatorname{ch}_n(f) \vartriangle \operatorname{ch}_m(g)) \wedge (\partial \operatorname{ch}_l(h)^{(-1,-l)} - \overline{\partial} \operatorname{ch}_l(h)^{(-l,-1)}) \\ & + (-1)^{n+1} \operatorname{ch}_n(f) \vartriangle (\operatorname{ch}_m(g) \wedge (\partial \operatorname{ch}_l(h)^{(-1,-l)} - \overline{\partial} \operatorname{ch}_l(h)^{(-l,-1)})) \\ & + (-1)^n \operatorname{ch}_n(f) \vartriangle \left(\sum_{\substack{1\le j\le m \\ 1\le k\le l}} a^{m,l}_{j,k} \operatorname{ch}_m(g)^{(-m+j-1,-j)} \wedge d \operatorname{ch}_l(h)^{(-l+k-1,-k)} \right).\end{aligned}$$

Let us first calculate $\Phi(f)$. It follows from $d_{\mathcal{D}}(\operatorname{ch}_n(f)) = 0$ that $\partial \operatorname{ch}_n(f)^{(-n+i-1,-i)} = -\overline{\partial} \operatorname{ch}_n(f)^{(-n+i,-i-1)}$ for $1 \le i \le n-1$. Then $\Phi(f)$ is expressed as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi(f) = {} & b^{n,m,l}_{0,j,k} \sum_{\substack{1\le j\le m \\ 1\le k\le l}} \overline{\partial} \operatorname{ch}_n(f)^{(-n,-1)} \wedge \operatorname{ch}_m(g)^{(-m+j-1,-j)} \wedge \operatorname{ch}_l(h)^{(-l+k-1,-k)} \\ & + \sum_{\substack{1\le i\le n \\ 1\le j\le m \\ 1\le k\le l}} b^{n,m,l}_{i,j,k} \partial \operatorname{ch}_n(f)^{(-n+i-1,-i)} \wedge \operatorname{ch}_m(g)^{(-m+j-1,-j)} \wedge \operatorname{ch}_l(h)^{(-l+k-1,-k)}\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} b^{n,m,l}_{0,j,k} &= (-1)^m a^{m,l}_{j,k} + (-1)^{m+1} a^{n+m,l}_{j,k} + (-1)^m a^{n+m,l}_{j,k} \times a^{n,m}_{1,j} \\ &= (-1)^m a^{m,l}_{j,k} + 2(-1)^{m+1} \binom{n+m}{n}^{-1} \binom{n+m-j}{n} a^{n+m,l}_{j,k}, \\ b^{n,m,l}_{n,m,k} &= (-1)^{m+1} a^{m,l}_{j,k} + (-1)^m a^{n+m,l}_{n+j,k} + (-1)^m a^{n+m,l}_{n+j,k} \times a^{n,m}_{n,j} \\ &= (-1)^{m+1} a^{m,l}_{j,k} + 2(-1)^m \binom{n+m}{n}^{-1} \binom{n-j+1}{n} a^{n+m,l}_{n+j,k}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} b^{n,m,l}_{i,j,k} &= (-1)^{m+1} a^{n+m,l}_{i+j,k} \times a^{n,m}_{i+1,j} + (-1)^m a^{n+m,l}_{i+j,k} \times a^{n,m}_{i,j} \\ &= 2(-1)^m \binom{n+m}{n}^{-1} \binom{n+m-i-j}{n-i} \binom{i+j-1}{i} a^{n+m,l}_{i+j,k} \end{aligned}$$

for $1 \le i \le n-1$.

Lemma 5.21. *If* $1 \le j \le m$ *and* $1 \le k \le l$, *then*

$$\binom{n+m}{n}^{-1} \sum_{i=0}^{n} \binom{n+m-i-j}{n-i} \binom{i+j-1}{i} a^{n+m,l}_{i+j,k} = a^{m,l}_{j,k}.$$

Proof: By Lem.A.2 and Lem.A.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{LHS}) = {} & \binom{n+m}{n}^{-1} \sum_{i=0}^{n} \binom{n+m-i-j}{n-i} \binom{i+j-1}{i} \\ & - 2 \binom{n+m}{n}^{-1} \binom{n+m+l}{n+m}^{-1} \sum_{i=0}^{n} \binom{n+m-i-j}{n-i} \binom{i+j-1}{i} \sum_{\alpha=0}^{i+j-1} \binom{n+m+l-i-j-k+1}{n+m-\alpha} \binom{i+j+k-1}{\alpha} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= 1 - 2\tbinom{m+l}{m}^{-1} \sum_{\alpha=0}^{j-1} \tbinom{m+l-j-k+1}{m-\alpha}\tbinom{j+k-1}{\alpha} \\
&= a^{m,l}_{j,k},
\end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof. □

Let $c^{n,m,l}_{i,j,k}$ be the rational number defined as follows:

$$c^{n,m,l}_{i,j,k} = (-1)^m a^{m,l}_{j,k} - 2(-1)^m \tbinom{n+m}{n}^{-1} \sum_{\alpha=0}^{i-1} \tbinom{n+m-\alpha-j}{n-\alpha}\tbinom{\alpha+j-1}{\alpha} a^{n+m,l}_{\alpha+j,k}.$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
c^{n,m,l}_{1,j,k} &= b^{n,m,l}_{0,j,k}, \\
c^{n,m,l}_{i,j,k} - c^{n,m,l}_{i+1,j,k} &= b^{n,m,l}_{i,j,k}
\end{aligned}$$

for $1 \le i \le n-1$ and Lem.5.21 implies

$$c^{n,m,l}_{n,j,k} = b^{n,m,l}_{n,j,k}.$$

We define a differential form Ψ as

$$\Psi = \sum_{\substack{1\le i\le n\\ 1\le j\le m\\ 1\le k\le l}} c^{n,m,l}_{i,j,k} \operatorname{ch}_n(f)^{(-n+i-1,-i)} \wedge \operatorname{ch}_m(g)^{(-m+j-1,-j)} \wedge \operatorname{ch}_l(h)^{(-l+k-1,-k)}.$$

Lemma 5.22. *We have* $c^{n,m,l}_{n-i+1,m-j+1,l-k+1} = c^{n,m,l}_{i,j,k}$. *Hence* Ψ *is contained in* $\mathcal{D}_{n+m+l+2}(X)$.

Proof: We have

$$\begin{aligned}
c^{n,m,l}_{n-i+1,m-j+1,l-k+1} &= (-1)^m a^{m,l}_{m-j+1,l-k+1} \\
&\quad - 2(-1)^m \tbinom{n+m}{n}^{-1} \sum_{\alpha=0}^{n-i} \tbinom{n-\alpha+j-1}{n-\alpha}\tbinom{\alpha+m-j}{\alpha} a^{n+m,l}_{\alpha+m-j+1,l-k+1} \\
&= (-1)^{m+1} a^{m,l}_{j,k} + 2(-1)^m \tbinom{n+m}{n}^{-1} \sum_{\alpha=0}^{n-i} \tbinom{n-\alpha+j-1}{n-\alpha}\tbinom{\alpha+m-j}{\alpha} a^{n+m,l}_{n-\alpha+j,k} \\
&= (-1)^{m+1} a^{m,l}_{j,k} + 2(-1)^m \tbinom{n+m}{n}^{-1} \sum_{\beta=i}^{n} \tbinom{\beta+j-1}{\beta}\tbinom{n-\beta+m-j}{n-\beta} a^{n+m,l}_{\beta+j,k}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence Lem.5.21 implies

$$c^{n,m,l}_{n-i+1,m-j+1,l-k+1} = (-1)^{m+1} a^{m,l}_{j,k} + 2(-1)^m \left(a^{m,l}_{j,k} - \tbinom{n+m}{n}^{-1} \sum_{\beta=0}^{i-1} \tbinom{\beta+j-1}{\beta}\tbinom{n-\beta+m-j}{n-\beta} a^{n+m,l}_{\beta+j,k} \right)$$

$$= (-1)^m a^{m,l}_{j,k} - 2(-1)^m \binom{n+m}{n}^{-1} \sum_{\beta=0}^{i-1} \binom{n+m-\beta-j}{n-\beta} \binom{\beta+j-1}{\beta} a^{n+m,l}_{\beta+j,k}$$

$$= c^{n,m,l}_{i,j,k},$$

which completes the proof. □

Let us denote the parts of $d\Psi$ including derivatives of $\mathrm{ch}_n(f), \mathrm{ch}_m(g)$ and $\mathrm{ch}_l(h)$ by $\Psi(f)$, $\Psi(g)$ and $\Psi(h)$ respectively. Then $d\Psi = \Psi(f) + \Psi(g) + \Psi(h)$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
\Psi(f) = &\sum_{\substack{1\le i\le n\\1\le j\le m\\1\le k\le l}} c^{n,m,l}_{i,j,k} d\,\mathrm{ch}_n(f)^{(-n+i-1,-i)} \wedge \mathrm{ch}_m(g)^{(-m+j-1,-j)} \wedge \mathrm{ch}_l(h)^{(-l+k-1,-k)} \\
= &\sum_{\substack{1\le j\le m\\1\le k\le l}} c^{n,m,l}_{1,j,k} \overline{\partial}\,\mathrm{ch}_n(f)^{(-n,-1)} \wedge \mathrm{ch}_m(g)^{(-m+j-1,-j)} \wedge \mathrm{ch}_l(h)^{(-l+k-1,-k)} \\
&+ \sum_{\substack{1\le i\le n-1\\1\le j\le m\\1\le k\le l}} (c^{n,m,l}_{i,j,k} - c^{n,m,l}_{i+1,j,k}) \partial\,\mathrm{ch}_n(f)^{(-n+i-1,-i)} \wedge \mathrm{ch}_m(g)^{(-m+j-1,-j)} \wedge \mathrm{ch}_l(h)^{(-l+k-1,-k)} \\
&+ \sum_{\substack{1\le j\le m\\1\le k\le l}} c^{n,m,l}_{n,j,k} \partial\,\mathrm{ch}_n(f)^{(-1,-n)} \wedge \mathrm{ch}_m(g)^{(-m+j-1,-j)} \wedge \mathrm{ch}_l(h)^{(-l+k-1,-k)} \\
= &\Phi(f).
\end{aligned}$$

Let us express $\Phi(h) - \Psi(h)$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Phi(h) - \Psi(h) \\
&\quad = d^{n,m,l}_{i,j,0} \sum_{\substack{1\le i\le n\\1\le j\le m}} \mathrm{ch}_n(f)^{(-n+i-1,-i)} \wedge \mathrm{ch}_m(g)^{(-m+j-1,-j)} \wedge \overline{\partial}\,\mathrm{ch}_l(h)^{(-l,-1)} \\
&\quad\quad + \sum_{\substack{1\le i\le n\\1\le j\le m\\1\le k\le l}} d^{n,m,l}_{i,j,k} \mathrm{ch}_n(f)^{(-n+i-1,-i)} \wedge \mathrm{ch}_m(g)^{(-m+j-1,-j)} \wedge \partial\,\mathrm{ch}_l(h)^{(-l+k-1,-k)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 5.23. *It follows that $d^{n,m,l}_{i,j,k} = 0$, therefore $\Phi(h) - \Psi(h) = 0$.*

Proof: When $1 \le k \le l-1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
d^{n,m,l}_{i,j,k} &= (-1)^n a^{n,m+l}_{i,j+k} \times (a^{m,l}_{j,k} - a^{m,l}_{j,k+1}) - (-1)^{n+m} (c^{n,m,l}_{i,j,k} - c^{n,m,l}_{i,j,k+1}) \\
&= (-1)^{n+1} (1 - a^{n,m+l}_{i,j+k})(a^{m,l}_{j,k} - a^{m,l}_{j,k+1}) \\
&\quad + 2(-1)^n \binom{n+m}{n}^{-1} \sum_{\alpha=0}^{i-1} \binom{n+m-\alpha-j}{n-\alpha} \binom{\alpha+j-1}{\alpha} (a^{n+m,l}_{\alpha+j,k} - a^{n+m,l}_{\alpha+j,k+1})
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= 4(-1)^n \binom{n+m+l}{n}^{-1}\binom{m+l}{l}^{-1}\binom{m+l-j-k}{m-j}\binom{j+k-1}{j-1}\sum_{\alpha=0}^{i-1}\binom{n+m+l-i-j-k+1}{n-\alpha}\binom{i+j+k-1}{\alpha}\\
&\quad - 4(-1)^n\binom{n+m+l}{l}^{-1}\binom{n+m}{n}^{-1}\sum_{\alpha=0}^{i-1}\binom{n+m+l-\alpha-j-k}{n+m-\alpha-j}\binom{\alpha+j+k-1}{\alpha+j-1}\binom{n+m-\alpha-j}{n-\alpha}\binom{\alpha+j-1}{\alpha}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned}
\binom{n+m+l}{l}\binom{n+m}{n} &= \binom{n+m+l}{n}\binom{m+l}{l},\\
\binom{n+m+l-\alpha-j-k}{n+m-\alpha-j}\binom{n+m-\alpha-j}{n-\alpha} &= \binom{n+m+l-\alpha-j-k}{m+l-j-k}\binom{m+l-j-k}{m-j},\\
\binom{\alpha+j+k-1}{\alpha+j-1}\binom{\alpha+j-1}{\alpha} &= \binom{\alpha+j+k-1}{\alpha}\binom{j+k-1}{j-1},
\end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
d^{n,m,l}_{i,j,k} &= 4(-1)^n\binom{n+m+l}{n}^{-1}\binom{m+l}{l}^{-1}\binom{m+l-j-k}{m-j}\binom{j+k-1}{j-1}\\
&\qquad\times\left(\sum_{\alpha=0}^{i-1}\binom{n+m+l-i-j-k+1}{n-\alpha}\binom{i+j+k-1}{\alpha}-\sum_{\alpha=0}^{i-1}\binom{n+m+l-\alpha-j-k}{n-\alpha}\binom{\alpha+j+k-1}{\alpha}\right)\\
&= 0
\end{aligned}$$

by Lem.A.3.

When $k=0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
d^{n,m,l}_{i,j,0} &= (-1)^{n+1}a^{n,m}_{i,j} + (-1)^n a^{n,m+l}_{i,j}\times(1+a^{m,l}_{j,1}) - (-1)^{n+m}c^{n,m,l}_{i,j,1}\\
&= (-1)^{n+1}\left((1-2\binom{n+m}{n}^{-1}\sum_{\alpha=0}^{i-1}\binom{n+m-i-j+1}{n-\alpha}\binom{i+j-1}{\alpha}\right)\\
&\quad + 2(-1)^n\binom{m+l}{m}^{-1}\binom{m+l-j}{l}\left(1-2\binom{n+m+l}{n}^{-1}\sum_{\alpha=0}^{i-1}\binom{n+m+l-i-j+1}{n-\alpha}\binom{i+j-1}{\alpha}\right)\\
&\quad - (-1)^n\left(-1+2\binom{m+l}{l}^{-1}\binom{m+l-j}{l}\right)\\
&\quad + 2(-1)^n\binom{n+m}{n}^{-1}\sum_{\alpha=0}^{i-1}\binom{n+m-\alpha-j}{n-\alpha}\binom{\alpha+j-1}{\alpha}\left(-1+2\binom{n+m+l}{l}^{-1}\binom{n+m+l-\alpha-j}{l}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\binom{n+m+l-\alpha-j}{l}\binom{n+m-\alpha-j}{n-\alpha} = \binom{n+m+l-\alpha-j}{n-\alpha}\binom{m+l-j}{l},$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
d^{n,m,l}_{i,j,0} &= 2(-1)^n\binom{n+m}{n}^{-1}\left(\sum_{\alpha=0}^{i-1}\binom{n+m-i-j+1}{n-\alpha}\binom{i+j-1}{\alpha}-\sum_{\alpha=0}^{i-1}\binom{n+m-\alpha-j}{n-\alpha}\binom{\alpha+j-1}{\alpha}\right)\\
&\qquad + 4(-1)^{n+1}\binom{n+m+l}{n}^{-1}\binom{m+l}{l}^{-1}\binom{m+l-j}{l}\\
&\qquad\quad\times\left(\sum_{\alpha=0}^{i-1}\binom{n+m+l-i-j+1}{n-\alpha}\binom{i+j-1}{\alpha}-\sum_{\alpha=0}^{i-1}\binom{n+m+l-\alpha-j}{n-\alpha}\binom{\alpha+j-1}{\alpha}\right)\\
&= 0
\end{aligned}$$

by Lem.A.3. We can verify $d_{i,j,l}^{n,m,l} = 0$ in the same way, so we omit the proof. □

We finally calculate $\Phi(g) - \Psi(g)$. Let us express it as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Phi(g) - \Psi(g) \\
&\quad = e_{i,0,k}^{n,m,l} \sum_{\substack{1\le i\le n \\ 1\le k\le l}} \mathrm{ch}_n(f)^{(-n+i-1,-i)} \wedge \overline{\partial}\,\mathrm{ch}_m(g)^{(-m,-1)} \wedge \mathrm{ch}_l(h)^{(-l+k-1,-k)} \\
&\qquad + \sum_{\substack{1\le i\le n \\ 1\le j\le m \\ 1\le k\le l}} e_{i,j,k}^{n,m,l}\,\mathrm{ch}_n(f)^{(-n+i-1,-i)} \wedge \partial\,\mathrm{ch}_m(g)^{(-m+j-1,-j)} \wedge \mathrm{ch}_l(h)^{(-l+k-1,-k)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 5.24. *It follows that* $e_{i,j,k}^{n,m,l} = 0$ *if* $1 \le j \le m-1$ *and* $e_{i,0,k}^{n,m,l} = e_{i,m,k}^{n,m,l} = (-1)^{n+m+1}$.

Proof: When $1 \le j \le m-1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
e_{i,j,k}^{n,m,l} &= (-1)^{n+m+1} a_{i+j,k}^{n+m,l} \times (a_{i,j}^{n,m} - a_{i,j+1}^{n,m}) + (-1)^{n+m+1} a_{i,j+k}^{n,m+l} \times (a_{j,k}^{m,l} - a_{j+1,k}^{m,l}) \\
&\quad + (-1)^n (c_{i,j,k}^{n,m,l} - c_{i,j+1,k}^{n,m,l}) \\
&= (-1)^{n+m+1} a_{i+j,k}^{n+m,l} \times (a_{i,j}^{n,m} - a_{i,j+1}^{n,m}) + (-1)^{n+m+1} (a_{i,j+k}^{n,m+l} - 1) \times (a_{j,k}^{m,l} - a_{j+1,k}^{m,l}) \\
&\quad + 2(-1)^{n+m+1} \tbinom{n+m}{n}^{-1} \sum_{\alpha=0}^{i-1} \tbinom{n+m-\alpha-j}{n-\alpha} \tbinom{\alpha+j-1}{\alpha} a_{\alpha+j,k}^{n+m,l} \\
&\quad - 2(-1)^{n+m+1} \tbinom{n+m}{n}^{-1} \sum_{\alpha=0}^{i-1} \tbinom{n+m-\alpha-j-1}{n-\alpha} \tbinom{\alpha+j}{\alpha} a_{\alpha+j+1,k}^{n+m,l}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&e_{i+1,j,k}^{n,m,l} - e_{i,j,k}^{n,m,l} \\
&\quad = (-1)^{n+m+1} a_{i+j+1,k}^{n+m,l} \times (a_{i+1,j}^{n,m} - a_{i+1,j+1}^{n,m}) - (-1)^{n+m+1} a_{i+j,k}^{n+m,l} \times (a_{i,j}^{n,m} - a_{i,j+1}^{n,m}) \\
&\qquad + (-1)^{n+m+1} (a_{i+1,j+k}^{n,m+l} - a_{i,j+k}^{n,m+l})(a_{j,k}^{m,l} - a_{j+1,k}^{m,l}) \\
&\qquad + 2(-1)^{n+m+1} \tbinom{n+m}{n}^{-1} \left(\tbinom{n+m-i-j}{n-i} \tbinom{i+j-1}{i} a_{i+j,k}^{n+m,l} - \tbinom{n+m-i-j-1}{n-i} \tbinom{i+j}{i} a_{i+j+1,k}^{n+m,l} \right) \\
&\quad = 2(-1)^{n+m} \tbinom{n+m}{n}^{-1} \tbinom{n+m-i-j-1}{n-i-1} \tbinom{i+j}{i} a_{i+j+1,k}^{n+m,l} - 2(-1)^{n+m} \tbinom{n+m}{n}^{-1} \tbinom{n+m-i-j}{n-i} \tbinom{i+j-1}{i-1} a_{i+j,k}^{n+m,l} \\
&\qquad + (-1)^{n+m+1} (a_{i+1,j+k}^{n,m+l} - a_{i,j+k}^{n,m+l})(a_{j,k}^{m,l} - a_{j+1,k}^{m,l}) \\
&\qquad + 2(-1)^{n+m+1} \tbinom{n+m}{n}^{-1} \left(\tbinom{n+m-i-j}{n-i} \tbinom{i+j-1}{i} a_{i+j,k}^{n+m,l} - \tbinom{n+m-i-j-1}{n-i} \tbinom{i+j}{i} a_{i+j+1,k}^{n+m,l} \right) \\
&\quad = 2(-1)^{n+m} \tbinom{n+m}{n}^{-1} \tbinom{n+m-i-j}{n-i} \tbinom{i+j}{i} (a_{i+j+1,k}^{n+m,l} - a_{i+j,k}^{n+m,l}) \\
&\qquad + (-1)^{n+m+1} (a_{i+1,j+k}^{n,m+l} - a_{i,j+k}^{n,m+l})(a_{j,k}^{m,l} - a_{j+1,k}^{m,l}) \\
&\quad = -4(-1)^{n+m} \tbinom{n+m}{n}^{-1} \tbinom{n+m-i-j}{n-i} \tbinom{i+j}{i} \tbinom{n+m+l}{n+m}^{-1} \tbinom{n+m+l-i-j-k}{n+m-i-j} \tbinom{i+j+k-1}{i+j} \\
&\qquad + 4(-1)^{n+m} \tbinom{n+m+l}{n}^{-1} \tbinom{n+m+l-i-j-k}{n-i} \tbinom{i+j+k-1}{i} \tbinom{m+l}{m}^{-1} \tbinom{m+l-j-k}{m-j} \tbinom{j+k-1}{j}
\end{aligned}$$

$$= 0$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
e^{n,m,l}_{1,j,k} &= (-1)^{n+m+1} a^{n+m,l}_{j+1,k} \times (a^{n,m}_{1,j} - a^{n,m}_{1,j+1}) + (-1)^{n+m+1}(a^{n,m+l}_{1,j+k} - 1)(a^{m,l}_{j,k} - a^{m,l}_{j+1,k}) \\
&\quad + 2(-1)^{n+m+1} \tbinom{n+m}{n}^{-1} \left(\tbinom{n+m-j}{n} a^{n+m,l}_{j,k} - \tbinom{n+m-j-1}{n} a^{n+m,l}_{j+1,k} \right) \\
&= (-1)^{n+m+1}(a^{n,m+l}_{1,j+k} - 1)(a^{m,l}_{j,k} - a^{m,l}_{j+1,k}) - 2(-1)^{n+m} \tbinom{n+m}{n}^{-1} \tbinom{n+m-j}{n} (a^{n+m,l}_{j,k} - a^{n+m,l}_{j+1,k}) \\
&= 4(-1)^{n+m} \tbinom{n+m+l}{n}^{-1} \tbinom{n+m+l-j-k}{n} \tbinom{m+l}{m}^{-1} \tbinom{m+l-j-k}{m-j} \tbinom{j+k-1}{j} \\
&\quad - 4(-1)^{n+m} \tbinom{n+m}{n}^{-1} \tbinom{n+m-j}{n} \tbinom{n+m+l}{n+m}^{-1} \tbinom{n+m+l-j-k}{n+m-j} \tbinom{j+k-1}{j} \\
&= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence $e^{n,m,l}_{i,j,k} = 0$ if $1 \leq j \leq m-1$.

When $j = 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
e^{n,m,l}_{i,0,k} &= (-1)^{n+m+1} a^{n+m,l}_{i,k} \times (1 + a^{n,m}_{i,1}) + (-1)^{n+m} a^{n,m+l}_{i,k} \times (1 - a^{m,l}_{1,k}) - (-1)^{n+1} c^{n,m,l}_{i,1,k} \\
&= 2(-1)^{n+m+1} \tbinom{n+m}{n}^{-1} \tbinom{n+m-i}{m} a^{n+m,l}_{i,k} + 2(-1)^{n+m} \tbinom{m+l}{m}^{-1} \tbinom{m+l-k}{m} a^{n,m+l}_{i,k} \\
&\quad + (-1)^{n+m} a^{m,l}_{1,k} - 2(-1)^{n+m} \tbinom{n+m}{n}^{-1} \sum_{\alpha=0}^{i-1} \tbinom{n+m-\alpha-1}{n-\alpha} a^{n+m,l}_{\alpha+1,k}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& e^{n,m,l}_{i+1,0,k} - e^{n,m,l}_{i,0,k} \\
&= -2(-1)^{n+m} \tbinom{n+m}{n}^{-1} \tbinom{n+m-i-1}{m} a^{n+m,l}_{i+1,k} + 2(-1)^{n+m} \tbinom{n+m}{n}^{-1} \tbinom{n+m-i}{m} a^{n+m,l}_{i,k} \\
&\quad + 2(-1)^{n+m} \tbinom{m+l}{m}^{-1} \tbinom{m+l-k}{m} (a^{n,m+l}_{i+1,k} - a^{n,m+l}_{i,k}) - 2(-1)^{n+m} \tbinom{n+m}{n}^{-1} \tbinom{n+m-i-1}{n-i} a^{n+m,l}_{i+1,k} \\
&= 2(-1)^{n+m+1} \tbinom{n+m}{n}^{-1} \tbinom{n+m-i}{m} (a^{n+m,l}_{i+1,k} - a^{n+m,l}_{i,k}) \\
&\quad + 2(-1)^{n+m} \tbinom{m+l}{m}^{-1} \tbinom{m+l-k}{m} (a^{n,m+l}_{i+1,k} - a^{n,m+l}_{i,k}) \\
&= 4(-1)^{n+m} \tbinom{n+m}{n}^{-1} \tbinom{n+m-i}{m} \tbinom{n+m+l}{n+m}^{-1} \tbinom{n+m+l-i-k}{n+m-i} \tbinom{i+k-1}{i} \\
&\quad - 4(-1)^{n+m} \tbinom{m+l}{m}^{-1} \tbinom{m+l-k}{m} \tbinom{n+m+l}{n}^{-1} \tbinom{n+m+l-i-k}{n-i} \tbinom{i+k-1}{i} \\
&= 0
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
e^{n,m,l}_{1,0,k} &= 2(-1)^{n+m+1} \tbinom{n+m}{n}^{-1} \tbinom{n+m-1}{m} a^{n+m,l}_{1,k} + 2(-1)^{n+m} \tbinom{m+l}{m}^{-1} \tbinom{m+l-k}{m} a^{n,m+l}_{1,k} \\
&\quad + (-1)^{n+m} a^{m,l}_{1,k} - 2(-1)^{n+m} \tbinom{n+m}{n}^{-1} \tbinom{n+m-1}{n} a^{n+m,l}_{1,k} \\
&= 2(-1)^{n+m+1} \left(1 - 2\tbinom{n+m+l}{n+m}^{-1} \tbinom{n+m+l-k}{n+m} \right) + (-1)^{n+m} \left(1 - 2\tbinom{m+l}{m}^{-1} \tbinom{m+l-k}{m} \right) \\
&\quad + 2(-1)^{n+m} \tbinom{m+l}{m}^{-1} \tbinom{m+l-k}{m} \left(1 - 2\tbinom{n+m+l}{n}^{-1} \tbinom{n+m+l-k}{n} \right) \\
&= (-1)^{n+m+1} + 4(-1)^{n+m} \tbinom{n+m+l}{n+m}^{-1} \tbinom{n+m+l-k}{n+m} \\
&\quad - 4(-1)^{n+m} \tbinom{m+l}{m}^{-1} \tbinom{m+l-k}{m} \tbinom{n+m+l}{n}^{-1} \tbinom{n+m+l-k}{n}
\end{aligned}$$

$$= (-1)^{n+m+1}.$$

Hence $e^{n,m,l}_{i,0,k} = (-1)^{n+m+1}$. We can verify $e^{n,m,l}_{i,m,k} = (-1)^{n+m+1}$ in the same way, so we omit the proof. □

Let us return to the proof of Prop.5.18. By the above calculations, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Phi - d\Psi \\
&= (-1)^{n+m+1} \sum_{\substack{1\le i\le n \\ 1\le k\le l}} \operatorname{ch}_n(f)^{(-n+i-1,-i)} \wedge \left(\overline{\partial} \operatorname{ch}_m(g)^{(-m,-1)} + \partial \operatorname{ch}_m(g)^{(-1,-m)}\right) \wedge \operatorname{ch}_l(h)^{(-l+k-1,-k)} \\
&= (-1)^{n+m+1} \operatorname{ch}_n(f) \wedge d \operatorname{ch}_m(g) \wedge \operatorname{ch}_l(h).
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\Psi \in \mathcal{D}_{n+m+l+2}(X)$ and $d_{\mathcal{D}}\Psi = -d\Psi$, we have completed the proof. □

6. DIRECT IMAGES

6.1. **Higher analytic torsion forms.** We start this section by recalling the higher analytic torsion forms defined by Bismut and Köhler [2]. First we fix some notations.

Let $\varphi : M \to N$ be a smooth projective morphism of compact complex algebraic manifolds. Let $T\varphi$ be the relative tangent bundle of φ and we fix a metric h_φ on $T\varphi$ that induces a Kähler metric on the fiber $\varphi^{-1}(y)$ on each point $y \in N$. The pair (φ, h_φ) is called a *Kähler fibration.* A real closed $(1,1)$-form Ω on M is called a *Kähler form* with respect to h_φ if the restriction of Ω to each fiber $\varphi^{-1}(y)$ is a Kähler form with respect to h_φ. Let K_φ be the curvature form of $(T\varphi, h_\varphi)$ and

$$\operatorname{Td}^{GS}(\overline{T\varphi}) = \operatorname{Td}\left(-\frac{K_\varphi}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}}\right),$$

where Td is the Todd polynomial.

Let $\overline{E}$ be a φ-acyclic hermitian vector bundle on M, that is, $\overline{E}$ is a hermitian vector bundle on M such that the higher direct image $R^i\varphi_*\overline{E}$ is trivial if $i > 0$. Then the direct image $\varphi_*\overline{E}$ becomes a vector bundle and is equipped with the L_2-hermitian metric. Let $\mathcal{E}^p$ be an infinite dimensional vector bundle on N whose fiber on $y \in N$ is the vector space of smooth sections of $\Lambda^p T^{*(1,0)}\varphi \otimes \overline{E}$ over $\varphi^{-1}(y)$, where $T^{*(1,0)}\varphi$ is the holomorphic part of the complexified relative cotangent bundle $T^*\varphi \otimes \mathbb{C}$. Then a hermitian metric on $\mathcal{E}^p$ can be defined by means of the Kähler metric h_φ.

Let us fix a Kähler form Ω with respect to h_φ. Then the Bismut superconnection B_u and the number operator N_u for $u > 0$ are defined on $\mathcal{E} = \bigoplus_p \mathcal{E}^p$. The zeta function $\zeta(s)$ is defined as

$$\zeta(s) = \frac{-1}{2\Gamma(s)} \int_0^\infty u^{s-1} \operatorname{tr}_s(N_u \exp(-B_u^2))du,$$

where tr_s is the supertrace. The higher analytic torsion form of $\overline{E}$ is defined as

$$T(\overline{E}, \varphi, \Omega) = \Theta_1\left(\frac{d}{ds}\zeta(s)\bigg|_{s=0}\right),$$

where $\Theta_1 : \mathcal{D}_1(M) \to \mathcal{A}_1(M)$ is the renormalization operator defined in §1.6. When the morphism φ and the Kähler form Ω are specified, we sometimes abbreviate $T(\overline{E}, \varphi, \Omega)$ to $T(\overline{E})$.

The Grothendieck-Riemann-Roch theorem states that two closed differential forms $\int_{M/N} \mathrm{ch}^{GS}(\overline{E}) \,\mathrm{Td}^{GS}(\overline{T\varphi})$ and $\mathrm{ch}^{GS}(\varphi_* \overline{E})$ determine the same cohomology class. The higher analytic torsion form of $T(\overline{E}, \varphi, \Omega)$ gives a homotopy between these two forms. Namely, we have the following:

Theorem 6.1. [2] *Under the above notations, we have*

$$d_{\mathcal{A}} T(\overline{E}, \varphi, \Omega) = \mathrm{ch}_0^{GS}(\varphi_* \overline{E}) - \int_{M/N} \mathrm{Td}^{GS}(\overline{T\varphi}) \,\mathrm{ch}_0^{GS}(\overline{E}).$$

6.2. **Independence of the analytic torsion forms.** In this subsection we review independence of $T(\overline{E}, \varphi, \Omega)$ modulo $\mathrm{Im}\, d_{\mathcal{A}}$ of the choice of the Kähler form Ω, due also to Bismut and Köhler [2]. Let Ω and Ω' be two Kähler forms with respect to h_φ. For $0 \le l \le 1$, let $\Omega_l = l\Omega + (1-l)\Omega'$. Then Ω_l is also a Kähler form with respect to h_φ. The Bismut superconnection and the number operator determined by Ω_l are denoted by $B_{u,l}$ and $N_{u,l}$ respectively. The holomorphic and anti-holomorphic parts of $B_{u,l}$ are denoted by $B'_{u,l}$ and $B''_{u,l}$ respectively. In addition, let M_u be the section of $\Lambda^* T^* N \otimes \mathrm{End}(\mathcal{E})$ defined in [2, Def.2.7]. The zeta function with respect to Ω_l is defined as

$$\zeta_l(s) = \frac{-1}{2\Gamma(s)} \int_0^\infty u^{s-1} \,\mathrm{tr}_s(N_{u,l} \exp(-B_{u,l}^2)) du.$$

By Thm.2.9 in [2], we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{dl} \mathrm{tr}_s(N_{u,l} \exp(-B_{u,l}^2)) = {} & u \frac{d}{du} (M_u \exp(-B_{u,l}^2)) \\
& - \overline{\partial} \left(\frac{d}{db} \mathrm{tr}_s([B'_{u,l}, N_{u,l}] \exp(-B_{u,l}^2 - bM_u)) \Big|_{b=0} \right) \\
& - \partial \left(\frac{d}{db} \mathrm{tr}_s([B''_{u,l}, N_{u,l}] \exp(-B_{u,l}^2 - bM_u)) \Big|_{b=0} \right) \\
& - \overline{\partial}\partial \left(\frac{d}{db} \mathrm{tr}_s(N_{u,l} \exp(-B_{u,l}^2 - bM_u)) \Big|_{b=0} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

We set

$$\begin{aligned}
\theta_0(s) &= \frac{-1}{2\Gamma(s)} \int_0^\infty u^s \frac{d}{du} \mathrm{tr}_s(M_u \exp(-B_{u,l}^2)) du, \\
\theta_1(s) &= \frac{-1}{2\Gamma(s)} \int_0^\infty u^{s-1} \left(\frac{d}{db} \mathrm{tr}_s([B'_{u,l}, N_{u,l}] \exp(-B_{u,l}^2 - bM_u)) \Big|_{b=0} \right) du, \\
\theta_2(s) &= \frac{-1}{2\Gamma(s)} \int_0^\infty u^{s-1} \left(\frac{d}{db} \mathrm{tr}_s([B''_{u,l}, N_{u,l}] \exp(-B_{u,l}^2 - bM_u)) \Big|_{b=0} \right) du, \\
\theta_3(s) &= \frac{-1}{2\Gamma(s)} \int_0^\infty u^{s-1} \left(\frac{d}{db} \mathrm{tr}_s(N_{u,l} \exp(-B_{u,l}^2 - bM_u)) \Big|_{b=0} \right) du.
\end{aligned}$$

These integrals converge when $\operatorname{Re}(s)$ is sufficiently big and are meromorphically prolongable to the whole plane. If we differentiate $\zeta_l(s)$ with the parameter l, then we have

$$\frac{d}{dl}\zeta_l(s) = \theta_0(s) - \overline{\partial}\theta_1(s) - \partial\theta_2(s) - \overline{\partial}\partial\theta_3(s).$$

It follows from the definition of $\theta_0(s)$ that $\theta_0'(0)$ is equal to the constant term of the power series expansion of $\operatorname{tr}_s(M_u \exp(-B_{u,l}^2))$ at $u = 0$. By Thm.3.17 and Thm.3.22 in [2], we have

$$\theta_0'(0) = -d\left(\frac{d}{db}\operatorname{tr}_s(-uM_u \exp(-B_{u,l}^2 - b\frac{dB_{u,l}}{du}))\bigg|_{b=0}\right).$$

The same argument as the proof of Thm.2.2 in [1] yields the following:

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{db}\operatorname{tr}_s(-uM_u \exp(-B_{u,l}^2 - b\frac{dB_{u,l}}{du}))\bigg|_{b=0} &\in \bigoplus_p(\mathcal{E}^{p-2,p-1}(N) \oplus \mathcal{E}^{p-1,p-2}(N)),\\ \theta_1'(0) &\in \bigoplus_p \mathcal{E}^{p-1,p-2}(N),\\ \theta_2'(0) &\in \bigoplus_p \mathcal{E}^{p-2,p-1}(N),\\ \theta_3'(0) &\in \bigoplus_p \mathcal{E}^{p-2,p-2}(N).\end{aligned}$$

If $\pi : \bigoplus_p(\mathcal{E}^{p-2,p-1}(N) \oplus \mathcal{E}^{p-1,p-2}(N)) \to \mathcal{D}_2(N)$ denotes the canonical projection and

$$\beta(l) = \pi\left(\frac{d}{db}\operatorname{tr}_s(-uM_u \exp(-B_{u,l}^2 - b\frac{dB_{u,l}}{du}))\bigg|_{b=0} + \theta_1'(0) + \theta_2'(0) + \frac{\partial - \overline{\partial}}{2}\theta_3'(0)\right) \in \mathcal{D}_2(N),$$

then we have

$$d_{\mathcal{D}}\beta(l) = \frac{d}{dl}\zeta_l'(0).$$

Hence if we set

$$\mu(\overline{E}, \Omega, \Omega') = \Theta_2\left(\int_0^1 \beta(l)dl\right) \in \mathcal{A}_2(N),$$

where $\Theta_2 : \mathcal{D}_2(N) \to \mathcal{A}_2(N)$ is the renormalization operator defined in §1.6, then we have

$$\begin{aligned}d_{\mathcal{A}}\mu(\overline{E}, \Omega, \Omega') &= \Theta_1\left(\int_0^1 d_{\mathcal{D}}\beta(l)dl\right)\\ &= \Theta_1\left(\int_0^1 \frac{d}{dl}\zeta_l'(0)dl\right)\\ &= \Theta_1\left(\zeta_1'(0) - \zeta_0'(0)\right)\\ &= T(\overline{E}, \varphi, \Omega) - T(\overline{E}, \varphi, \Omega').\end{aligned}$$

We next discuss compatibility of $T(\overline{E},\varphi,\Omega)$ and $\mu(\overline{E},\Omega,\Omega')$ with the pull back for closed immersions. Consider the following cartesian square:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
M' \arrow[r, "j"] \arrow[d, "\varphi'"] & M \arrow[d, "\varphi"] \\
N' \arrow[r, "i"] & N,
\end{tikzcd}$$

where i and j are closed immersions and φ is a Kähler fibration with a metric h_φ on $T\varphi$. Then it follows that $T\varphi' \simeq j^*T\varphi$, therefore a hermitian metric $h_{\varphi'}$ on $T\varphi'$ with which φ' becomes a Kähler fibration is induced from h_φ. If Ω is a Kähler form with respect to h_φ, then the pull back $j^*\Omega$ is a Kähler form with respect to $h_{\varphi'}$.

Let $\overline{E}$ be a φ-acyclic hermitian vector bundle on M. Then it is obvious that $B_{u,l}$, $N_{u,l}$ and M_u for $\overline{E}$ as mentioned above are compatible with the pull back for the immersions i and j. Hence the forms $T(\overline{E},\varphi,\Omega)$ and $\mu(\overline{E},\Omega,\Omega')$ admit the naturality for i and j.

Summarizing results obtained so far, we have the following:

Proposition 6.2. *Let $\varphi : M \to N$ and h_φ be as in the last subsection. Let Ω and Ω' be Kähler forms with respect to h_φ. Let $\overline{E}$ be a φ-acyclic hermitian vector bundle on M. Then there is a form $\mu(\overline{E},\Omega,\Omega') \in \mathcal{A}_2(N)$ such that*

$$d_{\mathcal{A}}\mu(\overline{E},\Omega,\Omega') = T(\overline{E},\varphi,\Omega) - T(\overline{E},\varphi,\Omega').$$

Furthermore, for the cartesian square as above, we have

$$i^*T(\overline{E},\varphi,\Omega) = T(j^*\overline{E},\varphi',j^*\Omega),$$
$$i^*\mu(\overline{E},\Omega,\Omega') = \mu(j^*\overline{E},j^*\Omega,j^*\Omega').$$

6.3. **Higher analytic torsion forms for cubes.** In this subsection we introduce the higher analytic torsion form of an exact metrized n-cube defined by Roessler [14]. To do this we need another presentation of higher Bott-Chern forms.

Let M be a compact complex algebraic manifold. For $u_i \in \mathcal{D}_1(M)$, we set

$$C_n(u_1,\cdots,u_n) = \frac{1}{2^n}\sum_{\sigma\in\mathfrak{S}_n}(-1)^{\operatorname{sgn}\sigma}u_{\sigma(1)} \bullet \left(u_{\sigma(2)} \bullet \left(\cdots u_{\sigma(k)}\cdots\right)\right).$$

Similarly, for $u_i \in \mathcal{A}_1(M)$, we set

$$C_n^{GS}(u_1,\cdots,u_n) = \sum_{\sigma\in\mathfrak{S}_n}(-1)^{\operatorname{sgn}\sigma}u_{\sigma(1)} \bullet \left(u_{\sigma(2)} \bullet \left(\cdots u_{\sigma(k)}\cdots\right)\right),$$

where $\bullet$ is the multiplication on $\mathcal{A}_*(M)$ defined in §4.3.

Proposition 6.3. *It follows that*

$$C_n(u_1,\cdots,u_n) = \frac{(-1)^n}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{n}(-1)^i S_n^i(u_1,\cdots,u_n).$$

Hence for an exact metrized n-cube $\mathcal{F}$, we have

$$\mathrm{ch}_n(\mathcal{F}) = \frac{1}{(2\pi\sqrt{-1})^n n!}\int_{(\mathbb{P}^1)^n} \mathrm{ch}_0(\mathrm{tr}_n\,\lambda\mathcal{F})C_n(\log|z_1|^2,\cdots,\log|z_n|^2)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{ch}_n^{GS}(\mathcal{F}) &= \frac{(-1)^n}{(2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{n-1}n!}\int_{(\mathbb{P}^1)^n} \mathrm{ch}_0^{GS}(\mathrm{tr}_n\,\lambda\mathcal{F})\sum_{i=1}^{n}(-1)^i S_n^i \\ &= \frac{1}{n!}\int_{(\mathbb{P}^1)^n} \mathrm{ch}_0^{GS}(\mathrm{tr}_n\,\lambda\mathcal{F})C_n^{GS}(\log|z_1|^2,\cdots,\log|z_n|^2).\end{aligned}$$

Let $\varphi : M \to N$ be a smooth projective morphism of compact complex algebraic manifolds and h_φ a hermitian metric on $T\varphi$ such that (φ, h_φ) is a Kähler fibration. We assume that any exact metrized n-cube on M we deal with is made of φ-acyclic hermitian vector bundles. Then $\lambda\mathcal{F}$ for such a cube $\mathcal{F}$ is also made of φ-acyclic vector bundles and there is a canonical isomorphism

$$\varphi_*(\mathrm{tr}_n\,\lambda\mathcal{F}) \simeq \lambda\,\mathrm{tr}_n\,\varphi_*\mathcal{F}.$$

When we put the L_2-metrics on the both sides, however, this isomorphism does not preserve the metrics. In [14, §3.1], Roessler has constructed a hermitian vector bundle $\overline{h(\mathcal{F})}$ connecting these metrics. Namely, $\overline{h(\mathcal{F})}$ is a hermitian vector bundle on $N \times (\mathbb{P}^1)^{n+1}$ satisfying the following conditions:

$$\overline{h(\mathcal{F})}|_{X\times\{0\}\times(\mathbb{P}^1)^n} = \varphi_*(\mathrm{tr}_n\,\lambda\mathcal{F}),\quad \overline{h(\mathcal{F})}|_{X\times\{\infty\}\times(\mathbb{P}^1)^n} = \lambda\,\mathrm{tr}_n\,\varphi_*\mathcal{F}$$

and

$$\overline{h(\mathcal{F})}|_{X\times(\mathbb{P}^1)^i\times\{0\}\times(\mathbb{P}^1)^{n-i}} = \overline{h(\partial_i^0\mathcal{F})},\quad \overline{h(\mathcal{F})}|_{X\times(\mathbb{P}^1)^i\times\{\infty\}\times(\mathbb{P}^1)^{n-i}} = \overline{h(\partial_i^{-1}\mathcal{F})}\oplus\overline{h(\partial_i^1\mathcal{F})}$$

for $1 \le i \le n$. For the precise definition of $\overline{h(\mathcal{F})}$, see [14]. Let us define a differential form $T_1(\mathcal{F},\varphi) \in \mathcal{A}_{n+1}(N)$ as

$$T_1(\mathcal{F},\varphi) = \frac{(-1)^n}{(2\pi\sqrt{-1})^n(n+1)!}\int_{(\mathbb{P}^1)^{n+1}} \mathrm{ch}_0^{GS}(\overline{h(\mathcal{F})})\sum_{i=1}^{n+1}(-1)^i S_{n+1}^i.$$

Moreover we take a Kähler form Ω with respect to h_φ and define $T_2(\mathcal{F},\varphi,\Omega) \in \mathcal{A}_{n+1}(N)$ as

$$T_2(\mathcal{F},\varphi,\Omega) = \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{(2\pi\sqrt{-1})^n(n+1)!}\int_{(\mathbb{P}^1)^n}\sum_{i=1}^{n+1}(-1)^i S_{n+1}^i(\mathcal{F})$$

where

$$S_{n+1}^i(\mathcal{F}) = S_{n+1}^i(T(\mathrm{tr}_n\,\lambda\mathcal{F},\varphi,\Omega),\log|z_1|^2,\cdots,\log|z_n|^2).$$

Theorem 6.4. [14, Thm.3.6] *For an exact metrized n-cube $\mathcal{F}$ on M, we have*

$$d_{\mathcal{A}}T_1(\mathcal{F},\varphi) + T_1(\partial\mathcal{F},\varphi) = \mathrm{ch}_n^{GS}(\varphi_*\mathcal{F}) - \frac{(-1)^n}{(2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{n-1}n!}\int_{(\mathbb{P}^1)^n} \mathrm{ch}_0^{GS}(\varphi_*\,\mathrm{tr}_n\,\lambda\mathcal{F})\sum_{i=1}^{n}(-1)^i S_n^i$$

and

$$d_{\mathcal{A}}T_2(\mathcal{F},\varphi,\Omega)+T_2(\partial\mathcal{F},\varphi,\Omega)=\frac{(-1)^n}{(2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{n-1}n!}\int_{(\mathbb{P}^1)^n}\operatorname{ch}_0^{GS}(\varphi_*\operatorname{tr}_n\lambda\mathcal{F})\sum_{i=1}^{n}(-1)^i S_n^i$$
$$-\int_{M/N}\operatorname{Td}^{GS}(\overline{T\varphi})\operatorname{ch}_n^{GS}(\mathcal{F}).$$

Hence if we set $T(\mathcal{F},\varphi,\Omega)=T_1(\mathcal{F},\varphi)+T_2(\mathcal{F},\varphi,\Omega)$*, then we have*

$$d_{\mathcal{A}}T(\mathcal{F},\varphi,\Omega)+T(\partial\mathcal{F},\varphi,\Omega)=\operatorname{ch}_n^{GS}(\varphi_*\mathcal{F})-\int_{M/N}\operatorname{Td}^{GS}(\overline{T\varphi})\operatorname{ch}_n^{GS}(\mathcal{F}).$$

Let us discuss dependence of $T(\mathcal{F},\varphi,\Omega)$ on the Kähler form Ω. We begin with the following lemma.

Lemma 6.5. *For* $u_1\in\mathcal{A}_2(M)$ *and* $u_i\in\mathcal{A}_1(M)$ *with* $2\le i\le n$*, let*

$$C_n^{GS}(u_1,\cdots,u_n)=\sum_{j=1}^{n}(-1)^{j+1}\sum_{\substack{\sigma\in\mathfrak{S}_n\\ \sigma(j)=1}}(-1)^{\operatorname{sgn}\sigma}u_{\sigma(1)}\bullet(u_{\sigma(2)}\bullet(\cdots u_{\sigma(j)}\cdots)).$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}&d_{\mathcal{A}}C_n^{GS}(u_1,u_2,\cdots,u_n)\\&\quad=C_n^{GS}(d_{\mathcal{A}}u_1,u_2,\cdots,u_n)+n\sum_{k=2}^{n}(-1)^k(d_{\mathcal{A}}u_k)\bullet C_{n-1}^{GS}(u_1,u_2,\cdots,\widehat{u_k},\cdots,u_n).\end{aligned}$$

Proof: Since $d_{\mathcal{A}}(u\bullet v)=d_{\mathcal{A}}u\bullet v+(-1)^{\deg u}u\bullet d_{\mathcal{A}}v$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&d_{\mathcal{A}}C_n^{GS}(u_1,u_2,\cdots,u_n)\\&\quad=\sum_{j=1}^{n}\sum_{\substack{\sigma\in\mathfrak{S}_n\\ \sigma(j)=1}}(-1)^{\operatorname{sgn}\sigma}\sum_{i<j}(-1)^{i+j}d_{\mathcal{A}}u_{\sigma(i)}(u_{\sigma(1)}\bullet(\cdots\widehat{u_{\sigma(i)}}\cdots u_{\sigma(j)}\cdots))\\&\qquad+\sum_{j=1}^{n}\sum_{\substack{\sigma\in\mathfrak{S}_n\\ \sigma(j)=1}}(-1)^{\operatorname{sgn}\sigma}u_{\sigma(1)}\bullet(\cdots d_{\mathcal{A}}u_{\sigma(j)}\cdots)\\&\qquad+\sum_{j=1}^{n}\sum_{\substack{\sigma\in\mathfrak{S}_n\\ \sigma(j)=1}}(-1)^{\operatorname{sgn}\sigma}\sum_{j<i}(-1)^{i+j+1}d_{\mathcal{A}}u_{\sigma(i)}(u_{\sigma(1)}\bullet(\cdots u_{\sigma(j)}\cdots\widehat{u_{\sigma(i)}}\cdots))\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{\substack{\sigma\in\mathfrak{S}_n \\ \sigma(j)=1}} (-1)^{\operatorname{sgn}\sigma} u_{\sigma(1)} \bullet (\cdots d_{\mathcal{A}} u_{\sigma(j)} \cdots) \\
&\quad + \sum_{k=2}^{n} \sum_{i<j} \sum_{\substack{\sigma\in\mathfrak{S}_n \\ \sigma(j)=1 \\ \sigma(i)=k}} (-1)^{\operatorname{sgn}\sigma} (-1)^{i+j} d_{\mathcal{A}} u_k (u_{\sigma(1)} \bullet (\cdots \widehat{u_{\sigma(i)}} \cdots u_{\sigma(j)} \cdots)) \\
&\quad + \sum_{k=2}^{n} \sum_{j<i} \sum_{\substack{\sigma\in\mathfrak{S}_n \\ \sigma(j)=1 \\ \sigma(i)=k}} (-1)^{\operatorname{sgn}\sigma} (-1)^{i+j+1} d_{\mathcal{A}} u_k (u_{\sigma(1)} \bullet (\cdots u_{\sigma(j)} \cdots \widehat{u_{\sigma(i)}} \cdots)) \\
&= C_{n-1}(d_{\mathcal{A}} u_1, u_2, \cdots, u_n) + n \sum_{k=2}^{n} (-1)^k (d_{\mathcal{A}} u_k) C_{n-1}(u_1, \cdots, \widehat{u_k}, \cdots, u_n),
\end{aligned}
$$

which completes the proof. □

Proposition 6.6. *For an exact metrized n-cube $\mathcal{F}$ on M, let $\mu(\mathcal{F}) = \mu(\operatorname{tr}_n \lambda\mathcal{F}, \Omega, \Omega')$. Then we have*

$$
T(\mathcal{F}, \varphi, \Omega) - T(\mathcal{F}, \varphi, \Omega') \equiv \frac{-1}{n!} \int_{(\mathbb{P}^1)^{n-1}} C_n^{GS}(\mu(\partial\mathcal{F}), \log|z_1|^2, \cdots, \log|z_{n-1}|^2))
$$

modulo $\operatorname{Im} d_{\mathcal{A}}$.

Proof: Prop.6.3 implies

$$
T_2(\mathcal{F}, \varphi, \Omega) = \frac{1}{(n+1)!} \int_{(\mathbb{P}^1)^n} C_{n+1}^{GS}(T(\operatorname{tr}_n \lambda\mathcal{F}, \varphi, \Omega), \log|z_1|^2, \cdots, \log|z_n|^2).
$$

Since $T_1(\mathcal{F}, \varphi)$ does not depend on Ω, by Lem.6.5 and Prop.6.2 we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
T(\mathcal{F}, &\varphi, \Omega) - T(\mathcal{F}, \varphi, \Omega') = T_2(\mathcal{F}, \varphi, \Omega) - T_2(\mathcal{F}, \varphi, \Omega') \\
&= \frac{1}{(n+1)!} \int_{(\mathbb{P}^1)^n} C_{n+1}^{GS}(T(\operatorname{tr}_n \lambda\mathcal{F}, \varphi, \Omega) - T(\operatorname{tr}_n \lambda\mathcal{F}, \varphi, \Omega'), \log|z_1|^2, \cdots, \log|z_n|^2) \\
&= \frac{1}{(n+1)!} \int_{(\mathbb{P}^1)^n} C_{n+1}^{GS}(d_{\mathcal{A}}\mu(\mathcal{F}), \log|z_1|^2, \cdots, \log|z_n|^2) \\
&= \frac{1}{(n+1)!} \int_{(\mathbb{P}^1)^n} d_{\mathcal{A}} C_{n+1}^{GS}(\mu(\mathcal{F}), \log|z_1|^2, \cdots, \log|z_n|^2) \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{k=1}^{n} (-1)^{k-1} \int_{(\mathbb{P}^1)^n} d_{\mathcal{A}} \log|z_k|^2 C_n^{GS}(\mu(\mathcal{F}), \cdots, \widehat{\log|z_k|}^2, \cdots) \\
&= \frac{1}{(n+1)!} d_{\mathcal{A}} \left(\int_{(\mathbb{P}^1)^n} C_{n+1}^{GS}(\mu(\mathcal{F}), \log|z_1|^2, \cdots, \log|z_n|^2) \right) \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{n!} \int_{(\mathbb{P}^1)^{n-1}} C_n^{GS}(\mu(\partial\mathcal{F}), \log|z_1|^2, \cdots, \log|z_{n-1}|^2),
\end{aligned}
$$

which completes the proof. □

6.4. **Definition of direct images.** In this subsection, we apply the results obtained so far in this section to the arithmetic situation and define a direct image morphism in higher arithmetic K-theory. Let $\varphi : X \to Y$ be a smooth projective morphism of proper arithmetic varieties. We fix an F_∞-invariant metric h_φ on $T\varphi(\mathbb{C})$ and take an anti-F_∞-invariant Kähler form Ω on $X(\mathbb{C})$ with respect to h_φ. Let $\widehat{S}(\varphi\text{-ac})$ denote the S-construction of the category of φ-acyclic hermitian vector bundles on X. Then the direct image of a φ-acyclic hermitian vector bundle with the L_2-metric gives a morphism of simplicial sets

$$\varphi_* : \widehat{S}(\varphi\text{-ac}) \to \widehat{S}(Y).$$

Since the natural inclusion $\widehat{S}(\varphi\text{-ac}) \to \widehat{S}(X)$ is homotopy equivalent, we have

$$\widehat{\pi}_*(|\widehat{S}(\varphi\text{-ac})|, \mathrm{ch}^{GS}) \simeq \widehat{\pi}_*(|\widehat{S}(X)|, \mathrm{ch}^{GS}).$$

Proposition 6.7. *If E is a degenerate element of $\widehat{S}_{n+1}(\varphi\text{-}ac)$, then we have $T(\mathrm{Cub}(E), \varphi, \Omega) = 0$.*

The proof is similar to that of Thm.3.4, so we omit it. By Prop.6.7, taking the higher analytic torsion forms yields the homomorphism

$$T(\ \ , \varphi, \Omega) : C_*(|\widehat{S}(\varphi\text{-ac})|) \to \mathcal{A}_*(Y).$$

In particular, the higher analytic torsion form of a pointed cellular map $f : S^{n+1} \to |\widehat{S}(\varphi\text{-ac})|$ is defined by $T(f, \varphi, \Omega) = T(f_*([S^{n+1}]), \varphi, \Omega)$. To simplify notation, we write $T(f)$ for $T(f, \varphi, \Omega)$ if the morphism φ and the Kähler form Ω are specified.

Let us define a homomorphism of complexes $\varphi_! : \mathcal{A}_*(X) \to \mathcal{A}_*(Y)$ by

$$\varphi_! \omega = \int_{X(\mathbb{C})/Y(\mathbb{C})} \mathrm{Td}^{GS}(\overline{T\varphi})\omega.$$

Prop.6.4 tells that the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
C_*(|\widehat{S}(\varphi\text{-ac})|) \arrow[r, "\varphi_*"] \arrow[d, "\mathrm{ch}^{GS}"] & C_*(|\widehat{S}(Y)|) \arrow[d, "\mathrm{ch}^{GS}"] \\
\mathcal{A}_*(X)[1] \arrow[r, "\varphi_!"] & \mathcal{A}_*(Y)[1]
\end{tikzcd}$$

is commutative up to the homotopy $T(\ \ , \varphi, \Omega)$. Hence Prop.2.7 yields the following:

Proposition 6.8. *We can define a homomorphism*

$$\widehat{\varphi}(\Omega)_* : \widehat{\pi}_{n+1}(|\widehat{S}(\varphi\text{-}ac)|, \mathrm{ch}^{GS}) \to \widehat{\pi}_{n+1}(|\widehat{S}(Y)|, \mathrm{ch}^{GS})$$

by $[(f, \omega)] \mapsto [(\varphi_* f, \varphi_! \omega + T(f, \varphi, \Omega))]$.

If Ω' is another anti-F_∞-invariant Kähler form with respect to h_φ, then it follows from Prop.6.6 that $T(f,\varphi,\Omega) \equiv T(f,\varphi,\Omega')$ modulo $\operatorname{Im} d_{\mathcal{A}}$ for any pointed cellular map $f : S^{n+1} \to |\widehat{S}(\varphi\text{-ac})|$. Hence the homomorphism $\widehat{\varphi}(\Omega)_*$ depends only on the hermitian metric h_φ and does not concern the Kähler form Ω.

The following is the main theorem of this section.

Theorem 6.9. *Let $\varphi : X \to Y$ be a smooth projective morphism of proper arithmetic varieties. We fix an F_∞-invariant metric h_φ on $T\varphi$ such that the restriction of h_φ to any fiber $\varphi^{-1}(y)$ on $y \in Y(\mathbb{C})$ is a Kähler metric. Then we can define a direct image morphism*

$$\widehat{\varphi}(h_\varphi)_* : \widehat{K}_n(X) \to \widehat{K}_n(Y)$$

by

$$\widehat{\pi}_{n+1}(|\widehat{S}(X)|, \mathrm{ch}^{GS}) \simeq \widehat{\pi}_{n+1}(|\widehat{S}(\varphi\text{-}ac)|, \mathrm{ch}^{GS}) \xrightarrow{\widehat{\varphi}(\Omega)_*} \widehat{\pi}_{n+1}(|\widehat{S}(Y)|, \mathrm{ch}^{GS}),$$

where Ω is an anti-F_∞-invariant Kähler from on $X(\mathbb{C})$ with respect to h_φ.

When $n = 0$, the direct image morphism we have defined above agrees with the morphism $\varphi_!$ in [11]. In fact, we have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\widehat{\mathcal{K}}_0(X) \arrow[r, "\widehat{\alpha}"] \arrow[d, "\varphi_!"] & \widehat{K}_0(X) \arrow[d, "\widehat{\varphi}(h_\varphi)_*"] \\
\widehat{\mathcal{K}}_0(Y) \arrow[r, "\widehat{\alpha}"] & \widehat{K}_0(Y),
\end{tikzcd}$$

where $\varphi_!$ is defined as

$$\varphi_!(\overline{E}, \omega) = (\varphi_*\overline{E}, \varphi_!(\omega) - T(\overline{E}))$$

for a φ-acyclic hermitian vector bundle $\overline{E}$ on X and $\omega \in \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_1(X)$.

Prop.2.8 implies that the Chern form map ch_n^{GS} is compatible with the direct image morphism, that is, the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\widehat{K}_n(X) \arrow[r, "\mathrm{ch}_n^{GS}"] \arrow[d, "\widehat{\varphi}(h_\varphi)_*"] & \mathcal{A}_*(X) \arrow[d, "\varphi_!"] \\
\widehat{K}_n(Y) \arrow[r, "\mathrm{ch}_n^{GS}"] & \mathcal{A}_*(Y)
\end{tikzcd}$$

is commutative. In particular, we can define a direct image morphism in KM-groups

$$\widehat{\varphi}(h_\varphi)_* : KM_n(X) \to KM_n(Y).$$

Finally, we give a description of the direct image morphism by means of the G-construction. Given a pointed cellular map $f : S^n \to |\widehat{G}(X)|$ for $n \geq 1$, we set

$$T(f,\varphi,\Omega) = T(\chi_* f_*([S^n]), \varphi, \Omega),$$

where χ is the morphism of simplicial sets defined in §5.3. Then a homomorphism

$$\widehat{\varphi}(\Omega)_* : \widehat{\pi}_n(|\widehat{G}(\varphi\text{-ac})|, \mathrm{ch}^{GS}) \to \widehat{\pi}_n(|\widehat{G}(Y)|, \mathrm{ch}^{GS})$$

is defined by $\widehat{\varphi}(\Omega)_*([(f,\omega)]) = [(\varphi_* f, \varphi_! \omega - T(f,\varphi,\Omega))]$. The homomorphism

$$\widehat{\pi}_n(|\widehat{G}(X)|, \mathrm{ch}^{GS}) \simeq \widehat{\pi}_n(|\widehat{G}(\varphi\text{-ac})|, \mathrm{ch}^{GS}) \overset{\widehat{\varphi}(\Omega)_*}{\longrightarrow} \widehat{\pi}_n(|\widehat{G}(Y)|, \mathrm{ch}^{GS})$$

is identified with the direct image morphism defined in Thm.6.9 by the isomorphism $\widehat{\chi}_*$ in Prop.5.3.

6.5. **The projection formula.** In this subsection we prove the projection formula in higher arithmetic K-theory. We first consider the case of $\widehat{\mathcal{K}}_0$-groups. Let $\varphi : X \to Y$, h_φ and Ω be as in the last subsection. Let $\overline{E}$ be a hermitian vector bundle on Y and $\overline{F}$ a φ-acyclic hermitian vector bundle on X. Then the canonical isomorphism $\varphi_*(\varphi^*\overline{E} \otimes \overline{F}) \simeq \overline{E} \otimes \varphi_*\overline{F}$ preserves the metrics.

For $\omega \in \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_1(Y)$ and $\tau \in \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_1(X)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\varphi_!(\widehat{\varphi}^*(\overline{E},\omega) \times (\overline{F},\tau)) \\
&\quad = \varphi_!((\varphi^*\overline{E}, \varphi^*\omega) \times (\overline{F},\tau)) \\
&\quad = \varphi_!((\varphi^*\overline{E}) \otimes \overline{F}, \varphi^*\omega \wedge \mathrm{ch}_0^{GS}(\overline{F}) + \varphi^* \mathrm{ch}_0^{GS}(\overline{E}) \wedge \tau + \varphi^* d_{\mathcal{A}}\omega \wedge \tau) \\
&\quad = (\varphi_*(\varphi^*\overline{E} \otimes \overline{F}), \eta),
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\eta &= \int_{X(\mathbb{C})/Y(\mathbb{C})} \mathrm{Td}^{GS}(\overline{T\varphi}) \wedge \big(\varphi^*\omega \wedge \mathrm{ch}_0^{GS}(\overline{F}) + \varphi^* \mathrm{ch}_0^{GS}(\overline{E}) \wedge \tau + \varphi^* d_{\mathcal{A}}\omega \wedge \tau\big) \\
&\quad - T(\varphi^*\overline{E} \otimes \overline{F}) \\
&= (\mathrm{ch}_0^{GS}(\overline{E}) + d_{\mathcal{A}}\omega) \wedge \int_{X(\mathbb{C})/Y(\mathbb{C})} \mathrm{Td}^{GS}(\overline{T\varphi}) \wedge \tau \\
&\quad + \omega \wedge (\mathrm{ch}_0^{GS}(\varphi_*\overline{F}) - d_{\mathcal{A}}T(\overline{F})) - T(\varphi^*\overline{E} \otimes \overline{F}).
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(\overline{E},\omega) \times \varphi_!(\overline{F},\tau) &= (\overline{E},\omega) \otimes (\varphi_*\overline{F}, \varphi_!\tau - T(\overline{F})) \\
&= (\overline{E} \otimes \varphi_*\overline{F}, \eta'),
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\eta' &= (\mathrm{ch}_0^{GS}(\overline{E}) + d_{\mathcal{A}}\omega) \wedge \int_{X(\mathbb{C})/Y(\mathbb{C})} \mathrm{Td}^{GS}(\overline{T\varphi}) \wedge \tau + \omega \wedge \mathrm{ch}_0^{GS}(\varphi_*\overline{F}) \\
&\quad - (\mathrm{ch}_0^{GS}(\overline{E}) + d_{\mathcal{A}}\omega) \wedge T(\overline{F}).
\end{aligned}$$

Comparing these identities, we have

$$\eta - \eta' = -T(\varphi^*\overline{E} \otimes \overline{F}) + \mathrm{ch}_0^{GS}(\overline{E}) \wedge T(\overline{F}) + d_{\mathcal{A}}(\omega \wedge T(\overline{F})).$$

Hence the projection formula in $\widehat{\mathcal{K}}_0$-groups can be reduced to the following proposition:

Proposition 6.10. *Under the above notations, we have*

$$T(\varphi^*\overline{E} \otimes \overline{F}) = \mathrm{ch}_0^{GS}(\overline{E}) \wedge T(\overline{F}).$$

Proof: Let $\mathcal{E}$ be an infinite dimensional vector bundle on N consisting of smooth sections of $\Lambda^* T^{*(1,0)}\varphi \otimes \overline{F}$. Let B_u and N_u denote the Bismut superconnection and the number operator on $\mathcal{E}$ respectively. Let $\mathcal{E}'$ be an infinite dimensional vector bundle consisting of smooth sections of $\Lambda^* T^{*(1,0)}\varphi \otimes (\varphi^*\overline{E} \otimes \overline{F})$ and the Bismut superconnection and the number operator on $\mathcal{E}'$ are denoted by B'_u and N'_u respectively. Then we have a canonical isometry $\mathcal{E}' \simeq \overline{E} \otimes \mathcal{E}$ and under this identification, we have $B'_u = 1 \otimes B_u + \nabla_{\overline{E}} \otimes 1$ and $N'_u = 1 \otimes N_u$. Hence we have $\exp(-{B'_u}^2) = \exp(-K_{\overline{E}}) \wedge \exp(-B_u^2)$, therefore

$$\operatorname{tr}_s(N'_u \exp(-{B'_u}^2)) = \operatorname{ch}_0(\overline{E}) \wedge \operatorname{tr}_s(N_u \exp(-B_u^2)).$$

Substituting this identity into the definition of $T(\varphi^*\overline{E} \otimes \overline{F})$ yields the desired identity. $\square$

Let us move on to the higher case. We assume $n, m \geq 1$. Consider the following diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\widehat{G}(Y) \wedge \widehat{G}(\varphi\text{-ac}) \arrow[r, "m^G(\varphi^* \wedge 1)"] \arrow[d, "1 \wedge \varphi_*"] & \widehat{G}^{(2)}(\varphi\text{-ac}) \arrow[d, "\varphi_*"] \\
\widehat{G}(Y) \wedge \widehat{G}(Y) \arrow[r, "m^G"] & \widehat{G}^{(2)}(Y).
\end{tikzcd}$$

This diagram is commutative up to homotopy. Let $f : S^n \to |\widehat{G}(Y)|$ and $g : S^m \to |\widehat{G}(\varphi\text{-ac})|$ be pointed cellular maps. For $\omega \in \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_{n+1}(Y)$ and $\tau \in \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_{m+1}(X)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi(\Omega)_*(\varphi^*(f,\omega) \times (g,\tau)) = \varphi(\Omega)_*(\varphi^* f \times g, &(-1)^n \varphi^* \operatorname{ch}_n^{GS}(f) \bullet \tau + \varphi^*\omega \bullet \operatorname{ch}_m^{GS}(g) \\
&+ (-1)^n d_{\mathcal{A}} \varphi^*\omega \bullet \tau + (-1)^n \varphi^* \operatorname{ch}_n^{GS}(f) \vartriangle \operatorname{ch}_m^{GS}(g)) \\
= (\varphi_*(\varphi^* f \times g), \eta),&
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\eta = (-1)^n(\operatorname{ch}_n^{GS}(f) + d_{\mathcal{A}}\omega) \bullet \int_{X(\mathbb{C})/Y(\mathbb{C})} \operatorname{Td}^{GS}(\overline{T\varphi}) \wedge \tau + \omega \bullet (\operatorname{ch}_m^{GS}(\varphi_* g) - d_{\mathcal{A}} T(g)) \\
+ (-1)^n \operatorname{ch}_n^{GS}(f) \vartriangle (\operatorname{ch}_m^{GS}(\varphi_* g) - d_{\mathcal{A}} T(g)) - T(\varphi^* f \times g).
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(f,\omega) \times \varphi(h_\varphi)_*(g,\tau) &= (f,\omega) \times (\varphi_* g, \varphi_! \tau - T(g)) \\
&= (f \times \varphi_* g, \eta'),
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\eta' = (-1)^n(\operatorname{ch}_n^{GS}(f) + d_{\mathcal{A}}\omega) \bullet \int_{X(\mathbb{C})/Y(\mathbb{C})} \operatorname{Td}^{GS}(\overline{T\varphi}) \wedge \tau - (-1)^n(\operatorname{ch}_n^{GS}(f) + d_{\mathcal{A}}\omega) \bullet T(g) \\
+ \omega \bullet \operatorname{ch}_n^{GS}(\varphi_* g) + (-1)^n \operatorname{ch}_n^{GS}(f) \vartriangle \operatorname{ch}_m^{GS}(\varphi_* g).
\end{aligned}$$

A homotopy from $\varphi_*(\varphi^* f \times g)$ to $f \times \varphi_* g$ is given by the canonical isometry $\varphi_*(\varphi^*\overline{E} \otimes \overline{F}) \simeq \overline{E} \times \varphi_*\overline{F}$ of hermitian vector bundles. Hence we can show the identity

$$[(\varphi_*(\varphi^* f \times g), 0)] = [(f \times \varphi_* g, 0)]$$

in $\widehat{\pi}_{n+m}(|\widehat{G}^{(2)}(Y)|, \operatorname{ch}^{GS})$ in the same way as Lem.5.6. Furthermore, we have

$$\eta - \eta' \equiv (-1)^{n+1} \operatorname{ch}_n^{GS}(f) \vartriangle d_{\mathcal{A}} T(g) - T(\varphi^* f \times g) + (-1)^n \operatorname{ch}_n^{GS}(f) \bullet T(g)$$

modulo $\operatorname{Im} d_{\mathcal{A}}$. Hence the projection formula in higher arithmetic K-theory can be reduced to the following proposition:

Proposition 6.11. *For an exact metrized n-cube $\mathcal{F}$ on Y and an exact metrized m-cube $\mathcal{G}$ made of φ-acyclic hermitian vector bundles on X, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} d_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathrm{ch}_n^{GS}(\mathcal{F}) \vartriangle T(\mathcal{G})) = &- T(\varphi^*\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{G}) + (-1)^n \mathrm{ch}_n^{GS}(\mathcal{F}) \bullet T(\mathcal{G}) \\ &+ \mathrm{ch}_{n+1}^{GS}(\partial\mathcal{F}) \vartriangle T(\mathcal{G}) + (-1)^{n-1} \mathrm{ch}_n^{GS}(\mathcal{F}) \vartriangle d_{\mathcal{A}}T(\mathcal{G}). \end{aligned}$$

Proof: We will prove the following identities:

$$\begin{aligned} d_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathrm{ch}_n^{GS}(\mathcal{F}) \vartriangle T_1(\mathcal{G})) = &- T_1(\varphi^*\mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{G}) + (-1)^n \mathrm{ch}_n^{GS}(\mathcal{F}) \bullet T_1(\mathcal{G}) \\ &+ \mathrm{ch}_{n-1}^{GS}(\partial\mathcal{F}) \vartriangle T_1(\mathcal{G}) + (-1)^{n+1} \mathrm{ch}_n^{GS}(\mathcal{F}) \vartriangle d_{\mathcal{A}}T_1(\mathcal{G}), \\ d_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathrm{ch}_n^{GS}(\mathcal{F}) \vartriangle T_2(\mathcal{G})) = &- T_2(\varphi^*\mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{G}) + (-1)^n \mathrm{ch}_n^{GS}(\mathcal{F}) \bullet T_2(\mathcal{G}) \\ &+ \mathrm{ch}_{n-1}^{GS}(\partial\mathcal{F}) \vartriangle T_2(\mathcal{G}) + (-1)^{n+1} \mathrm{ch}_n^{GS}(\mathcal{F}) \vartriangle d_{\mathcal{A}}T_2(\mathcal{G}). \end{aligned}$$

These two identities can be proved in the same way, so we will prove only the latter one.

The following lemma is the renormalized version of Prop.4.5.

Lemma 6.12. *For $u_1, \cdots, u_n, v_1, \cdots, v_m \in \mathcal{A}_1(M)$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} & d_{\mathcal{A}}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}(-1)^i S_n^i(u_1, \cdots, u_n) \vartriangle \sum_{j=1}^{m}(-1)^j S_m^j(v_1, \cdots, v_m)\right) \\ &= \tfrac{(-1)^{n+1}}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}} \tbinom{n+m}{n}^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^{n+m}(-1)^k S_{n+m}^k(u_1, \cdots, u_n, v_1, \cdots, v_m) \\ &\quad - \tfrac{n}{4\pi\sqrt{-1}} \sum_{\substack{1\le i\le n-1 \\ 1\le j\le m}} (-1)^{i+j} a_{i,j}^{n-1,m} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n}(-1)^\alpha \partial\overline{\partial} u_\alpha S_{n-1}^i(u_1, \cdots, \widehat{u_\alpha}, \cdots, u_n) \wedge S_m^j(v_1, \cdots, v_m) \\ &\quad + \tfrac{(-1)^n m}{4\pi\sqrt{-1}} \sum_{\substack{1\le i\le n \\ 1\le j\le m-1}} (-1)^{i+j} a_{i,j}^{n,m-1} S_n^i(u_1, \cdots, u_n) \wedge \sum_{\beta=1}^{m}(-1)^\beta \partial\overline{\partial} v_\beta S_{m-1}^j(v_1, \cdots, \widehat{v_\beta}, \cdots, v_m) \\ &\quad + (-1)^n \sum_{\substack{1\le i\le n \\ 1\le j\le m}} (-1)^{i+j} S_n^i(u_1, \cdots, u_n) \bullet S_m^j(v_1, \cdots, v_m). \end{aligned}$$

Let us start proving the latter identity. For $t < s$, let $\pi_1 : (\mathbb{P}^1)^s \to (\mathbb{P}^1)^t$ denote the projection defined by $\pi_1(x_1, \cdots, x_s) = (x_1, \cdots, x_t)$ and $\pi_2 : (\mathbb{P}^1)^s \to (\mathbb{P}^1)^t$ denote the projection defined by $\pi_2(x_1, \cdots, x_s) = (x_{s-t+1}, \cdots, x_s)$. Then Lem.6.12 implies

$$\begin{aligned} & d_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathrm{ch}_n^{GS}(\mathcal{F}) \vartriangle T_2(\mathcal{G})) \\ &= \tfrac{(-1)^{n+m+1}}{(2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{n+m-1} n!(m+1)!} \int_{(\mathbb{P}^1)^{n+m}} \pi_1^* \mathrm{ch}_0^{GS}(\mathrm{tr}_n \lambda\mathcal{F}) d_{\mathcal{A}}\left(\sum_{\substack{1\le i\le n \\ 1\le j\le m+1}} (-1)^{i+j} \pi_1^* S_n^i \vartriangle \pi_2^* S_{m+1}^j(\mathcal{G}) \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= \tfrac{(-1)^{n+m}}{(2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{n+m}(n+m+1)!}\int_{(\mathbb{P}^1)^{n+m}} \pi_1^*\operatorname{ch}_0^{GS}(\operatorname{tr}_n \lambda\mathcal{F}) \sum_{k=1}^{n+m+1} (-1)^k S^k_{n+m+1}(T(\operatorname{tr}_m \lambda\mathcal{G}), \log|t_1|^2, \cdots, \log|t_{n+m}|^2) \\
&\quad + \tfrac{(-1)^{n+m}}{2(2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{n+m-1}(n-1)!(m+1)!}\int_{(\mathbb{P}^1)^{n+m-1}} \pi_1^*\operatorname{ch}_0^{GS}(\operatorname{tr}_{n-1} \lambda\partial\mathcal{F}) \sum_{\substack{1\le i\le n-1\\ 1\le j\le m+1}} (-1)^{i+j} a^{n-1,m+1}_{i,j}\pi_1^* S^i_{n-1}\wedge \pi_2^* S^j_{m+1}(\mathcal{G}) \\
&\quad + \tfrac{(-1)^{m+1}}{2(2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{n+m-1}n!m!}\int_{(\mathbb{P}^1)^{n+m}} \pi_1^*\operatorname{ch}_0^{GS}(\operatorname{tr}_n \lambda\mathcal{F}) \sum_{\substack{1\le i\le n\\ 1\le j\le m}} (-1)^{i+j} a^{n,m}_{i,j}\pi_1^* S^i_n\wedge \pi_2^* \left(d_{\mathcal{A}}T(\operatorname{tr}_m \lambda\mathcal{G})S^j_m\right) \\
&\quad + \tfrac{(-1)^{m}}{2(2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{n+m-1}n!m!}\int_{(\mathbb{P}^1)^{n+m-1}} \pi_1^*\operatorname{ch}_0^{GS}(\operatorname{tr}_n \lambda\mathcal{F}) \sum_{\substack{1\le i\le n\\ 1\le j\le m}} (-1)^{i+j} a^{n,m}_{i,j}\pi_1^* S^i_n\wedge \pi_2^* S^j_m(\partial\mathcal{G}) \\
&\quad + \tfrac{(-1)^{m+1}}{(2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{n+m-1}n!(m+1)!}\int_{(\mathbb{P}^1)^{n+m}} \pi_1^*\operatorname{ch}_0^{GS}(\operatorname{tr}_n \lambda\mathcal{F}) \sum_{\substack{1\le i\le n\\ 1\le j\le m+1}} (-1)^{i+j} \pi_1^* S^i_n\bullet \pi_2^* S^j_{m+1}(\mathcal{G}).
\end{aligned}
$$

By Prop.6.10, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\pi_1^*\operatorname{ch}_0^{GS}(\operatorname{tr}_n \lambda\mathcal{F}) S^k_{n+m+1}(T(\operatorname{tr}_m \lambda\mathcal{G}), \log|t_1|^2, \cdots, \log|t_{n+m}|^2) \\
&\quad = S^k_{n+m+1}(\pi_1^*\operatorname{ch}_0^{GS}(\operatorname{tr}_n \lambda\mathcal{F})\wedge \pi_2^* T(\operatorname{tr}_m \lambda\mathcal{G}), \log|t_1|^2, \cdots, \log|t_{n+m}|^2) \\
&\quad = S^k_{n+m+1}(T(\operatorname{tr}_{n+m} \lambda(\varphi^*\mathcal{F}\otimes\mathcal{G}), \log|t_1|^2, \cdots, \log|t_{n+m}|^2) \\
&\quad = S^k_{n+m+1}(\varphi^*\mathcal{F}\otimes\mathcal{G}).
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
d_{\mathcal{A}}T_2(\mathcal{G}) &= \tfrac{(-1)^m}{(2\pi\sqrt{-1})^m(m+1)!}\int_{(\mathbb{P}^1)^m}\sum_{j=1}^{m+1}(-1)^j dS^j_{m+1}(\mathcal{G}) \\
&= \tfrac{(-1)^m}{(2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{m-1}m!}\int_{(\mathbb{P}^1)^m}\sum_{j=1}^{m}(-1)^j \left(d_{\mathcal{A}}T(\operatorname{tr}_m \lambda\mathcal{G})S^j_m - S^j_m(\partial\mathcal{G})\right).
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&d_{\mathcal{A}}(\operatorname{ch}_n^{GS}(\mathcal{F}) \vartriangle T_2(\mathcal{G})) \\
&= \tfrac{(-1)^{n+m}}{(2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{n+m}(n+m+1)!}\int_{(\mathbb{P}^1)^{n+m}} \sum_{k=1}^{n+m+1} (-1)^k S^k_{n+m+1}(\varphi^*\mathcal{F}\otimes\mathcal{G}) \\
&\quad + \tfrac{(-1)^{n+m}}{2(2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{n+m-1}(n-1)!(m+1)!}\int_{(\mathbb{P}^1)^{n+m-1}} \sum_{\substack{1\le i\le n-1\\ 1\le j\le m+1}} (-1)^{i+j} a^{n-1,m+1}_{i,j}\pi_1^*(\operatorname{ch}_0^{GS}(\operatorname{tr}_{n-1} \lambda\partial\mathcal{F}) S^i_{n-1})\wedge \pi_2^* S^j_{m+1}(\mathcal{G}) \\
&\quad + \tfrac{(-1)^{m+1}}{2(2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{n+m-1}n!m!}\int_{(\mathbb{P}^1)^{n+m}} \sum_{\substack{1\le i\le n\\ 1\le j\le m}} (-1)^{i+j} a^{n,m}_{i,j}\pi_1^*\operatorname{ch}_0^{GS}(\operatorname{tr}_n \lambda\mathcal{F})\pi_1^* S^i_n\wedge \pi_2^* \left(d_{\mathcal{A}}T(\operatorname{tr}_m \lambda\mathcal{G})S^j_m - S^j_m(\partial\mathcal{G})\right) \\
&\quad + \tfrac{(-1)^{m+1}}{(2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{n+m-1}n!(m+1)!}\int_{(\mathbb{P}^1)^{n+m}} \sum_{\substack{1\le i\le n\\ 1\le j\le m+1}} (-1)^{i+j} \pi_1^*(\operatorname{ch}_0^{GS}(\operatorname{tr}_n \lambda\mathcal{F}) S^i_n)\bullet \pi_2^* S^j_{m+1}(\mathcal{G})
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= -T_2(\varphi^*\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{G}) + \mathrm{ch}^{GS}_{n-1}(\partial\mathcal{F}) \vartriangle T_2(\mathcal{G}) \\
&\quad + (-1)^{n+1}\,\mathrm{ch}^{GS}_{n}(\mathcal{F}) \vartriangle d_{\mathcal{A}} T_2(\mathcal{G}) + (-1)^{n}\,\mathrm{ch}^{GS}_{n}(\mathcal{F}) \bullet T_2(\mathcal{G}),
\end{aligned}
$$

which completes the proof. □

Let us consider the case of $n = 0$ and $m > 0$. Let $(\overline{E}, \omega)$ be a pair of a hermitian vector bundle on Y and $\omega \in \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_1(Y)$ and let (g, τ) be a pair of a pointed cellular map $g : S^m \to |\widehat{G}(X)|$ and $\tau \in \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_{m+1}(X)$. Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\widehat{\varphi}(\Omega)_*(\widehat{\varphi}^*(\overline{E}, \omega) \times (g, \tau)) \\
&\qquad = (\varphi_*(\varphi^*\overline{E} \otimes g), \varphi_!(\varphi^*\omega \bullet (\mathrm{ch}^{GS}_{m}(g) + d_{\mathcal{A}}\tau)) + \varphi_!(\varphi^*\,\mathrm{ch}^{GS}_{0}(\overline{E}) \bullet \tau) - T(\varphi^*\overline{E} \otimes g) \\
&\qquad = (\varphi_*(\varphi^*\overline{E} \otimes g), \omega \bullet \varphi_!(\mathrm{ch}^{GS}_{m}(g) + d_{\mathcal{A}}\tau)) + \mathrm{ch}^{GS}_{0}(\overline{E}) \bullet (\varphi_!\tau - T(g)).
\end{aligned}
$$

On the other hand, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&(\overline{E}, \omega) \times \widehat{\varphi}(\Omega)_*(g, \tau) \\
&\qquad = (\overline{E} \otimes \varphi_* g, \mathrm{ch}^{GS}_{0}(\overline{E}) \bullet (\varphi_!\tau - T(g)) + \omega \bullet \mathrm{ch}^{GS}_{m}(\varphi_* g) + \omega \bullet (\varphi_!\tau - T(g)) \\
&\qquad = (\overline{E} \otimes \varphi_* g, \mathrm{ch}^{GS}_{0}(\overline{E}) \bullet (\varphi_!\tau - T(g)) + \omega \bullet d_{\mathcal{A}}\varphi_!\tau + \omega \bullet \varphi_!\,\mathrm{ch}^{GS}_{m}(g)).
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence we have

$$
\widehat{\varphi}(\Omega)_*(\widehat{\varphi}^*(\overline{E}, \omega) \times (g, \tau)) = (\overline{E}, \omega) \times \widehat{\varphi}(\Omega)_*(g, \tau).
$$

In the case of $n > 0$ and $m = 0$, we can also prove the projection formula for the pairing $\widehat{K}_n \times \widehat{\mathcal{K}}_0 \to \widehat{K}_n$ in the same way. Hence we have the following theorem:

Theorem 6.13. *Let $\varphi : X \to Y$ be a projective smooth morphism of proper arithmetic varieties. Let h_φ be an F_∞-invariant hermitian metric on the relative tangent bundle $T\varphi(\mathbb{C})$ that induces a Kähler metric on any fiber of $\varphi(\mathbb{C})$. Then for $y \in \widehat{K}_n(Y)$ and $x \in \widehat{K}_m(X)$, we have*

$$
\widehat{\varphi}(h_\varphi)_*(\widehat{\varphi}^* y \times x) = y \times \widehat{\varphi}(h_\varphi)_*(x).
$$

Appendix A. Some identities satisfied by binomial coefficients

Lemma A.1. (1) *For $0 \le k \le i$, we have*

$$
\begin{aligned}
&(n-i)\sum_{\alpha=0}^{k-1} \binom{n+m-i-j+1}{n-\alpha}\binom{i+j-1}{\alpha} + i\sum_{\alpha=0}^{k} \binom{n+m-i-j}{n-\alpha}\binom{i+j}{\alpha} \\
&\qquad = (n+m)\sum_{\alpha=0}^{k-1} \binom{n+m-i-j}{n-1-\alpha}\binom{i+j-1}{\alpha} + (i-k)\binom{n+m-i-j}{n-k}\binom{i+j-1}{k}.
\end{aligned}
$$

In particular, we have

$$
(n-i)\sum_{\alpha=0}^{i-1} \binom{n+m-i-j+1}{n-\alpha}\binom{i+j-1}{\alpha} + i\sum_{\alpha=0}^{i} \binom{n+m-i-j}{n-\alpha}\binom{i+j}{\alpha} = (n+m)\sum_{\alpha=0}^{i-1} \binom{n+m-i-j}{n-1-\alpha}\binom{i+j-1}{\alpha}.
$$

(2) *For $0 \leq k \leq i$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned}(m-j)\sum_{\alpha=k}^{i-1}&\binom{n+m-i-j+1}{n-\alpha}\binom{i+j-1}{\alpha}+j\sum_{\alpha=k}^{i-1}\binom{n+m-i-j}{n-\alpha}\binom{i+j}{\alpha}\\ &=(n+m)\sum_{\alpha=k}^{i-1}\binom{n+m-i-j}{n-\alpha}\binom{i+j-1}{\alpha}-(i-k)\binom{n+m-i-j}{n-k}\binom{i+j-1}{k-1}.\end{aligned}$$

In particular, we have

$$(m-j)\sum_{\alpha=0}^{i-1}\binom{n+m-i-j+1}{n-\alpha}\binom{i+j-1}{\alpha}+j\sum_{\alpha=0}^{i-1}\binom{n+m-i-j}{n-\alpha}\binom{i+j}{\alpha}=(n+m)\sum_{\alpha=0}^{i-1}\binom{n+m-i-j}{n-\alpha}\binom{i+j-1}{\alpha}.$$

Proof. We will prove them by induction on k. When $k=0$, the claim (1) is trivial. If the claim (1) holds for $k-1$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned}(n-i)&\sum_{\alpha=0}^{k-1}\binom{n+m-i-j+1}{n-\alpha}\binom{i+j-1}{\alpha}+i\sum_{\alpha=0}^{k}\binom{n+m-i-j}{n-\alpha}\binom{i+j}{\alpha}\\ &=(n+m)\sum_{\alpha=0}^{k-2}\binom{n+m-i-j}{n-1-\alpha}\binom{i+j-1}{\alpha}+(i-k+1)\binom{n+m-i-j}{n-k+1}\binom{i+j-1}{k-1}\\ &\quad+(n-i)\binom{n+m-i-j+1}{n-k+1}\binom{i+j-1}{k-1}+i\binom{n+m-i-j}{n-k}\binom{i+j}{k}\\ &=(n+m)\sum_{\alpha=0}^{k-2}\binom{n+m-i-j}{n-1-\alpha}\binom{i+j-1}{\alpha}+(n-k+1)\binom{n+m-i-j}{n-k+1}\binom{i+j-1}{k-1}\\ &\quad+n\binom{n+m-i-j}{n-k}\binom{i+j-1}{k-1}+i\binom{n+m-i-j}{n-k}\binom{i+j-1}{k}\\ &=(n+m)\sum_{\alpha=0}^{k-1}\binom{n+m-i-j}{n-1-\alpha}\binom{i+j-1}{\alpha}-(i+j-k)\binom{n+m-i-j}{n-k}\binom{i+j-1}{k-1}\\ &\quad+i\binom{n+m-i-j}{n-k}\binom{i+j-1}{k}\\ &=(n+m)\sum_{\alpha=0}^{k-1}\binom{n+m-i-j}{n-1-\alpha}\binom{i+j-1}{\alpha}+(i-k)\binom{n+m-i-j}{n-k}\binom{i+j-1}{k}.\end{aligned}$$

Hence the claim (1) holds for k.

The claim (2) for $k=i$ is trivial. If (2) holds for $k+1$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned}(m-j)&\sum_{\alpha=k}^{i-1}\binom{n+m-i-j+1}{n-\alpha}\binom{i+j-1}{\alpha}+j\sum_{\alpha=k}^{i-1}\binom{n+m-i-j}{n-\alpha}\binom{i+j}{\alpha}\\ &=(n+m)\sum_{\alpha=k+1}^{i-1}\binom{n+m-i-j}{n-\alpha}\binom{i+j-1}{\alpha}-(i-k-1)\binom{n+m-i-j}{n-k-1}\binom{i+j-1}{k}\\ &\quad+(m-j)\binom{n+m-i-j+1}{n-k}\binom{i+j-1}{k}+j\binom{n+m-i-j}{n-k}\binom{i+j}{k}\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= (n+m) \sum_{\alpha=k+1}^{i-1} \tbinom{n+m-i-j}{n-\alpha}\tbinom{i+j-1}{\alpha} + (m-j-i+k+1)\tbinom{n+m-i-j}{n-k-1}\tbinom{i+j-1}{k} \\
&\quad + m\tbinom{n+m-i-j}{n-k}\tbinom{i+j-1}{k} + j\tbinom{n+m-i-j}{n-k}\tbinom{i+j-1}{k-1} \\
&= (n+m) \sum_{\alpha=k+1}^{i-1} \tbinom{n+m-i-j}{n-\alpha}\tbinom{i+j-1}{\alpha} + (n+m-k)\tbinom{n+m-i-j}{n-k}\tbinom{i+j-1}{k} \\
&\quad + j\tbinom{n+m-i-j}{n-k}\tbinom{i+j-1}{k-1} \\
&= (n+m) \sum_{\alpha=k}^{i-1} \tbinom{n+m-i-j}{n-\alpha}\tbinom{i+j-1}{\alpha} - (i-k)\tbinom{n+m-i-j}{n-k}\tbinom{i+j-1}{k-1},
\end{aligned}
$$

hence the claim (2) holds for k. □

Lemma A.2. *We have*

$$
\tbinom{n+m+l}{n}^{-1} \sum_{i=0}^{n} \tbinom{n+m-i-j}{n-i}\tbinom{i+j-1}{i} \sum_{\alpha=0}^{i+j-1} \tbinom{n+m+l-i-j-k+1}{n+m-\alpha}\tbinom{i+j+k-1}{\alpha} = \sum_{\alpha=0}^{j-1} \tbinom{m+l-j-k+1}{m-\alpha}\tbinom{j+k-1}{\alpha}.
$$

Proof: Let F_n denote the left hand side of the above. Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_n &= \tbinom{n+m+l}{n}^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \Bigg((1-\frac{i-1}{n})\tbinom{n+m-i-j+1}{n-i+1}\tbinom{i+j-2}{i-1} \sum_{\alpha=0}^{i+j-2} \tbinom{n+m+l-i-j-k+2}{n+m-\alpha}\tbinom{i+j+k-2}{\alpha} \\
&\quad + \frac{i}{n}\tbinom{n+m-i-j}{n-i}\tbinom{i+j-1}{i} \sum_{\alpha=0}^{i+j-1} \tbinom{n+m+l-i-j-k+1}{n+m-\alpha}\tbinom{i+j+k-1}{\alpha} \Bigg) \\
&= \frac{1}{n}\tbinom{n+m+l}{n}^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \tbinom{n+m-i-j}{n-i}\tbinom{i+j-2}{i-1} \Bigg((n+m-i-j+1) \sum_{\alpha=0}^{i+j-2} \tbinom{n+m+l-i-j-k+2}{n+m-\alpha}\tbinom{i+j+k-2}{\alpha} \\
&\quad +(i+j-1) \sum_{\alpha=0}^{i+j-1} \tbinom{n+m+l-i-j-k+1}{n+m-\alpha}\tbinom{i+j+k-1}{\alpha} \Bigg).
\end{aligned}
$$

By Lem.A.1, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_n &= \frac{n+m+l}{n}\tbinom{n+m+l}{n}^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \tbinom{n+m-i-j}{n-i}\tbinom{i+j-2}{i-1} \sum_{\alpha=0}^{i+j-2} \tbinom{n+m+l-i-j-k+1}{n+m-1-\alpha}\tbinom{i+j+k-1}{\alpha} \\
&= \tbinom{n+m+l-1}{n-1}^{-1} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \tbinom{n+m-i-j-1}{n-i-1}\tbinom{i+j-1}{i} \sum_{\alpha=0}^{i+j-1} \tbinom{n+m+l-i-j-k}{n+m-1-\alpha}\tbinom{i+j+k}{\alpha} \\
&= F_{n-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $F_n = F_0$, that is,

$$
F_n = \sum_{\alpha=0}^{j-1} \tbinom{m+l-j-k+1}{m-\alpha}\tbinom{j+k-1}{\alpha},
$$

which completes the proof. □

Lemma A.3. *If $0 \leq i \leq n$ and $1 \leq j \leq m$,*

$$\sum_{\alpha=0}^{i} \binom{n+m-\alpha-j}{n-\alpha}\binom{\alpha+j-1}{\alpha} = \sum_{\alpha=0}^{i} \binom{n+m-i-j}{n-\alpha}\binom{i+j}{\alpha}.$$

In particular, we have

$$\sum_{\alpha=0}^{n} \binom{n+m-\alpha-j}{n-\alpha}\binom{\alpha+j-1}{\alpha} = \sum_{\alpha=0}^{n} \binom{m-j}{n-\alpha}\binom{n+j}{\alpha} = \binom{n+m}{n}.$$

Proof: We prove the lemma by induction on i. When $i = 0$, the statement of the lemma is clear. If the identity holds for $i-1$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{\alpha=0}^{i} \binom{n+m-\alpha-j}{n-\alpha}\binom{\alpha+j-1}{\alpha} &= \sum_{\alpha=0}^{i-1} \binom{n+m-i-j+1}{n-\alpha}\binom{i+j-1}{\alpha} + \binom{n+m-i-j}{n-i}\binom{i+j-1}{i} \\ &= \sum_{\alpha=0}^{i-1} \binom{n+m-i-j}{n-\alpha}\binom{i+j-1}{\alpha} + \sum_{\alpha=0}^{i-1} \binom{n+m-i-j}{n-1-\alpha}\binom{i+j-1}{\alpha} + \binom{n+m-i-j}{n-i}\binom{i+j-1}{i} \\ &= \sum_{\alpha=0}^{i} \binom{n+m-i-j}{n-\alpha}\binom{i+j-1}{\alpha} + \sum_{\alpha=1}^{i} \binom{n+m-i-j}{n-\alpha}\binom{i+j-1}{\alpha-1} \\ &= \sum_{\alpha=0}^{i} \binom{n+m-i-j}{n-\alpha}\binom{i+j}{\alpha},\end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof. □

References

[1] J.-M. Bismut, H. Gillet and C. Soulé, *Analytic torsion and holomorphic determinant bundles II*, Comm. Math. Phys. **115** (1988), 79–126. MR **89g**:58192b

[2] J.-M. Bismut and K. Köhler, *Higher analytic torsion forms for direct images and anomary formulas*, J. Algebraic Geom. **1** (1992), 647–684. MR **94a**:58209

[3] J.I. Burgos, *Arithmetic Chow rings and Deligne-Beilinson cohomology*, J. Algebraic Geom. **6** (1997), 335–377. MR **99d**:14015

[4] J.I. Burgos, *Hermitian vector bundles and characterisic classes*, in The arithmetic and geometry of algebraic cycles, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 2000, pp.155–182. MR **2001k**:14023

[5] J.I. Burgos and S. Wang, *Higher Bott-Chern forms and Beilinson's regulator*, Invent. Math. **132** (1998), 261–305. MR **99j**:14008

[6] P. Deligne, *Le déterminant de la cohomologie*, Contemp. Math. **67** (1987), 93–178. MR **89b**:32038

[7] H. Esnault and E. Viehweg, *Deligne-Beilinson cohomology*, in Beilinson's conjectures on special values of L-functions (M. Rapoport, N. Schappacher and P. Schneider eds.), Academic Press Inc., London, 1988, pp.43–91. **89k**:14008

[8] H. Gillet, *Riemann-Roch theorems for higher algebraic K-theory*, Adv. Math. **40** (1981), 203–289. MR **83m**:14013

[9] H. Gillet and D. Grayson, *The loop space of a Q-construction*, Illinois J. Math. **31** (1987), 574–597. MR **89h**:18012

[10] H. Gillet and C. Soulé, *Characteristic classes for algebraic vector bundles with hermitian metric*, Ann. of Math. **131** (1990), 163–238. MR **91m**:14032a, **91m**:14032b

[11] H. Gillet and C. Soulé, *Analytic torsion and the arithmetic Todd genus*, Topology **30** (1991), 21–54. MR **92d**:14015

[12] K. Künnemann, *Arakelov Chow groups of abelian schemes, arithmetic Fourier transform, and analogues of the standard conjectures of Lefschetz type*, Math. Ann. **300** (1994), 365–392. MR **96a**:14029

[13] D. Quillen, *Higher algebraic K-theory*, in Algebraic K-theory I, Lecture Notes in Math. vol. 341, Springer, Berlin, 1972, pp.85–147. MR **49**:2895

[14] D. Roessler, *Analytic torsion for cubes of vector bundles and Gillet's Riemann-Roch theorem*, J. Algebraic Geom. **8** (1999), 497–518. MR **2000h**:14009

[15] C. Soulé, *Lectures on Arakelov geometry*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1992. MR **94e**:14031

[16] F. Waldhausen, *Algebraic K-theory of spaces*, in Algebraic and geometric topology, Lecture Notes in Math. vol.1126, Springer, Berlin, 1980, pp.318–419. MR **86m**:18011

FUCULTY OF MATHEMATICS, KYUSHU UNIVERSITY 33, FUKUOKA, 812-8581, JAPAN
E-mail address: `yutakeda@comp.metro-u.ac.jp`